# Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting 

Metropolitan Transportation Commission
Join Zoom Meeting @
https://bayareametro.zoom.us/j/84383698853 Meeting ID: 84383698853
(Additional Zoom Meeting Call-In Info on Next Page)

February 24, 2022
9:30 a.m. -11:00 a.m.

## AGENDA

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ Project Conformity Interagency Consultations
a. Consultation to Determine Project of Air Quality Concern Status
i. I-580/680/780 Traffic Management Systems Project
ii. I-580 Ramp Metering Installation Project
iii. SON 116/Lakeville Road and State Gulch Road Intersection Improvement Project
b. Confirm Projects Are Exempt from $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ Conformity

Projects Exempt Under 40 CFR 93.126 - Not of Air Quality Concern
3. Projects with Regional Air Quality Conformity Concerns
a. Review of the Regional Conformity Status for New and Revised Projects

3a_Regional_AQ_Conformity_Review_022422.pdf
3a_Attachment-A_List_of_Proposed_New_Projects_022422.pdf
4. Consent Calendar
a. January 27, 2022 Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting Summary
5. Other Items

Next Meeting: March 24, 2022
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Find your local number: https://bayareametro.zoom.us/u/koavVecev
Join by SIP
84383698853@zoomcrc.com
Join by H. 323
162.255.37.11 (US West)
162.255.36.11 (US East)
115.114.131.7 (India Mumbai)
115.114.115.7 (India Hyderabad)
213.19.144.110 (Amsterdam Netherlands)
213.244.140.110 (Germany)
103.122.166.55 (Australia Sydney)
103.122.167.55 (Australia Melbourne)
64.211.144.160 (Brazil)
69.174.57.160 (Canada Toronto)
65.39.152.160 (Canada Vancouver)
207.226.132.110 (Japan Tokyo)
149.137.24.110 (Japan Osaka)

Meeting ID: 84383698853

METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Bay Area Metro Center
375 Beale Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
TEL 415.778.6700
WEB www.mtc.ca.gov

## Memorandum

TO: Air Quality Conformity Task Force
FR: Harold Brazil

DATE: February 14, 2022
W. I.

RE: $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ Project Conformity Interagency Consultation
A project sponsor representing one project, seeks interagency consultation from the Air Quality Conformity Task Force (AQCTF) at today's meeting and the projects are as follows:

| No. | Project Sponsor | Project Title |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Caltrans | I-580/680/780 Traffic Management Systems Project |
| 2 | Caltrans | I-580 Ramp Metering Installation Project |
| 3 | Caltrans | SON 116/Lakeville Road and State Gulch Road <br> Intersection Improvement Project |

2ai_I_580-680-780_Traffic_Management_Systems_Project_Assessment_Form.pdf (for the I-580/680/780 Traffic Management Systems project)

2aii_I_580_Ramp_Metering_Installation_Project_Assessment_Form.pdf (for the I-580 Ramp Metering Installation project)

2aiii_SON_116_Lakeville_Rd_\&_St_Gulch_Rd_Intersection_Improvement_Conformity_E xempt_Form.pdf (for the SON 116/Lakeville Road and State Gulch Road Intersection Improvement project)

MTC also requests the review and concurrence from the Task Force on projects which project sponsors have identified as exempt and likely not to be a POAQC. 2b_Exempt List 021022.pdf lists exempt projects under 40 CFR 93.126.

## Application of Criteria for a Project of Air Quality Concern

Project Title: l-580/680/780 Traffic Management Systems Project Summary for Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting: February 24, 2022

## Description

- The project proposes the installation of fiber-optic cable communication trunk line, install/upgrade Traffic Operation Systems (TOS), and install/upgrade Ramp Metering (RM) Elements, including HOV bypass lanes and necessary widening, along l-580 in Alameda County, on I-680 in Alameda, Contra Costa, and Solano Counties, and I-780 in Solano County.


## Background

- The project is currently listed in the Group TIP (VAR170005).
- This project is processed under NEPA as a Categorical Exclusion Section 326, and NEPA document CE.
- Seeking air quality conformity determination on or before February 24, 2022.


## Not a Project of Air Quality Concern (40 CFR 93.123(b)(1))

(i) New or expanded highway projects with significant numberlincrease in diesel vehicles?

- Not a new or expanded highway project
- Proposed project would have no effect on mainline AADT or truck traffic volumes
(ii) Affects intersections at LOS D, E, or F with a significant number of diesel vehicles?
- The proposed project will not cause an increase in the number of diesel vehicles at the intersections in the project area.
(iii) New bus and rail terminals and transfer points? - Not Applicable
(iv) Expanded bus and rail terminals and transfer points? - Not Applicable
(v) Affects areas identified in PM 10 or $P M_{2.5}$ implementation plan as site of violation?
- Project does not affect locations identified in an applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission.
- On January 9, 2013, the U.S. EPA issued a final rule that determined the San Francisco Bay Area air basin has attained the 24 -hour PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).


## RTIP ID\# 17-10-0013

TIP ID\# VAR170005

## Air Quality Conformity Task Force Consideration Date

February 24, 2022

## Project Description

The project proposes the installation of fiber-optic cable communication trunk line, install/upgrade Traffic Operation Systems (TOS), and install/upgrade Ramp Metering (RM) Elements, including HOV bypass lanes and necessary widening, along I-580 in Alameda County, on I-680 in Alameda, Contra Costa, and Solano Counties, and I-780 in Solano County.

## No Build Alternative

This alternative maintains the existing conditions.

## Build Alternatives

The main design features of the Build Alternatives are as follows:

- Install fiber optic communication trunk line to close fiber trunk gaps within project limits along I-580, I680, and I-780.
- Install distribution line connecting TOS elements, field hubs, and cable trunk line.
- Install/upgrade Traffic Operation Systems (TOS).
- Install missing over ground equipment and traffic controller cabinets.
- Install/upgrade Ramp Metering (RM) Element at 66 ramps.
- Widen ramp to provide HOV bypass lanes at 27 locations
- Restripe ramp to add HOV bypass lane or convert existing GP lane to HOV bypass lane at 8 locations.
- Widen EB I-780 to SB I-680 connector to add HOV bypass lane for a length of approximately 700 feet.
- Re-stripe EB I-780 to I-680 NB connector to convert existing GP lane to HOV bypass lane for a length of approximately 3000 feet.
- Construct CHP enforcement area at all ramps that add/convert HOV lane.

| Type of Project: <br> Transportation Management Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| County: <br> ALA; CC, <br> SOL | Caltrans Projects - EA\# 1Q720 04-ALA-580-PM 18.82/20.80 04-ALA-680-PM R20.0/R21.88 04-CC-680-PM R0.0/R25.46 04-SOL-680-PM R0.0/R0.83 04-SOL-780-PM 0.0/7.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead Agency: Caltrans |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contact Person Shilpa Mareddy |  | Phone\# <br> 510-418-1794 F |  |  | Email <br> Shilpa.Mareddy@dot.ca.gov |  |  |
| Federal Action for which Project-Level PM Conformity is Needed (check appropriate box) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Categorical <br> X Exclusion (NEPA) |  | EA or Draft EIS | FONSI or Final El |  | PS\&E or Construction |  | Other |
| Scheduled Date of Federal Action: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NEPA Delegation - Project Type (check appropriate box) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | x |  | Section 326 Categorical Exclusion |  | Section 327 - NonCategorical Exclusion |  |  |
| Current Programming Dates (as appropriate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PE/ENVIRONMENTAL |  | ENGINEERING | ROW |  |  | NSTRUCTION |
| Start | October 2020 |  | July 2022 | July 2022 |  |  | ptember 2024 |
| End | June 2022 |  | August 2024 | August 2024 |  |  | ptember 2027 |
| Project Purpose and Need (Summary): <br> The purpose of this project is to provide a high capacity fiber-optic communication backbone (trunk) that serves as a link between the District 4 Transportation Management Center (TMC) and the northeast portion of the TOS; the trunk line will provide Caltrans-owned facility linking the TOS field components. This project also closes gaps in TOS and RM elements to maximize throughput of the freeway and better inform the traveling public of freeway incidents and activities within the project limits. <br> Within the project limits, there are gaps in the array of traffic monitoring systems and there is insufficient amount of TOS such as CMS and EMS units to inform traveling public about the freeway activity via TMC. In addition, many existing TOS elements are reaching the end of their useful life. With the lack of Caltrans-owned fiber optic cables throughout the system, most of the existing communication is routed through the slower GPRS modems or leased lines. As a result of the deficiencies, information concerning incidents and freeway conditions are inadequately and inefficiently collected and transferred, reducing the effectiveness of the TOS to manage and analyze the throughput of the freeway system. <br> Not all ramps have ramp metering and HOV bypass systems, and according to Caltrans policy, when ramp volume exceeds the threshold or adversely affects adjacent freeway flow, ramp meter and HOV bypass lane need to be installed. Hence various ramps need ramp meters and HOV bypass lanes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators

- Interstate 580 (1-580)

Within Alameda County project limits, I-580 is a ten-lane divided freeway, interchanging withl680 in the City of Dublin. The Corridor serves local traffic within the Tri-Valley, links commuters to economic and employment centers, and supports interregional travel through direct access to I-80, I-880 (via 1-238), and I-5 inSan Joaquin County.

- Interstate 680 (I-680)

I-680 traverses north to south through Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, and Santa Clara Counties. It is a heavily travelled commute route between the East Bay and the South Bay.

Within Alameda County project limits, I-680 is a six-lane freeway, interchanging with I-580 in the City of Dublin.

Within Contra Costa County project limits, I-680 is an eight-lane freeway.
Within Solano County project limits, I-680 is the Benicia-Martinez Bridge, which is comprised of two structures (north and south bound) of 5 and 4 lanes. The route connects the suburban communities of Solano County with Central Contra Costa County via the Bridge and with I- 80 and SR 12 further north at the Cordelia Junction. This portion ofl-680 also parallels the Amtrak Capital Corridor, with a rail bridge running adjacent to the Benicia-Martinez Bridge.

- Interstate 780 (I-780)

I-780 is a seven-mile four-lane freeway which closely follows the Carquinez Strait, linking I-680 in Benicia to $1-80$ in Vallejo. The route traverses dense suburban communities and is entirely located within Solano County.

Brief summary of assumptions and methodology used for conducting analysis
The Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) were provided by Caltrans Traffic Forecasting for year 2019, 2027, 2047 and 2050. As truck \% for ramps is unavailable, mainline truck \% is used for the ramps. Four analysis years were evaluated:

- Year 2019 represents the existing conditions
- Year 2027 represents the possible opening year of the project.
- Year 2047 represents the possible design year for the project.
- Year 2050 represents the planning horizon year for the project.

Opening Year: If facility is a highway or street, Build and No Build LOS, AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

The project will not increase capacity therefore Build and No-Build volumes are the same.

| Roadway Segment | Existing Year Build/No-Build (2019) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | $\%$ | $\#$ |
| CC I-680 PM 0-14.38 (County Line to <br> Route 24) | 178,600 | $5.68 \%$ | 10,145 |
| CC I-680 PM 14.38-18.7 (Route 24 to <br> Route 242) | 288,700 | $3.87 \%$ | 11,173 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 242 to <br> Route 4) | 169,000 | $4.94 \%$ | 8,349 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 4 to <br> Benicia Martinez Bridge) | 126,900 | $6.81 \%$ | 8,642 |
| ALA I-680 PM 20-21.88 | 177,000 | $7.60 \%$ | 13,452 |
| SOL I-680 PM 0-0.83 | 126,900 | $5.33 \%$ | 6,764 |


| Roadway Segment | Opening Year Build/No-Build (2027) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | \% | \# |
| CC I-680 PM 0-14.38 (County Line to Route 24) | 191,100 | 5.68\% | 10,855 |
| CC I-680 PM 14.38-18.7 (Route 24 to Route 242) | 296,600 | 3.87\% | 11,478 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 242 to Route 4) | 176,400 | 4.94\% | 8,714 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 4 to Benicia Martinez Bridge) | 140,200 | 6.81\% | 9,548 |
| ALA I-680 PM 20-21.88 | 189,500 | 7.60\% | 14,402 |
| SOL I-680 PM 0-0.83 | 140,200 | 5.33\% | 7,473 |

RTP Horizon / Design Year: If facility is a highway or street, Build and No Build LOS, AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

| Roadway Segment | Design Year Build/No-Build (2047) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | $\%$ | \# |
| CC I-680 PM 0-14.38 (County Line to <br> Route 24) | 222,300 | $5.68 \%$ | 12,627 |
| CC I-680 PM 14.38-18.7 (Route 24 to <br> Route 242) | 316,300 | $3.87 \%$ | 12,241 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 242 to <br> Route 4) | 194,900 | $4.94 \%$ | 9,628 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 4 to <br> Benicia Martinez Bridge) | 173,500 | $6.81 \%$ | 12,020 |
| ALA I-680 PM 20-21.88 | 220,300 | $7.60 \%$ | 16,743 |
| SOL I-680 PM 0-0.83 | 173,500 | $5.33 \%$ | 9,248 |


| Roadway Segment | Planning Horizon Year Build/No-Build (2050) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | $\%$ | $\#$ |
| CC I-680 PM 0-14.38 (County Line to <br> Route 24) | 226,900 | $5.68 \%$ | 12,888 |
| CC I-680 PM 14.38-18.7 (Route 24 to <br> Route 242) | 319,200 | $3.87 \%$ | 12,353 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 242 to <br> Route 4) | 197,700 | $4.94 \%$ | 9,766 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 4 to <br> Benicia Martinez Bridge) | 178,500 | $6.81 \%$ | 12,156 |
| ALA I-680 PM 20-21.88 | 224,900 | $7.60 \%$ | 17,092 |
| SOL I-680 PM 0-0.83 | 178,500 | $5.33 \%$ | 9,514 |

Opening Year: If facility is an interchange(s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT

|  |  | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | Rodway Segments | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Existing Year } \\ \text { (2019) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Opening Year (2027) |
| 1 | EB 580 to NB 680 | 14770 | 14780 | 7.6 | 1123 | 1123 |
| 2 | WB 580 to NB 680 | 31050 | 32410 | 7.6 | 2360 | 2463 |
| 3 | Village Pkwy / Dublin Blvd. to NB 680 | 9110 | 9530 | 7.6 | 692 | 724 |
| 4 | EB 580 to SB 680 | 7840 | 8160 | 7.6 | 596 | 620 |
| 5 | WB 580 to SB 680 | 21710 | 22430 | 7.6 | 1650 | 1705 |
| 6 | Amador Plaza Rd / St Patrick Way | 5850 | 6300 | 7.6 | 445 | 479 |
| 7 | SB Alcosta Blvd On | 5540 | 5770 | 7.6 | 421 | 439 |
| 8 | SB San Ramon Valley Blvd On | 11210 | 11650 | 5.68 | 637 | 662 |
| 9 | Alcosta Blvd | 9510 | 9940 | 5.68 | 540 | 565 |
| 10 | SB Bollinger Canyon Rd On from EB | 6000 | 6300 | 5.68 | 341 | 358 |
| 11 | Bollinger Canyon Rd | 4900 | 5470 | 5.68 | 278 | 311 |
| 12 | SB Bollinger Canyon Rd On from WB | 15230 | 15950 | 5.68 | 865 | 906 |
| 13 | Bollinger Canyon Rd | 12110 | 13310 | 5.68 | 688 | 756 |
| 14 | SB Crow Canyon On fron EB | 8220 | 8870 | 5.68 | 467 | 504 |
| 15 | SB Crow Canyon Rd On from WB | 10590 | 11100 | 5.68 | 602 | 630 |
| 16 | Crow Canyon Rd | 11450 | 12540 | 5.68 | 650 | 712 |
| 17 | Crow Canyon Rd | 11730 | 12950 | 5.68 | 666 | 736 |
| 18 | SB Sycamore Valley Rd On | 8910 | 9350 | 5.68 | 506 | 531 |
| 19 | Sycamore Valley Rd | 17320 | 18370 | 5.68 | 984 | 1043 |
| 20 | SB Diablo Rd On | 9340 | 10370 | 5.68 | 531 | 589 |
| 21 | Diablo Rd | 2790 | 2990 | 5.68 | 158 | 170 |
| 22 | Diablo Rd | 3740 | 4030 | 5.68 | 212 | 229 |
| 23 | SB El Cerro On | 6700 | 7610 | 5.68 | 381 | 432 |
| 24 | El Cerro BIvd | 7170 | 7620 | 5.68 | 407 | 433 |
| 25 | El Pintado Rd | 1970 | 2130 | 5.68 | 112 | 121 |
| 26 | SB Stone Valley Rd On | 7700 | 8070 | 5.68 | 437 | 458 |
| 27 | Stone Valley Rd | 9050 | 9620 | 5.68 | 514 | 546 |
| 28 | Livorna Rd On | 4550 | 4810 | 5.68 | 258 | 273 |
| 29 | Livorna Rd | 5150 | 7360 | 5.68 | 293 | 418 |
| 30 | SB Rudgear On | 8200 | 8640 | 5.68 | 466 | 491 |
| 31 | Danville Blvd | 9950 | 10560 | 5.68 | 565 | 600 |
| 32 | SB Main St on | 2810 | 2990 | 5.68 | 160 | 170 |
| 33 | Olympic Blvd On | 2040 | 2220 | 5.68 | 116 | 126 |
| 34 | Olympic Blvd On | 3510 | 3750 | 5.68 | 199 | 213 |
| 35 | SR-24 On | 21330 | 22620 | 5.68 | 1212 | 1285 |
| 36 | Olympic Blvd | 16420 | 17460 | 5.68 | 933 | 992 |
| 37 | SR-24 On | 55120 | 58480 | 3.87 | 2133 | 2263 |
| 38 | Ygnacio Valley road / Hillside Ave on | 17520 | 19340 | 3.87 | 678 | 748 |
| 39 | San Luis Rd | 9130 | 9740 | 3.87 | 353 | 377 |
| 40 | Lawrence Way On | 18440 | 20340 | 3.87 | 714 | 787 |
| 41 | Treat BIvd | 3830 | 4080 | 3.87 | 148 | 158 |
| 42 | Main St on | 15970 | 16830 | 3.87 | 618 | 651 |
| 43 | Buskirk Ave | 16160 | 16970 | 3.87 | 625 | 657 |
| 44 | Oak Rd On | 9180 | 9660 | 3.87 | 355 | 374 |
| 45 | Contra Costa On | 8980 | 9470 | 3.87 | 348 | 366 |
| 46 | Monument Blvd | 11140 | 11750 | 3.87 | 431 | 455 |
| 47 | NB Monument Blvd On | 15400 | 16520 | 3.87 | 596 | 639 |
| 48 | 242 On | 54470 | 58170 | 3.87 | 2108 | 2251 |
| 49 | Willow Pass Rd /Sunvalley Blvd On from EB | 6520 | 6900 | 4.94 | 322 | 341 |
| 50 | Willow Pass Rd /Sunvalley Blvd On from WB | 6080 | 6400 | 4.94 | 300 | 316 |
| 51 | Willow Pass On | 12150 | 13180 | 4.94 | 600 | 651 |
| 52 | Concord Ave On | 4180 | 4410 | 4.94 | 206 | 218 |
| 53 | Burnett Ave On | 7550 | 8000 | 4.94 | 373 | 395 |
| 54 | Contra Costa On | 8530 | 8950 | 4.94 | 421 | 442 |
| 55 | Concord Ave On | 8770 | 10020 | 4.94 | 433 | 495 |
| 56 | SR-4 On From EB | 22700 | 24140 | 4.94 | 1121 | 1193 |
| 57 | SR-4 from EB On | 2610 | 2820 | 4.94 | 129 | 139 |
| 58 | SR-4 On From WB | 5710 | 6230 | 6.81 | 389 | 424 |
| 59 | SR-4 from WB On | 18760 | 20040 | 6.81 | 1278 | 1365 |
| 60 | Pacheco Blvd On | 11890 | 12690 | 6.81 | 810 | 864 |
| 61 | NB Arthur Rd | 3950 | 4410 | 6.81 | 269 | 300 |
| 62 | SB Waterfront Rd On | 5870 | 6840 | 6.81 | 400 | 466 |
| 63 | Waterfront Rd On | 4530 | 5640 | 6.81 | 308 | 384 |
| 64 | Bayshore Rd to SB 680 on | 5220 | 5690 | 5.33 | 278 | 303 |
| 65 | EB 780 to SB 680 on | 32020 | 36770 | 5.33 | 1707 | 1960 |
| 66 | EB 780 to NB 680 | 5430 | 6240 | 5.33 | 289 | 333 |

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: If facility is an interchange (s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Design Year } \\ (2047) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Design Year } \\ (2047) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |
| 1 | EB 580 to NB 680 | 16340 | 16580 | 7.6 | 1242 | 1260 |
| 2 | WB 580 to NB 680 | 40340 | 41530 | 7.6 | 3066 | 3156 |
| 3 | Village Pkwy / Dublin Blvd. to NB 680 | 13080 | 13620 | 7.6 | 994 | 1035 |
| 4 | EB 580 to SB 680 | 9510 | 9720 | 7.6 | 723 | 739 |
| 5 | WB 580 to SB 680 | 24540 | 24860 | 7.6 | 1865 | 1889 |
| 6 | Amador Plaza Rd / St Patrick Way | 9850 | 10390 | 7.6 | 749 | 790 |
| 7 | SB Alcosta Blvd On | 6670 | 6810 | 7.6 | 507 | 518 |
| 8 | SB San Ramon Valley Blvd On | 14010 | 14370 | 5.68 | 796 | 816 |
| 9 | Alcosta Blvd | 10970 | 11130 | 5.68 | 623 | 632 |
| 10 | SB Bollinger Canyon Rd On from EB | 6940 | 7040 | 5.68 | 394 | 400 |
| 11 | Bollinger Canyon Rd | 5920 | 5990 | 5.68 | 336 | 340 |
| 12 | SB Bollinger Canyon Rd On from WB | 17730 | 18000 | 5.68 | 1007 | 1022 |
| 13 | Bollinger Canyon Rd | 14390 | 14560 | 5.68 | 817 | 827 |
| 14 | SB Crow Canyon On fron EB | 12250 | 12760 | 5.68 | 696 | 725 |
| 15 | SB Crow Canyon Rd On from WB | 12440 | 12650 | 5.68 | 707 | 719 |
| 16 | Crow Canyon Rd | 13180 | 13280 | 5.68 | 749 | 754 |
| 17 | Crow Canyon Rd | 15790 | 16220 | 5.68 | 897 | 921 |
| 18 | SB Sycamore Valley Rd On | 10370 | 10530 | 5.68 | 589 | 598 |
| 19 | Sycamore Valley Rd | 21120 | 21540 | 5.68 | 1200 | 1223 |
| 20 | SB Diablo Rd On | 11460 | 11630 | 5.68 | 651 | 661 |
| 21 | Diablo Rd | 3420 | 3490 | 5.68 | 194 | 198 |
| 22 | Diablo Rd | 5900 | 6190 | 5.68 | 335 | 352 |
| 23 | SB El Cerro On | 9330 | 9590 | 5.68 | 530 | 545 |
| 24 | El Cerro Blvd | 8670 | 8830 | 5.68 | 492 | 502 |
| 25 | El Pintado Rd | 2820 | 2930 | 5.68 | 160 | 166 |
| 26 | SB Stone Valley Rd On | 10390 | 10740 | 5.68 | 590 | 610 |
| 27 | Stone Valley Rd | 12150 | 12530 | 5.68 | 690 | 712 |
| 28 | Livorna Rd On | 5650 | 5780 | 5.68 | 321 | 328 |
| 29 | Livorna Rd | 8970 | 9220 | 5.68 | 509 | 524 |
| 30 | SB Rudgear On | 9700 | 9860 | 5.68 | 551 | 560 |
| 31 | Danville Blvd | 12260 | 12520 | 5.68 | 696 | 711 |
| 32 | SB Main St on | 3680 | 3790 | 5.68 | 209 | 215 |
| 33 | Olympic Blvd On | 2470 | 2510 | 5.68 | 140 | 143 |
| 34 | Olympic Blvd On | 4270 | 4350 | 5.68 | 243 | 247 |
| 35 | SR-24 On | 25630 | 26090 | 5.68 | 1456 | 1482 |
| 36 | Olympic Blvd | 20040 | 20430 | 5.68 | 1138 | 1160 |
| 37 | SR-24 On | 68530 | 70040 | 3.87 | 2652 | 2711 |
| 38 | Ygnacio Valley road / Hillside Ave on | 21180 | 21460 | 3.87 | 820 | 831 |
| 39 | San Luis Rd | 11560 | 11840 | 3.87 | 447 | 458 |
| 40 | Lawrence Way On | 24020 | 24580 | 3.87 | 930 | 951 |
| 41 | Treat Blvd | 4620 | 4710 | 3.87 | 179 | 182 |
| 42 | Main St on | 18860 | 19170 | 3.87 | 730 | 742 |
| 43 | Buskirk Ave | 19330 | 19690 | 3.87 | 748 | 762 |
| 44 | Oak Rd On | 10730 | 10900 | 3.87 | 415 | 422 |
| 45 | Contra Costa On | 10620 | 10800 | 3.87 | 411 | 418 |
| 46 | Monument Blvd | 13170 | 13390 | 3.87 | 510 | 518 |
| 47 | NB Monument Blvd On | 18520 | 18820 | 3.87 | 717 | 728 |
| 48 | 242 On | 65230 | 66290 | 3.87 | 2524 | 2565 |
| 49 | Willow Pass Rd/Sunvalley Blvd On from EB | 7590 | 7700 | 4.94 | 375 | 380 |
| 50 | Willow Pass Rd /Sunvalley Blvd On from WB | 7090 | 7200 | 4.94 | 350 | 356 |
| 51 | Willow Pass On | 16260 | 16730 | 4.94 | 803 | 826 |
| 52 | Concord Ave On | 4900 | 4980 | 4.94 | 242 | 246 |
| 53 | Burnett Ave On | 9030 | 9190 | 4.94 | 446 | 454 |
| 54 | Contra Costa On | 9930 | 10080 | 4.94 | 491 | 498 |
| 55 | Concord Ave On | 11340 | 11540 | 4.94 | 560 | 570 |
| 56 | SR-4 On From EB | 26490 | 26850 | 4.94 | 1309 | 1326 |
| 57 | SR-4 from EB On | 3230 | 3300 | 4.94 | 160 | 163 |
| 58 | SR-4 On From WB | 6940 | 7050 | 6.81 | 473 | 480 |
| 59 | SR-4 from WB On | 23400 | 23910 | 6.81 | 1594 | 1628 |
| 60 | Pacheco Blvd On | 14630 | 14930 | 6.81 | 996 | 1017 |
| 61 | NB Arthur Rd | 5360 | 5510 | 6.81 | 365 | 375 |
| 62 | SB Waterfront Rd On | 7680 | 7810 | 6.81 | 523 | 532 |
| 63 | Waterfront Rd On | 7640 | 7940 | 6.81 | 520 | 541 |
| 64 | Bayshore Rd to SB 680 on | 6440 | 6560 | 5.33 | 343 | 350 |
| 65 | EB 780 to SB 680 on | 41410 | 42110 | 5.33 | 2207 | 2244 |
| 66 | EB 780 to NB 680 | 6730 | 6810 | 5.33 | 359 | 363 |

Opening Year: If facility is a bus, rail or intermodal facility/terminal/transfer point, \# of bus arrivals for Build and No Build, \% and \# of bus arrivals will be diesel buses

Not applicable

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: If facility is a bus, rail or intermodal facility/terminal/transfer point, \# of bus arrivals for Build and No Build, \% and \# of bus arrivals will be diesel buses
Not applicable

Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities)
The proposed project would not create new traffic. The project is proposed in accordance to Caltrans policy, when ramp volume exceeds the threshold or adversely affects adjacent freeway flow, ramp meter and HOV bypass lane need to be installed.

## Comments/Explanation/Details (please be brief)

The proposed project is in a nonattainment area for federal $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ standards. Therefore, according to 40 CFR Part 93, a hotspot analysis is required for conformity purposes. However, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) does not require a quantitative hotspot analysis for projects that are not a project of air quality concern (POAQC). Five types of projects listed in 40 CFR Section 93.123(b)(1) qualify as a POAQC. The following discussion evaluates whether the proposed project falls into any of these POAQC categories.

1. The project is not a new or expanded highway project that would have a significant number of or increase in the number of diesel vehicles (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(i)).
The project is not a new or expanded highway project and it will not add additional lanes to the mainline nor change the percentages of trucks in the project study area. The traffic data for the project shows that the percentage of trucks will remain the same with and without the project and the AADT will remain the same with and without the project. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in a significant increase in the number of diesel vehicles.
2. The project is not likely to affect any intersections (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(ii)).

The traffic data for the project shows the volumes of diesel vehicles at the intersection will remain same with or without the project.
3. The project does not include the construction of a new bus or rail terminal with a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(iii)).
Not applicable - No bus or rail terminals are affected by the project.
4. The project does not expand an existing bus or rail terminal with significant increases in the number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(iv)).
Not applicable - No bus or rail terminals are affected by the project.
5. The project is not in or affecting locations, areas or categories of sites that are identified in the $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(v)).

Project does not affect locations identified in an applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission. On January 9, 2013, the U.S. EPA issued a final rule that determined the San Francisco Bay Area air basin has attained the 24-hour PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). As a result, new state implementation plan (SIP) provisions are not necessary to demonstrate how the air basin will attain the standard.
Based on the evaluation above, the project should not be considered a POAQC and not require a quantitative hot-spot analysis to demonstrate that it will not cause or worsen an existing $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ violation

## List of Attachments
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# I-580/680/780 Traffic Management Systems 

Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting on February 24, 2022
MTC Bay Area Metro Center, 375 Beale Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94105

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 4
111 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94612

## PROJECT LOCATION



## LAND USE

- Interstate 580 (l-580)
* Within Alameda County project limits, I-580 is a ten-lane divided freeway, interchanging with I-680 in the City of Dublin. The Corridor serves local traffic within the Tri-Valley, links commuters to economic and employment centers, and supports interregional travel through direct access to I-80, I-880 (via 1-238), and I-5 in San Joaquin County.
- Interstate 680 (I-680)
* Within Alameda County project limits, I-680 is a six-lane freeway, interchanging with l-580 in the City of Dublin.
Within Contra Costa County project limits, I-680 is an eight-lane freeway.
Within Solano County project limits, I-680 is the Benicia-Martinez Bridge, which is comprised of two structures (north and south bound) of 5 and 4 lanes. The route connects the suburban communities of Solano County with Central Contra Costa County via the Bridge and with I- 80 and SR 12 further north at the Cordelia Junction.
Interstate 780 (I-780)
* I-780 is a seven-mile four-lane freeway which closely follows the Carquinez Strait, linking I-680 in Benicia to 1-80 in Vallejo. The route traverses dense suburban communities and is entirely located within Solano County.


## BACKGROUND

- The project is currently listed in the Group TIP (VAR170005).
- This project is processed under NEPA as a Categorical Exclusion Section 326 , and NEPA document CE.


## PURPOSE AND NEED

Purpose: The purpose of this project is to provide a high-capacity fiber-optic communication backbone (trunk) that will link Caltrans-owned facility to the TOS field components. This project also closes gaps in TOS and RM elements to maximize throughput of the freeway and better inform the traveling public of freeway incidents and activities within the project limits.
Need: With the lack of Caltrans-owned fiber optic cables throughout the system, most of the existing communication is routed through the slower GPRS modems or leased lines. As a result of the deficiencies, information concerning incidents and freeway conditions are inadequately and inefficiently collected and transferred, reducing the effectiveness of the TOS to manage and analyze the throughput of the freeway system.
Not all ramps have ramp metering and HOV bypass systems, and according to Caltrans policy, when ramp volume exceeds the threshold or adversely affects adjacent freeway flow, ramp meter and HOV bypass lane need to be installed. Hence various ramps need ramp meters and HOV bypass lanes.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- The proposed project is to:
* Install fiber optic communication trunk line to close fiber trunk gaps within project limits along I-580, I680, and I-780.
* Install distribution line connecting TOS elements, field hubs, and cable trunk line.
* Install/upgrade Traffic Operation Systems (TOS)

Install missing over ground equipment and traffic controller cabinets.

* Install/upgrade Ramp Metering (RM) Element at 66 ramps.
* Widen ramp to provide HOV bypass lanes at 27 locations
* Restripe ramp to add HOV bypass lane or convert existing GP lane to HOV bypass lane at 8 locations.
* Widen EB I-780 to SB I-680 connector to add HOV bypass lane for a length of 700 feet.
* Re-stripe EB I-780 to I-680 NB connector to convert existing GP lane to HOV bypass lane for a length of approximately 3000 feet.
* Construct CHP enforcement area at all ramps that add/convert HOV lane.


## PROPOSED RAMP LOCATIONS



## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (MAINLINE)

|  | Existing Year Build/No-Build (2019) |  |  | Opening Year Build/No-Build (2027) |  |  | Design Year Build/No-Build (2047) |  |  | Planning Horizon Year Build/No-Build (2050) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  | AADT | TRUCKS |  | AADT | TRUCKS |  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | \% | \# |  | \% | \# |  | \% | \# |  | \% | \# |
| CC I-680 PM 0-14.38 (County Line to Route 24) | 178,600 | 5.68\% | 10,145 | 191,100 | 5.68\% | 10,855 | 222,300 | 5.68\% | 12,627 | 226,900 | 5.68\% | 12,888 |
| CC I-680 PM 14.38-18.7 (Route 24 to Route 242) | 288,700 | 3.87\% | 11,173 | 296,600 | 3.87\% | 11,478 | 316,300 | 3.87\% | 12,241 | 319,200 | 3.87\% | 12,353 |
| I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 242 to Route 4) | 169,000 | 4.94\% | 8,349 | 176,400 | 4.94\% | 8,714 | 194,900 | 4.94\% | 9,628 | 197,700 | 4.94\% | 9,766 |
| CC I-680 PM 18.7-21.19 (Route 4 to Benicia Martinez Bridge) | 126,900 | 6.81\% | 8,642 | 140,200 | 6.81\% | 9,548 | 173,500 | 6.81\% | 12,020 | 178,500 | 6.81\% | 12,156 |
| ALA I-680 PM 20-21.88 | 177,000 | 7.60\% | 13,452 | 189,500 | 7.60\% | 14,402 | 220,300 | 7.60\% | 16,743 | 224,900 | 7.60\% | 17,092 |
| SOL I-680 PM 0-0.83 | 126,900 | 5.33\% | 6,764 | 140,200 | 5.33\% | 7,473 | 173,500 | 5.33\% | 9,248 | 178,500 | 5.33\% | 9,514 |

## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (RAMPS)

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  |  |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) | Design Year (2047) | Planning Horizon Year $(2050)$ |  | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) | Design Year (2047) | Planning <br> Horizon Year <br> $(2050)$ |
| 1 | EB 580 to NB 680 | 14770 | 14780 | 16340 | 16580 | 7.6 | 1123 | 1123 | 1242 | 1260 |
| 2 | WB 580 to NB 680 | 31050 | 32410 | 40340 | 41530 | 7.6 | 2360 | 2463 | 3066 | 3156 |
| 3 | Village Pkwy / Dublin Blvd. to NB 680 | 9110 | 9530 | 13080 | 13620 | 7.6 | 692 | 724 | 994 | 1035 |
| 4 | EB 580 to SB 680 | 7840 | 8160 | 9510 | 9720 | 7.6 | 596 | 620 | 723 | 739 |
| 5 | WB 580 to SB 680 | 21710 | 22430 | 24540 | 24860 | 7.6 | 1650 | 1705 | 1865 | 1889 |
| 6 | Amador Plaza Rd / St Patrick Way | 5850 | 6300 | 9850 | 10390 | 7.6 | 445 | 479 | 749 | 790 |
| 7 | SB Alcosta Blvd On | 5540 | 5770 | 6670 | 6810 | 7.6 | 421 | 439 | 507 | 518 |
| 8 | SB San Ramon Valley Blvd On | 11210 | 11650 | 14010 | 14370 | 5.68 | 637 | 662 | 796 | 816 |
| 9 | Alcosta Blvd | 9510 | 9940 | 10970 | 11130 | 5.68 | 540 | 565 | 623 | 632 |
| 10 | SB Bollinger Canyon Rd On from EB | 6000 | 6300 | 6940 | 7040 | 5.68 | 341 | 358 | 394 | 400 |
| 11 | Bollinger Canyon Rd | 4900 | 5470 | 5920 | 5990 | 5.68 | 278 | 311 | 336 | 340 |
| 12 | SB Bollinger Canyon Rd On from WB | 15230 | 15950 | 17730 | 18000 | 5.68 | 865 | 906 | 1007 | 1022 |
| 13 | Bollinger Canyon Rd | 12110 | 13310 | 14390 | 14560 | 5.68 | 688 | 756 | 817 | 827 |
| 14 | SB Crow Canyon On fron EB | 8220 | 8870 | 12250 | 12760 | 5.68 | 467 | 504 | 696 | 725 |
| 15 | SB Crow Canyon Rd On from WB | 10590 | 11100 | 12440 | 12650 | 5.68 | 602 | 630 | 707 | 719 |
| 16 | Crow Canyon Rd | 11450 | 12540 | 13180 | 13280 | 5.68 | 650 | 712 | 749 | 754 |
| 17 | Crow Canyon Rd | 11730 | 12950 | 15790 | 16220 | 5.68 | 666 | 736 | 897 | 921 |
| 18 | SB Sycamore Valley Rd On | 8910 | 9350 | 10370 | 10530 | 5.68 | 506 | 531 | 589 | 598 |
| 19 | Sycamore Valley Rd | 17320 | 18370 | 21120 | 21540 | 5.68 | 984 | 1043 | 1200 | 1223 |
| 20 | SB Diablo Rd On | 9340 | 10370 | 11460 | 11630 | 5.68 | 531 | 589 | 651 | 661 |
| 21 | Diablo Rd | 2790 | 2990 | 3420 | 3490 | 5.68 | 158 | 170 | 194 | 198 |
| 22 | Diablo Rd | 3740 | 4030 | 5900 | 6190 | 5.68 | 212 | 229 | 335 | 352 |

## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (RAMPS)

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  |  |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Design Year } \\ \text { (2047) } \end{gathered}$ | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |  | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design Year } \\ & \text { (2047) } \end{aligned}$ | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |
| 23 | SB El Cerro On | 6700 | 7610 | 9330 | 9590 | 5.68 | 381 | 432 | 530 | 545 |
| 24 | El Cerro Blvd | 7170 | 7620 | 8670 | 8830 | 5.68 | 407 | 433 | 492 | 502 |
| 25 | El Pintado Rd | 1970 | 2130 | 2820 | 2930 | 5.68 | 112 | 121 | 160 | 166 |
| 26 | SB Stone Valley Rd On | 7700 | 8070 | 10390 | 10740 | 5.68 | 437 | 458 | 590 | 610 |
| 27 | Stone Valley Rd | 9050 | 9620 | 12150 | 12530 | 5.68 | 514 | 546 | 690 | 712 |
| 28 | Livorna Rd On | 4550 | 4810 | 5650 | 5780 | 5.68 | 258 | 273 | 321 | 328 |
| 29 | Livorna Rd | 5150 | 7360 | 8970 | 9220 | 5.68 | 293 | 418 | 509 | 524 |
| 30 | SB Rudgear On | 8200 | 8640 | 9700 | 9860 | 5.68 | 466 | 491 | 551 | 560 |
| 31 | Danville Blvd | 9950 | 10560 | 12260 | 12520 | 5.68 | 565 | 600 | 696 | 711 |
| 32 | SB Main St on | 2810 | 2990 | 3680 | 3790 | 5.68 | 160 | 170 | 209 | 215 |
| 33 | Olympic Blvd On | 2040 | 2220 | 2470 | 2510 | 5.68 | 116 | 126 | 140 | 143 |
| 34 | Olympic Blvd On | 3510 | 3750 | 4270 | 4350 | 5.68 | 199 | 213 | 243 | 247 |
| 35 | SR-24 On | 21330 | 22620 | 25630 | 26090 | 5.68 | 1212 | 1285 | 1456 | 1482 |
| 36 | Olympic Blvd | 16420 | 17460 | 20040 | 20430 | 5.68 | 933 | 992 | 1138 | 1160 |
| 37 | SR-24 On | 55120 | 58480 | 68530 | 70040 | 3.87 | 2133 | 2263 | 2652 | 2711 |
| 38 | Ygnacio Valley road / Hillside Ave on | 17520 | 19340 | 21180 | 21460 | 3.87 | 678 | 748 | 820 | 831 |
| 39 | San Luis Rd | 9130 | 9740 | 11560 | 11840 | 3.87 | 353 | 377 | 447 | 458 |
| 40 | Lawrence Way On | 18440 | 20340 | 24020 | 24580 | 3.87 | 714 | 787 | 930 | 951 |
| 41 | Treat Blvd | 3830 | 4080 | 4620 | 4710 | 3.87 | 148 | 158 | 179 | 182 |
| 42 | Main St on | 15970 | 16830 | 18860 | 19170 | 3.87 | 618 | 651 | 730 | 742 |
| 43 | Buskirk Ave | 16160 | 16970 | 19330 | 19690 | 3.87 | 625 | 657 | 748 | 762. |
| 44 | Oak Rd On | 9180 | 9660 | 10730 | 10900 | 3.87 | 355 | 374 | 415 | 422 |

## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (RAMPS)

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  |  |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) | Design Year (2047) | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |  | Existing Year (2019) | Opening Year (2027) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Design Year } \\ & (2047) \end{aligned}$ | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |
| 45 | Contra Costa On | 8980 | 9470 | 10620 | 10800 | 3.87 | 348 | 366 | 411 | 418 |
| 46 | Monument BIvd | 11140 | 11750 | 13170 | 13390 | 3.87 | 431 | 455 | 510 | 518 |
| 47 | NB Monument Blvd On | 15400 | 16520 | 18520 | 18820 | 3.87 | 596 | 639 | 717 | 728 |
| 48 | 242 On | 54470 | 58170 | 65230 | 66290 | 3.87 | 2108 | 2251 | 2524 | 2565 |
| 49 | Willow Pass Rd /Sunvalley Blvd On from EB | 6520 | 6900 | 7590 | 7700 | 4.94 | 322 | 341 | 375 | 380 |
| 50 | Willow Pass Rd /Sunvalley Blvd On from WB | 6080 | 6400 | 7090 | 7200 | 4.94 | 300 | 316 | 350 | 356 |
| 51 | Willow Pass On | 12150 | 13180 | 16260 | 16730 | 4.94 | 600 | 651 | 803 | 826 |
| 52 | Concord Ave On | 4180 | 4410 | 4900 | 4980 | 4.94 | 206 | 218 | 242 | 246 |
| 53 | Burnett Ave On | 7550 | 8000 | 9030 | 9190 | 4.94 | 373 | 395 | 446 | 454 |
| 54 | Contra Costa On | 8530 | 8950 | 9930 | 10080 | 4.94 | 421 | 442 | 491 | 498 |
| 55 | Concord Ave On | 8770 | 10020 | 11340 | 11540 | 4.94 | 433 | 495 | 560 | 570 |
| 56 | SR-4 On From EB | 22700 | 24140 | 26490 | 26850 | 4.94 | 1121 | 1193 | 1309 | 1326 |
| 57 | SR-4 from EB On | 2610 | 2820 | 3230 | 3300 | 4.94 | 129 | 139 | 160 | 163 |
| 58 | SR-4 On From WB | 5710 | 6230 | 6940 | 7050 | 6.81 | 389 | 424 | 473 | 480 |
| 59 | SR-4 from WB On | 18760 | 20040 | 23400 | 23910 | 6.81 | 1278 | 1365 | 1594 | 1628 |
| 60 | Pacheco Blvd On | 11890 | 12690 | 14630 | 14930 | 6.81 | 810 | 864 | 996 | 1017 |
| 61 | NB Arthur Rd | 3950 | 4410 | 5360 | 5510 | 6.81 | 269 | 300 | 365 | 375 |
| 62 | SB Waterfront Rd On | 5870 | 6840 | 7680 | 7810 | 6.81 | 400 | 466 | 523 | 532 |
| 63 | Waterfront Rd On | 4530 | 5640 | 7640 | 7940 | 6.81 | 308 | 384 | 520 | 541 |
| 64 | Bayshore Rd to SB 680 on | 5220 | 5690 | 6440 | 6560 | 5.33 | 278 | 303 | 343 | 350 |
| 65 | EB 780 to SB 680 on | 32020 | 36770 | 41410 | 42110 | 5.33 | 1707 | 1960 | 2207 | 2244, |
| 66 | EB 780 to NB 680 | 5430 | 6240 | 6730 | 6810 | 5.33 | 289 | 333 | 359 | 363 |

## PROJECT SCHEDULE

| Current <br> Programming <br> Dates | Preliminary <br> Engineering/ <br> Environmental | Engineering | Right <br> of <br> Way | Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | October 2020 | July 2022 | July 2022 | September 2024 |
| End | June 2022 | August 2024 | August 2024 | September 2027 |

## CONCLUSIONS

- The l-580/680/780 Traffic Management Systems Project would improve the effectiveness of traffic monitoring systems, increase the storage capacity of the ramps and reduce impacts to the mainline traffic flow.
- The project would not increase capacity or percentage of trucks in the area.
- This project should not be considered a project of air quality concern and, therefore, a PM2.5 hot-spot analysis for projectlevel conformity determination is not required.


## QUESTIONS?

## Application of Criteria for a Project of Air Quality Concern

Project Title: l-580 Ramp Metering Installation Project Project Summary for Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting: February 24, 2022

## Description

- The project proposes to install or upgrade ramp metering systems with High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) bypass lanes, along l-580 in Alameda County from Strobridge Avenue Undercrossing (UC) to the I-80/I-580/l-880 junction.


## Background

- The project is currently listed in the Group TIP (VAR170005).
- This project is processed under NEPA as a Categorical Exclusion Section 326, and NEPA document CE.
- Seeking air quality conformity determination on or before February 24, 2022.


## Not a Project of Air Quality Concern (40 CFR 93.123(b)(1))

(i) New or expanded highway projects with significant number/increase in diesel vehicles?

- Not a new or expanded highway project
- Proposed project would have no effect on mainline AADT or truck traffic volumes
(ii) Affects intersections at LOS D, E, or F with a significant number of diesel vehicles?
- The proposed project will not cause an increase in the number of diesel vehicles at the intersections in the project area.
(iii) New bus and rail terminals and transfer points? - Not Applicable
(iv) Expanded bus and rail terminals and transfer points? - Not Applicable
(v) Affects areas identified in PM 10 or PM2.5 implementation plan as site of violation?
- Project does not affect locations identified in an applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission.
- On January 9, 2013, the U.S. EPA issued a final rule that determined the San Francisco Bay Area air basin has attained the 24-hour PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).


| Current Programming Dates (as appropriate) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PE/ENVIRONMENTAL | ENGINEERING | ROW | CONSTRUCTION |  |
| Start | August 2021 | October 2022 | October 2022 | November 2024 |  |
| End | September 2022 | October 2024 | October 2024 | November 2026 |  |

## Project Purpose and Need (Summary):

The purpose of the project is to install or upgrade ramp metering systems and widen ramp entrances to provide HOV bypass lanes, where applicable. The proposed improvements will:

- Manage congestion and control traffic flow entering freeway
- Minimize off-ramp to on-ramp cut through traffic during peak hours
- Enhance safety by reducing congestion-related accidents

The current and anticipated future transportation demand contributes to the need for this project. According to recent Performance Measurement System (PeMS) data, there are approximately 265,000 hours of annual vehicle delay along this segment of the l-580 corridor. The accumulation of vehicular delay combined with regional economic growth are causing extended queuing on westbound direction during AM peak commute hours, and eastbound direction during PM peak commute hours.

## Surrounding Land Use/Traffic Generators

I-580 is a freeway route that begins at I-5 in San Joaquin County (Caltrans District 10) and terminates at US 101 in Marin County. The I-580 corridor provides direct connections to three major north-south freeways, I-5, I680 and I-880. With connections to the interstate network, I-580 is a major gateway for goods movement into and out of the San Francisco Bay Area's five seaports, three commercial airports, and four rail freight terminals, and is the primary route for eastbound travelers destined for the Sierra Nevada Mountains and Southern California.

Within Alameda County, the I-580 corridor is an east-west route begins at the I-580/l-205 interchange near the San Joaquin County/Alameda County border, traverses westward to the I-580/l-238 interchange, continues to the I-580/I-880/I-80 junction in the City Oakland and ends at the Contra Costar County/Alameda County border near the Central Avenue interchange. I-580 serves inter-regional and inter-county commute trips in Alameda County.

The segment of I-580 within the project limits is a six to ten-lane freeway with no high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes. Truck traffic is prohibited on I-580 from Foothill Boulevard in San Leandro (postmile 34.9) to Grand Avenue in Oakland (postmile 43.6), except during emergencies. This portion of l-580 is officially designated as a State Scenic Route.

## Brief summary of assumptions and methodology used for conducting analysis

The Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) were provided by Caltrans Traffic Forecasting for year 2018, 2026, 2046 and 2050. As truck \% for ramps is unavailable, mainline truck \% is used for the ramps. Four analysis years were evaluated:

- Year 2018 represents the existing conditions
- Year 2026 represents the possible opening year of the project.
- Year 2046 represents the possible design year for the project.
- Year 2050 represents the planning horizon year for the project

Ramp locations 1,2,3 and 38 did not have 2018 counts, therefore traffic forecasting used 2010-2014 Highway Operation's count database and forecasted AADT for years 2026, 2046 and 2050.

Opening Year: If facility is a highway or street, Build and No Build LOS, AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

The project will not increase capacity therefore Build and No-Build volumes are the same.

| R Roadway Segment | Existing Year Build/No-Build (2018) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | $\%$ |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 31.3 | 182,500 | $0.51 \%$ | \# |
| ALA I-580 PM 38.5 | 213,900 | $0.51 \%$ | 931 |
| ALA I-580 PM 39.5 | 170,600 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,091 |
| ALA I-580 PM 43 | 229,100 | $0.58 \%$ | 870 |
| ALA I-580 PM 44.6 | 224,000 | $1.11 \%$ | 1,329 |


| R Roadway Segment | Opening Year Build/No-Build (2026) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | AADT |  | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  |  | $\%$ |  |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 31.3 | 195,630 | $0.51 \%$ | $\#$ |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 38.5 | 228,120 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,163 |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 39.5 | 182,480 | $0.51 \%$ | 931 |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 43 | 245,160 | $0.58 \%$ | 1,422 |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 44.6 | 234,560 | $1.11 \%$ | 2,604 |  |

RTP Horizon / Design Year: If facility is a highway or street, Build and No Build LOS, AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT of proposed facility

| R Roadway Segment | Design Year Build/No-Build (2046) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | $\%$ |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 31.3 | 228,440 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,165 |
| ALA I-580 PM 38.5 | 263,630 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,345 |
| ALA I-580 PM 39.5 | 212,170 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,082 |
| ALA I-580 PM 43 | 285,290 | $0.58 \%$ | 1,655 |
| ALA I-580 PM 44.6 | 260,920 | $1.11 \%$ | 2,896 |


| R Roadway Segment | Planning Horizon Year Build/No-Build (2050) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | $\%$ |  |
| ALA I-580 PM 31.3 | 235,100 | $0.51 \%$ | \# |
| ALA I-580 PM 38.5 | 270,800 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,381 |
| ALA I-580 PM 39.5 | 218,200 | $0.51 \%$ | 1,113 |
| ALA I-580 PM 43 | 293,400 | $0.58 \%$ | 1,702 |
| ALA I-580 PM 44.6 | 266,300 | $1.11 \%$ | 2,956 |

Opening Year: If facility is an interchange(s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Year (2018) | Opening Year (2026) |  | Existing Year (2018) | Opening Year (2026) |
| 1 | NB Rte 238 (Foothill Blvd) | 8400* | 10320 | 0.51 | 43 | 53 |
| 2 | WB ON FR WB CASTRO VALLEY BL. | 4900* | 5470 | 0.51 | 25 | 28 |
| 3 | NB Rte 238(Foothill Blvd) + EB Castro Valley Blvd /Mattox Rd + SB I-238 | 35200* | 37550 | 0.51 | 180 | 192 |
| 4 | Liberty St / 163rd Ave | 5284 | 5690 | 0.51 | 27 | 29 |
| 5 | Foothill Blvd / Carolyn St | 3804 | 4070 | 0.51 | 19 | 21 |
| 6 | Fairmont Dr / Freedom Ave | 8446 | 9090 | 0.51 | 43 | 46 |
| 7 | 150th Ave / Foothill Blvd | 14021 | 14980 | 0.51 | 72 | 76 |
| 8 | Grand Ave / Benedict Dr | 4308 | 4600 | 0.51 | 22 | 23 |
| 9 | Grand Ave | 9202 | 9620 | 0.51 | 47 | 49 |
| 10 | Benedict Dr / Marlow Dr | 6912 | 7390 | 0.51 | 35 | 38 |
| 11 | SB MacArthur Blvd / Foothill Blvd | 7677 | 8600 | 0.51 | 39 | 44 |
| 12 | NB Foothill Blvd / Revere Ave | 5323 | 5870 | 0.51 | 27 | 30 |
| 13 | Peralta Oaks Dr / 106th Ave | 7172 | 7660 | 0.51 | 37 | 39 |
| 14 | 98th Ave / Golf Link Rd | 9409 | 10430 | 0.51 | 48 | 53 |
| 15 | Golf Link Rd / Mountain Blvd | 9406 | 10370 | 0.51 | 48 | 53 |
| 16 | Keller Ave / Fontaine St | 4610 | 5060 | 0.51 | 24 | 26 |
| 17 | Mountain Blvd / Maynard Ave / Keller Ave | 5909 | 6320 | 0.51 | 30 | 32 |
| 18 | Edwards Ave / Mountain Blvd / Leona Dr | 10235 | 10940 | 0.51 | 52 | 56 |
| 19 | Kuhnle Ave / Sunnymere Ave / Seminary Ave | 7247 | 7830 | 0.51 | 37 | 40 |
| 20 | SB RTE 13 | 23003 | 24200 | 0.51 | 117 | 123 |
| 21 | Rusting Ave / Mountain Blvd | 3477 | 3720 | 0.51 | 18 | 19 |
| 22 | SB RTE 13 / Mountain Blvd / (Calaveras Ave. / Davenport Ave.) | 2981 | 3670 | 0.51 | 15 | 19 |
| 23 | SB MacArthur Blvd | 9233 | 10000 | 0.58 | 54 | 58 |
| 24 | MacArthur Blvd | 9443 | 11450 | 0.58 | 55 | 66 |
| 25 | High St | 9607 | 10300 | 0.58 | 56 | 60 |
| 26 | 35th Ave | 13436 | 14410 | 0.58 | 78 | 84 |
| 27 | Coolidge Ave / Harold St | 14179 | 15320 | 0.58 | 82 | 89 |
| 28 | Fruitvale Ave / Montana St / Diamond Ave | 14600 | 15700 | 0.58 | 85 | 91 |
| 29 | Beaumont Ave / MacArthur Blvd | 14969 | 16300 | 0.58 | 87 | 95 |
| 31 | Park Blvd / Chatham Rd | 18723 | 20080 | 0.58 | 109 | 116 |
| 32 | Lakeshore Ave / MacArthur Blvd | 13758 | 14900 | 1.11 | 153 | 165 |
| 33 | Grand Ave / Santa Clara Ave | 15385 | 16310 | 1.11 | 171 | 181 |
| 34+35 | Oakland -Harrison | 15329 | 18390 | 1.11 | 170 | 204 |
| 36 | Harrison St / Oakland Ave | 15135 | 16050 | 1.11 | 168 | 178 |
| 37 | I-980 to EB I-580 | 11839 | 12610 | 1.11 | 131 | 140 |
| 38 | West St /35th St | 9900* | 10800 | 1.11 | 110 | 120 |
| 39 | WB Rte 24 | 31101 | 33200 | 1.11 | 345 | 369 |
| 40 | I-980 to WB I-580 | 13021 | 14590 | 1.11 | 145 | 162 |
| 41 | WB Rte 24 / WB 52nd St /Martin Luther King Jr Way | 29474 | 32100 | 1.11 | 327 | 356 |
| 42 | WB on from MacArthur | 7964 | 8450 | 1.11 | 88 | 94 |
| 43 | From WB I-80 | 53400 | 56610 | 1.11 | 593 | 628 |

*- AADT data from 2010-2014 Highway Operation's count database.

RTP Horizon Year / Design Year: If facility is an interchange (s) or intersection(s), Build and No Build cross-street AADT, \% and \# trucks, truck AADT

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Design Year (2046) | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |  | Design Year (2046) | Planning Horizon Year (2050) |
| 1 | NB Rte 238 (Foothill Blvd) | 15090 | 16100 | 0.51 | 77 | 82 |
| 2 | WB ON FR WB CASTRO VALLEY BL. | 6880 | 7200 | 0.51 | 35 | 37 |
| 3 | NB Rte 238(Foothill Blvd) + EB Castro Valley Blvd /Mattox Rd + SB I-238 | 43410 | 44600 | 0.51 | 221 | 227 |
| 4 | Liberty St / 163rd Ave | 6690 | 6900 | 0.51 | 34 | 35 |
| 5 | Foothill Blvd / Carolyn St | 4710 | 4840 | 0.51 | 24 | 25 |
| 6 | Fairmont Dr / Freedom Ave | 10690 | 11020 | 0.51 | 55 | 56 |
| 7 | 150th Ave / Foothill Blvd | 17360 | 17840 | 0.51 | 89 | 91 |
| 8 | Grand Ave / Benedict Dr | 5330 | 5480 | 0.51 | 27 | 28 |
| 9 | Grand Ave | 10640 | 10850 | 0.51 | 54 | 55 |
| 10 | Benedict Dr / Marlow Dr | 8560 | 8800 | 0.51 | 44 | 45 |
| 11 | SB MacArthur Blvd / Foothill Blvd | 10800 | 11250 | 0.51 | 55 | 57 |
| 12 | NB Foothill Blvd / Revere Ave | 7210 | 7480 | 0.51 | 37 | 38 |
| 13 | Peralta Oaks Dr / 106th Ave | 8880 | 9130 | 0.51 | 45 | 47 |
| 14 | 98th Ave / Golf Link Rd | 12980 | 13500 | 0.51 | 66 | 69 |
| 15 | Golf Link Rd / Mountain Blvd | 12760 | 13240 | 0.51 | 65 | 68 |
| 16 | Keller Ave / Fontaine St | 6180 | 6410 | 0.51 | 32 | 33 |
| 17 | Mountain Blvd / Maynard Ave / Keller Ave | 7320 | 7530 | 0.51 | 37 | 38 |
| 18 | Edwards Ave / Mountain Blvd / Leona Dr | 12670 | 13020 | 0.51 | 65 | 66 |
| 19 | Kuhnle Ave / Sunnymere Ave / Seminary Ave | 9280 | 9580 | 0.51 | 47 | 49 |
| 20 | SB RTE 13 | 27000 | 27580 | 0.51 | 138 | 141 |
| 21 | Rusting Ave / Mountain Blvd | 4300 | 4420 | 0.51 | 22 | 23 |
| 22 | SB RTE 13 / Mountain Blvd / (Calaveras Ave. / Davenport Ave.) | 5380 | 5730 | 0.51 | 27 | 29 |
| 23 | SB MacArthur Blvd | 11800 | 12170 | 0.58 | 68 | 71 |
| 24 | MacArthur Blvd | 16460 | 17470 | 0.58 | 95 | 101 |
| 25 | High St | 12030 | 12380 | 0.58 | 70 | 72 |
| 26 | 35th Ave | 16820 | 17310 | 0.58 | 98 | 100 |
| 27 | Coolidge Ave / Harold St | 18150 | 18720 | 0.58 | 105 | 109 |
| 28 | Fruitvale Ave / Montana St / Diamond Ave | 18400 | 18950 | 0.58 | 107 | 110 |
| 29 | Beaumont Ave / MacArthur Blvd | 19400 | 20040 | 0.58 | 113 | 116 |
| 31 | Park Blvd / Chatham Rd | 23440 | 24120 | 0.58 | 136 | 140 |
| 32 | Lakeshore Ave / MacArthur Blvd | 17600 | 18150 | 1.11 | 195 | 201 |
| 33 | Grand Ave / Santa Clara Ave | 18620 | 19090 | 1.11 | 207 | 212 |
| 34+35 | Oakland -Harrison | 26030 | 27560 | 1.11 | 289 | 306 |
| 36 | Harrison St / Oakland Ave | 18310 | 18770 | 1.11 | 203 | 208 |
| 37 | I-980 to EB I-580 | 14530 | 14920 | 1.11 | 161 | 166 |
| 38 | West St /35th St | 11980 | 12300 | 1.11 | 133 | 137 |
| 39 | WB Rte 24 | 38300 | 39330 | 1.11 | 425 | 437 |
| 40 | I-980 to WB I-580 | 18480 | 19260 | 1.11 | 205 | 214 |
| 41 | WB Rte 24 / WB 52nd St /Martin Luther King Jr Way | 35600 | 36480 | 1.11 | 395 | 405 |
| 42 | WB on from MacArthur | 9640 | 9880 | 1.11 | 107 | 110 |
| 43 | From WB I-80 | 64610 | 66220 | 1.11 | 717 | 735 |

Opening Year: If facility is a bus, rail or intermodal facility/terminal/transfer point, \# of bus arrivals for Build and No Build, \% and \# of bus arrivals will be diesel buses

Not applicable

Not applicable

Describe potential traffic redistribution effects of congestion relief (impact on other facilities)
The proposed project would not create new traffic. The project is proposed to manage congestion, minimize cut through traffic at ramp intersections during peak hours and enhance safety.

## Comments/Explanation/Details (please be brief)

The proposed project is in a nonattainment area for federal $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ standards. Therefore, according to 40 CFR Part 93, a hotspot analysis is required for conformity purposes. However, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) does not require a quantitative hotspot analysis for projects that are not a project of air quality concern (POAQC). Five types of projects listed in 40 CFR Section 93.123(b)(1) qualify as a POAQC. The following discussion evaluates whether the proposed project falls into any of these POAQC categories.

1. The project is not a new or expanded highway project that would have a significant number of or increase in the number of diesel vehicles (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(i)).

The project is not a new or expanded highway project and it will not add additional lanes to the mainline nor change the percentages of trucks in the project study area. The traffic data for the project shows that the percentage of trucks will remain the same with and without the project and the $A A D T$ will remain the same with and without the project. Therefore, the proposed project would not result in a significant increase in the number of diesel vehicles.
2. The project is not likely to affect any intersections (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(ii)).

The traffic data for the project shows the volumes of diesel vehicles at the intersection will remain same with or without the project.
3. The project does not include the construction of a new bus or rail terminal with a significant number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(iii)).

Not applicable - No bus or rail terminals are affected by the project.
4. The project does not expand an existing bus or rail terminal with significant increases in the number of diesel vehicles congregating at a single location (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(iv)).
Not applicable - No bus or rail terminals are affected by the project.
5. The project is not in or affecting locations, areas or categories of sites that are identified in the $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission, as appropriate, as sites of violation or possible violation (40 CFR Section 93.123 (b)(1)(v)).

Project does not affect locations identified in an applicable implementation plan or implementation plan submission. On January 9, 2013, the U.S. EPA issued a final rule that determined the San Francisco Bay Area air basin has attained the 24-hour PM2.5 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). As a result, new state implementation plan (SIP) provisions are not necessary to demonstrate how the air basin will attain the standard.

Based on the evaluation above, the project should not be considered a POAQC and not require a quantitative hot-spot analysis to demonstrate that it will not cause or worsen an existing PM 2.5 violation
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1. Attachment A-Location Map
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## Project Location



## ATTACHMENT B

Ramp Locations


## I-580 Ramp Metering Installation Project

Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting on February 24, 2022
MTC Bay Area Metro Center, 375 Beale Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94105

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 4
111 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94612

## PROJECT LOCATION



## LAND USE

- The l-580 corridor provides direct connections to three major north-south freeways, I-5, I-680 and I-880.
- I-580 is a major gateway for goods movement into and out of the San Francisco Bay Area's five seaports, three commercial airports, and four rail freight terminals, and is the primary route for eastbound travelers destined for the Sierra Nevada Mountains and Southern California.
- 1-580 serves inter-regional and inter-county commute trips in Alameda County.

The segment of I-580 within the project limits is a six to ten-lane freeway with no high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes. Truck traffic is prohibited on I-580 from Foothill Boulevard in San Leandro (postmile 34.9) to Grand Avenue in Oakland (postmile 43.6), except during emergencies. This portion of l-580 is officially designated as a State Scenic Route.

## BACKGROUND

- The project is currently listed in the Group TIP (VAR170005).
- This project is processed under NEPA as a Categorical Exclusion Section 326 , and NEPA document CE.


## PURPOSE AND NEED

Purpose: The purpose of the project is to install or upgrade ramp metering systems and widen ramp entrances to provide HOV bypass lanes, where applicable. The proposed improvements will:

- Manage congestion and control traffic flow entering freeway
- Minimize off-ramp to on-ramp cut through traffic during peak hours
- Enhance safety by reducing congestion-related accidents

Need: The current and anticipated future transportation demand contributes to the need for this project. According to recent Performance Measurement System (PeMS) data, there are approximately 265,000 hours of annual vehicle delay along this segment of the l-580 corridor. The accumulation of vehicular delay combined with regional economic growth are causing extended queuing on westbound direction during AM peak commute hours, and eastbound direction during PM peak commute hours.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- The main design features of the Build Alternatives are as follows:
* Install/upgrade Ramp Metering (RM) Systems at 43 entrance ramp locations.
- Widen ramp to add a HOV bypass lane or a GP lane.
* Construct Maintenance Vehicle pullouts (MVP) where applicable.
* Construct CHP enforcement areas on the ramps.

Cold plane and overlay of existing ramp pavement from mainline to the ramp intersection.

## PROPOSED RAMP LOCATIONS



## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (MAINLINE)

| Roadway Segment | Existing Year Build/No-Build (2018) |  |  | Opening Year Build/NoBuild (2026) |  |  | Design Year Build/No-Build (2046) |  |  | Planning Horizon Year Build/No-Build (2050) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AADT | TRUCKS |  | AADT | TRUCKS |  | AADT | TRUCKS |  | AADT | TRUCKS |  |
|  |  | \% | \# |  | \% | \# |  | \% | \# |  | \% | \# |
| ALA I-580 PM 31.3 | 182,500 | 0.51\% | 931 | 195,630 | 0.51\% | 998 | 228,440 | 0.51\% | 1,165 | 235,100 | 0.51\% | 1,199 |
| ALA I-580 PM 38.5 | 213,900 | 0.51\% | 1,091 | 228,120 | 0.51\% | 1,163 | 263,630 | 0.51\% | 1,345 | 270,800 | 0.51\% | 1,381 |
| ALA I-580 PM 39.5 | 170,600 | 0.51\% | 870 | 182,480 | 0.51\% | 931 | 212,170 | 0.51\% | 1,082 | 218,200 | 0.51\% | 1,113 |
| ALA I-580 PM 43 | 229,100 | 0.58\% | 1,329 | 245,160 | 0.58\% | 1,422 | 285,290 | 0.58\% | 1,655 | 293,400 | 0.58\% | 1,702 |
| ALA -580 PM 44.6 | 224,000 | 1.11\% | 2,486 | 234,560 | 1.11\% | 2,604 | 260,920 | 1.11\% | 2,896 | 266,300 | 1.11\% | 2,956 |

## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (RAMPS)



*     - AADT data from 2010-2014 Highway Operation's count database.


## SUMMARY OF FORECASTED AADT (RAMPS)

| No. | Rodway Segments | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  | AADT (Build/No-Build) |  | Truck \% | TRUCK AADT |  | TRUCK AADT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing Year (2018) | Opening Year (2026) | Design Year (2046) | Planning <br> Horizon Year <br> $(2050)$ |  | Existing Year (2018) | Opening Year (2026) | Design Year (2046) | Planning <br> Horizon Year <br> $(2050)$ |
| 21 | Rusting Ave / Mountain Blvd | 3477 | 3720 | 4300 | 4420 | 0.51 | 18 | 19 | 22 | 23 |
| 22 | SB RTE 13 / Mountain Blvd / (Calaveras Ave./ Davenport Ave.) | 2981 | 3670 | 5380 | 5730 | 0.51 | 15 | 19 | 27 | 29 |
| 23 | SB MacArthur Blvd | 9233 | 10000 | 11800 | 12170 | 0.58 | 54 | 58 | 68 | 71 |
| 24 | MacArthur Blvd | 9443 | 11450 | 16460 | 17470 | 0.58 | 55 | 66 | 95 | 101 |
| 25 | High St | 9607 | 10300 | 12030 | 12380 | 0.58 | 56 | 60 | 70 | 72 |
| 26 | 35th Ave | 13436 | 14410 | 16820 | 17310 | 0.58 | 78 | 84 | 98 | 100 |
| 27 | Coolidge Ave / Harold St | 14179 | 15320 | 18150 | 18720 | 0.58 | 82 | 89 | 105 | 109 |
| 28 | Fruityale Ave / Montana St / Diamond Ave | 14600 | 15700 | 18400 | 18950 | 0.58 | 85 | 91 | 107 | 110 |
| 29 | Beaumont Ave / MacArthur Blvd | 14969 | 16300 | 19400 | 20040 | 0.58 | 87 | 95 | 113 | 116 |
| 31 | Park Blvd / Chatham Rd | 18723 | 20080 | 23440 | 24120 | 0.58 | 109 | 116 | 136 | 140 |
| 32 | Lakeshore Ave / MacArthur Blvd | 13758 | 14900 | 17600 | 18150 | 1.11 | 153 | 165 | 195 | 201 |
| 33 | Grand Ave / Santa Clara Ave | 15385 | 16310 | 18620 | 19090 | 1.11 | 171 | 181 | 207 | 212 |
| 34+35 | Oakland -Harrison | 15329 | 18390 | 26030 | 27560 | 1.11 | 170 | 204 | 289 | 306 |
| 36 | Harrison St / Oakland Ave | 15135 | 16050 | 18310 | 18770 | 1.11 | 168 | 178 | 203 | 208 |
| 37 | I-980 to EB I-580 | 11839 | 12610 | 14530 | 14920 | 1.11 | 131 | 140 | 161 | 166 |
| 38 | West St /35th St | 9900* | 10800 | 11980 | 12300 | 1.11 | 110 | 120 | 133 | 137 |
| 39 | WB Rte 24 | 31101 | 33200 | 38300 | 39330 | 1.11 | 345 | 369 | 425 | 437 |
| 40 | I-980 to WB I-580 | 13021 | 14590 | 18480 | 19260 | 1.11 | 145 | 162 | 205 | 214 |
| 41 | WB Rte 24 / WB 52nd St /Martin Luther King Jr Way | 29474 | 32100 | 35600 | 36480 | 1.11 | 327 | 356 | 395 | 405 |
| 42 | WB on from MacArthur | 7964 | 8450 | 9640 | 9880 | 1.11 | 88 | 94 | 107 | 110 |
| 43 | From WB I-80 | 53400 | 56610 | 64610 | 66220 | 1.11 | 593 | 628 | 717 | 735 |

*-AADT data from 2010-2014 Highway Operation's count database.

## PROJECT SCHEDULE

| Current <br> Programming <br> Dates | Preliminary <br> Engineering/ <br> Environmental | Engineering | Right <br> of <br> Way | Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | August 2021 | October 2022 | October 2022 | November 2024 |
| End | September 2022 | October 2024 | October 2024 | November 2026 |

## CONCLUSIONS

- The l-580 Ramp Metering Installation Project would manage congestion and control traffic flow entering freeway, minimize cut through traffic and enhance safety.
- The project would not increase capacity or percentage of trucks in the area.

This project should not be considered a project of air quality concern and, therefore, a PM2.5 hot-spot analysis for projectlevel conformity determination is not required.

## QUESTIONS?

## Project Information

DIST-CO-RTE-PM: 39.27
EA/EFIS ID (Caltrans Projects): 04-2Q770/0419000047
Fed. Aid. No. (Local Projects): N/A
FTIP ID No. (required): 20600006104
TCWG Consideration Date: 2/24/2022
Pollutant of Concern: PM2.5

## Contact Information

Lead Agency: Caltrans
Contact Person: Shilpa Mareddy
Phone: 510-418-1794
Fax:
Email: Shilpa.Mareddy@dot.ca.gov

## Environmental Approval Information

Anticipated Federal Environmental Approval (check appropriate box):
【 23 USC 326 CE $\square$ 23 USC 327 CE $\square$ EA
$\square$ E EIS

Anticipated Date of Federal Environmental Approval: March 24, 2022
Current Programming Dates (as appropriate):

|  | PA\&ED | PS\&E | ROW | CON |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | $10 / 11 / 2021$ | $2 / 15 / 2023$ | $2 / 15 / 2023$ | $9 / 2 / 2024$ |
| End | $2 / 15 / 2023$ | $8 / 30 / 2024$ | $8 / 30 / 2024$ | $9 / 1 / 2026$ |

## PROJECT SUMMARY FOR INTERAGENCY CONSULATION

 For projects that correct, improve, or eliminate a hazardous location or feature
## Project Details

## Project Description

The project proposes to improve safety on State Rote (SR) 116 and State Gulch Road intersection at Post Mile 39.27 in the City of Lakeville in Sonoma County. The following 4 alternatives are under considerations:

## Alternative 1A: Signalized Intersection at Existing Location

- Install traffic signals at all 3 legs of the existing intersection.
- Traffic Signals will meter traffic through the intersection and enhance movement from Lakeville Highway with proposed right-turn channelization lane.


## Alternative 1B: Signalized Intersection realigned to East

- Realign intersection east and install traffic signals at all 3 legs of the intersection.
- Traffic Signals will meter traffic through the intersection and enhance movement from Lakeville Highway with proposed right-turn channelization lane.


## Alternative 2A: Roundabout at Existing Location

- Construct roundabout at existing intersection.


## Alternative 2B: Roundabout realigned to East

- Realign intersection east and construct roundabout at intersection.

Project Purpose and Need (Summary) (attach additional sheets as necessary):
The purpose of the Project is to improve safety on SR 116 at the intersection of SR 116 (Stage Gulch Road) and Lakeville Highway by reducing the potential for broadside collisions and decreasing the severity of accidents.

The Project is needed due to an established pattern of broadside collisions involving northbound through vehicles on Lakeville Highway with left turning vehicles going eastbound on SR 116. A 3-year Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System (from 01/01/2015 to 12/31/2017) warrants improvement to this intersection.

Please provide collision data or justification on the need for the correction, improvement, or elimination of a hazardous location or feature:

Table 1: 3-Year Traffic Accident Data between 1/1/2015 to 12/31/2017

| Highway Intersection | Number of Accidents |  |  |  |  | Actual Accident Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Average Accident Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SON 116 | Total | FAT | INJ | F+I | PDO | FAT | F+I | Total | FAT | F+I | Total |
| PM 39.27 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0.08 | 0.53 | 1.210.69 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.33 |

Notes:
FAT = Fatal Accidents INJ = Injury accidents
F+I = Fatal plus Injury accidents
${ }^{1}$ \# of Accidents/ Million Vehicle Miles
PDO = Property damage only

Based on the traffic accident data in Table 1, provided by the Office of Traffic Safety, there were 16 collisions at Highway 116 PM 39.27 intersection with an actual total collision rate above the statewide average.

The type of collision included Broadside (9), Sideswipe (1), Rear end (5) and Head On (1). The primary collision factors were failure to yield (68.8\%), influence of alcohol (12.5\%), following too close (6.3\%), improper turn (6.3\%), and other violations (6.3\%). Of all collisions, $87.5 \%$ occurred under clear weather, $68.8 \%$ under day light hours, and $93.8 \%$ under dry road surface conditions. Prior to the collisions, making left turn was reported in 13 of the cases. There were no unusual roadway conditions.

There was one fatal collision at the intersection in the three-year period: The collision occurred on 9/18/2015 at PM 39.27 in clear, dark, and dry conditions (1920 hours) on Highway 116. The driver (P-1) who was under drug influence drove her vehicle (V-1) southbound on SR-116 attempting to turn left onto eastbound SR-116 and caused the collision with another vehicle ( $\mathrm{V}-2$ ) heading westbound on Lakeville Road. $\mathrm{P}-1$ suffered major injuries and died at the hospital.

Comments/Explanation/Details (attach additional sheets as necessary):
To resolve the broadside collisions and reduce the number and severity of accidents, the project proposes to convert the two-way stop-controlled intersection to either signalized intersection or roundabout. Therefore, this project would fall into a safety project, which Caltrans believes is an exempt project.

Caltrans would like to request a determination or concurrence from the Interagency Consultation Taskforce group that this project is exempt from project-level conformity under 40 CFR 93.126 - Projects that correct, improve, or eliminate a hazardous location or feature.

## SON 116/ Lakeville Road and State Gulch Road Intersection Improvement Project

Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting on February 24, 2022
MTC Bay Area Metro Center, 375 Beale Street, Suite 800, San Francisco, CA 94105

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, DISTRICT 4
111 Grand Avenue, Oakland, CA 94612

## PROJECT LOCATION



## PURPOSE AND NEED

Purpose: The purpose of the Project is to improve safety on SR 116 at the intersection of SR 116 (Stage Gulch Road) and Lakeville Highway by reducing the potential for broadside collisions and decreasing the severity of accidents.
Need: The Project is needed due to an established pattern of broadside collisions involving northbound through vehicles on Lakeville Highway with left turning vehicles going eastbound on SR 116. Based on the 3-year Traffic Accident Surveillance and Analysis System from 01/01/2015 to 12/31/2017 there were 16 collisions at the intersection of which 1 was fatal and 6 involved injury.

Table 1: 3-Year Traffic Accident Data between 1/1/2015 to 12/31/2017

| Highway Intersection | Number of Accidents |  |  |  |  | Actual Accident Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  | Average Accident Rates ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SON 116 | Total | FAT | INJ | F+I | PDO | FAT | F+I | Total | FAT | F+I | Total |
| PM 39.27 | 16 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 0.08 | 0.53 | 1.210 .69 | 0.02 | 0.17 | 0.33 |

## Notes:

FAT = Fatal Accidents
$\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}=$ Fatal plus Injury accidents
INJ = Injury accidents
PDO = Property damage only
${ }^{1}$ \# of Accidents/ Million Vehicle Miles

## PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 1A and 1B SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION



## PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE 2A and 2B ROUNDABOUT



## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

- The project proposes to improve safety on State Rote (SR) 116 and State Gulch Road intersection at Post Mile 39.27 in the City of Lakeville in Sonoma County. The following 4 alternatives are under considerations:
- Alternative 1A: Signalized Intersection at Existing Location
- Install traffic signals at all 3 legs of the existing intersection.
- Traffic Signals will meter traffic through the intersection and enhance movement from Lakeville Highway with proposed right-turn channelization lane.
- Alternative 1B: Signalized Intersection realigned to East
- Realign intersection east and install traffic signals at all 3 legs of the intersection.
- Traffic Signals will meter traffic through the intersection and enhance movement from Lakeville Highway with proposed right-turn channelization lane.
- Alternative 2A: Roundabout at Existing Location
- Construct roundabout at existing intersection.
- Alternative 2B: Roundabout realigned to East
- Realign intersection east and construct roundabout at intersection.


## PROJECT SCHEDULE

| Current <br> Programming <br> Dates | Preliminary <br> Engineering/ <br> Environmental | Engineering | Right <br> of <br> Way | Construction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | October 2021 | February 2023 | February 2023 | September 2024 |
| End | February 2023 | August 2024 | August 2024 | September 2026 |

## CONCLUSIONS

- The SON 116/ Lakeville road and State Gulch Road Intersection Project would improve Operational Improvement Project would resolve the broadside collision and reduce the number and severity of accidents.
- The project will construct a signalized intersection or a roundabout.
- Therefore, this project should be considered as a safety project, and it is an exempt project.


## QUESTIONS?

| County | TIP ID | Spoonsor | Project Name | Project Description | Expanded Description | Project Type under 40 CFR 93.126 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cc | CC-190023 | Walnut Creek | Walaut Creek-S Main St - Las Trampas CrBridge Rep | Walnut Creek: S. Main St over Las Trampas Creek (28C0075): Replace existing 5-lane bridge with a new 5-lane bridge | Walnut Creek; 5 . Main St over Las Trampas Creek (28c0075): Replace existing 5 -lane bridge with a new 5 -lane bridge | Safety - Widening narrow pavements or reconstructing bridges (no |
| Scl | SCL210026 | San Jose | Julian and St. James Couplet Conversion | San Jose: Along Julian St from Coleman Ave to 3rd St and St James from Market St to 4th St: Convert 1-way to 2-way traffic | San Jose: Along Julian St from Coleman Ave to 3rd St and St James from Market St to 4th St: Convert 1-way to 2-way traffic to improve roadway functionality and safety for all roadway users and to improve neighborhood livability. Project would include, but not limited to: 1 . Restriping the street for two-way traffic (one lane in each direction), 2. New and modified signals to accommodate two-way traffic and improve signal responsiveness for people walking and bicycling, 3. Streetlights (new pedestrian-scale lighting and conversion of existing lights to smart, energy efficient lighting) 4. Amenities for livability, traffic calming and complete streets, including street trees, wayfinding information, refurbishing non-functional fountains as planters, green backed bicycle sharrows, bike racks, accessible ramps, and high-visibility/decorative crosswalks | Safety - Hazard elimination program |
| scl | SCL210027 | Mountain View | Mountain View Shoreline Blvd Pathway Improvements | Mountain View: Adjacent to Shoreline Blvd from Wright Ave to Villa St: Reconstruct a pathway connection to connect neighborhoods and the Transit Center and Downtown. | Mountain View: Adjacent to Shoreline Blvd from Wright Ave to Villa St: Reconstruct a pathway connection to connect neighborhoods and the Transit Center and Downtown. Project scope includes removal of the existing pathway, installation of a new ADA-compliant bicycle and pedestrian pathway, curb, gutter, curb ramps, stairs, pathway lighting, landscaping, irrigation, storm drains, and retaining wall. | Air Quality - Bicycle and pedestrian facilities |
| SF | SF-210005 | SFMTA | Transbay Terminal Mobility Hub - East Cut | San Francisco: At former temporary Transbay Terminal, block bound by Folsom, Main, Howard and Beale streets, one block east of Salesforce Transit Center: Implement Mobility Hub Pilot improvements. | San Francisco: At the former temporary Transbay Terminal block bound by Folsom, Main, Howard and Beale streets, one block east of Salesforce Transit Center (The Transbay Terminal Mobility Hub at the Crossing at East Cut): Develop a mobility hub with East Cut Community Benefit District (CBD), where the CBD is implementing temporary uses including food service, recreational facilities, and programming. The Crossing at East Cut opened in summer 2021, and is expected to remain open until redevelopment occurs in 2025. Grant funds will be used for a quick-build project that includes long-term bicycle parking, seating, wayfinding and other amenities. These facilities will be complemented by the East Cut CBDis Crossing at East Cut programming and public space improvements at the project site. | Air Quality - Bicycle and pedestrian facilities |
| sot | 501210010 | Vallejo | Vallejo Springs RdP Pavement Preservation | Vallejo: On Springs Rd from Humboldt St. to Maywood Dr: Pavement preservation including pavement rehabilitation, curb ramps imp., curb and gutter, and pavement striping | Vallejo: On Springs Rd from Humboldt St. to Maywood Dr: Pavement preservation including developing and implementing a water pollution program, traffic control for street closures and detours, surveying and staking for proposed grades, remove and replace curb, gutter, sidewalk, and curb ramps, cold-milling removal of asphalt concrete, hot-mix asphalt paving, lowering and raising of existing utilities, recycling disposed materials, pavement striping, signage, relocating utilities, Capital Improvements shall include demolition, and all ancillary work associated with the work, completed in place as shown on the drawings and specifications. This project is part of an exchange of federal funds (OBAG2-SSM) from SOL170008 | Safety - Pavement resurfacing or rehabilitation |

METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION

TO: Air Quality Conformity Task Force
DATE: February 24, 2022
FR: Adam Crenshaw

## RE: Review of the Regional Conformity Status for New and Revised Projects

Staff has prepared the following information in an effort to streamline the review of the regional air quality conformity implications of projects that staff proposes to add into the 2021 TIP through current or future revisions. This item is for advisory purposes only. The inclusion of these projects and project changes in a proposed revision to the TIP is subject to Commission approval in the case of amendments and MTC's Executive Director or Deputy Executive Director in the case of administrative modifications. The final determination of the regional air quality conformity status of these projects will be made by the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency as part of their review of proposed final TIP amendments and by the Executive Director or Deputy Executive Director as part of their review for TIP administrative modifications.

## Changes Staff is Proposing to Include in the 2021 TIP

Staff is proposing to add some projects to the 2021 TIP. The description of the new projects along with the regional air quality category that staff believes best describes the projects are included on Attachment A.

MTC staff is not seeking a determination on the status of these projects for project-level conformity purposes with this item.

| \# | County | TIP ID/FMS ID | Sponsor | Project Name | Project Description | Project Expanded Description | Project Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Santa Clara | 7331 | VTA | SR-17 Bike/Ped Trail and Wildlife Crossing | Santa Clara County: SR-17 South of Los Gatos: Construct grade separated wildlife crossing, up to 5.4 miles of fencing, and a multi-use regional trail overcrossing | Santa Clara County: SR-17 South of Los Gatos: Construct a separate Highway 17 wildlife undercrossing at a top roadkill hotspot on the eastern slope of the Santa Cruz Mountains, up to 5.4 miles of related directional fencing, and a multi-use regional trail overcrossing to close a gap in the planned 550-mile Bay Area Ridge Trail. | EXEMPT (40 CFR 93.126) - Bicycle and pedestrian facilities |
| 2 | San Francisco | SF-210005 | SFMTA | Transbay Terminal Mobility Hub - East Cut | San Francisco: At former temporary Transbay Terminal, block bound by Folsom, Main, Howard and Beale streets, one block east of Salesforce Transit Center: Implement Mobility Hub Pilot improvements. | \$lan Francisco: At the former temporary Transbay Terminal block bound by Folsom, Main, Howard and Beale streets, one block east of Salesforce Transit Center (The Transbay Terminal Mobility Hub at the Crossing at East Cut): Develop a mobility hub with East Cut Community Benefit District (CBD), where the CBD is implementing temporary uses including food service, recreational facilities, and programming. The Crossing at East Cut opened in summer 2021, and is expected to remain open until redevelopment occurs in 2025. Grant funds will be used for a quick-build project that includes longterm bicycle parking, seating, wayfinding and other amenities. These facilities will be complemented by the East Cut CBD's Crossing at East Cut programming and public space improvements at the project site. | EXEMPT (40 CFR 93.126) - Bicycle and pedestrian facilities |


| 3 | Solano | Fairfield | Fairfield West Texas Street Complete Streets | Fairfield: Along West Texas St between Beck Ave and Pennsylvania Ave: Modernizes a relinquished highway to improve conditions for bicyclists and pedestrians traveling including implementing a road diet | Fairfield: Along West Texas St between Beck Ave and Pennsylvania Ave: Modernizes a relinquished highway to improve conditions for bicyclists and pedestrians traveling including implementing a road diet. The corridor is a primary route of local and regional significance, providing access to key community destinations including a major transit hub, downtown, a park, government services, and schools. As a Class II bike route, bicyclists share the curb lane of the 5 lane roadway with fast-moving traffic. Sidewalks are narrow and not buffered from the roadway; pedestrians often cross at unmarked and unsafe locations because there are too few marked crossings. Although facilities for walking and biking exist, they are insufficient. This proposed road diet will reduce lanes for motorist and upgrade facilities for bicyclist and pedestrians. Class II bike routes will be upgraded to Class IV separated bikeways and a landscaped street buffer will be installed; marked crossings will be added and a raised center median will be constructed. | EXEMPT (40 CFR 93.126) - Projects that correct, improve, or eliminate a hazardous location or feature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Solano | 7332 Vallejo | Vallejo Springs Rd Pavement Preservation | Vallejo: On Springs Rd from Humboldt St. to Maywood Dr: Pavement preservation including pavement rehabilitation, curb ramps imp., curb and gutter, and pavement striping | Vallejo: On Springs Rd from Humboldt St. to Maywood Dr: Pavement preservation including developing and implementing a water pollution program, traffic control for street closures and detours, surveying and staking for proposed grades, remove and replace curb, gutter, sidewalk, and curb ramps, cold-milling removal of asphalt concrete, hot-mix asphalt paving, lowering and raising of existing utilities, recycling disposed materials, pavement striping, signage, relocating utilities, Capital Improvements shall include demolition, and all ancillary work associated with the work, completed in place as shown on the drawings and specifications. | EXEMPT (40 CFR 93.126) - Pavement resurfacing and/or rehabilitation |

# Air Quality Conformity Task Force <br> Summary Meeting Notes <br> January 27, 2022 

Participants:
Dick Fahey - Caltrans
Shilpa Mareddy - Caltrans
Panah Stauffer - EPA
Abhijit Bagde - Caltrans
Lucas Sanchez - Caltrans
Lexie Arellano - Caltrans
Kevin Krewson - Caltrans
Naga Adibhatla - Caltrans
Jacqueline Kahrs - Caltrans
Joseph Vaughn - FHWA

Erika Espinosa Araiza - Caltrans
Andrea Gordon - BAAQMD
Steve Boland- SFMTA
Paul Hensleigh - YSAQMD
Patrick Pittenger - FHWA
Dominique Kraft - FTA
John Saelee - MTC
Adam Crenshaw - MTC
Harold Brazil - MTC

1. Welcome and Self Introductions: Harold Brazil (MTC) called the meeting to order at 9:35 am.

## 2. PM2.5 Project Conformity Interagency Consultations

a. Consultation to Determine Project of Air Quality Concern Status
i. US 101/Manuel T Freitas Parkway Interchange Project

Shilpa Mareddy (Caltrans) began the presentation of the US 101/Manuel T. Freitas Parkway Interchange project by discussing some of the project's background items, which included:

- The Project Report (PR) for the project was approved on June 2019 which included upgrading pedestrian facilities at the US 101/MFP interchange.
- Subsequent to the PR approval, additional study and consultation with Golden Gate Transit and the City of San Rafael resulted in modifying the interchange to a roundabout and moving the NB bus stop onto the frontage road.
- The project is currently listed in the Group TIP (VAR170009).
- This project is processed under NEPA as a non-categorical Exclusion Section 326, and NEPA document is a CE.

Ms. Mareddy also made the follow points in her description of the US 101/Manuel T. Freitas Parkway Interchange project:

- Modify Redwood Hwy/Civic Center Dr and MFP intersection, which adjoins the eastside of the interchange from a partial, stop-controlled intersection to a one lane roundabout.
- Relocate the northbound (NB) bus stop from within interchange footprint and State R/W, to the adjoining frontage road (NB Redwood Hwy) just north of the proposed roundabout in a dedicated bus pullout.
- To provide distance for buses to transition from the new bus stop to the NB slip on-ramp, the NB Lt Turn pocket from Redwood Hwy to the NB slip on-ramp will be moved north approximately $100^{\prime}$ from its current location. The opening to the NB slip on-ramp will be modified to have a single opening to account for the shift and eliminate the short merge on the ramp.
- Reconstruct sidewalks and pedestrian paths to correct abrupt level changes.
- Widen spot locations along pedestrian path to SB bus stop to provide 5 feet by 5 feet passing spaces at 200 feet intervals.
- Retrofit or construct new curb ramps.

Ms. Mareddy provided the following conclusions at the end of her presentation on the US 101/Manuel T. Freitas Parkway Interchange project:

- The US 101/Manuel T Freitas Parkway Interchange Project would upgrade pedestrian facilities to comply with current American with Disability Act (ADA) standards.
- The project does not increase capacity or percentage of trucks in the area.
- The project does not increase number of diesel vehicles that congregate at a single location.
- This project should not be considered a project of air quality concern and, therefore, a PM2.5 hot-spot analysis for project-level conformity determination is not required.

Lucas Sanchez (Caltrans) commented the US 101/Manuel T. Freitas Parkway Interchange project has NEPA delegation as section 326, categorical exclusion Caltrans is responsible for making the final determination (USDOT defers to Caltrans).

Final Determination: With input from EPA, FTA, FHWA (USDOT deferring their determination to Caltrans) and Caltrans, the Task Force concluded the US 101/Manuel T. Freitas Parkway Interchange project was not of air quality concern.

## ii. Park Presidio Lombard Temporary HOV Lanes Project

Steve Boland (SFMTA) introduced the Park Presidio Lombard Temporary HOV Lanes project by pointing out that the project is:

- SFMTA-led pilot project
- Close partnership with Caltrans District 4
- Part of SFMTA's COVID-related temporary transit lanes program
- Implementation delayed (partially implemented, on Lombard)
- Seeking to extend pilot to complete evaluation


## Project Area


sFmiA

While describing the project area, Mr. Boland also mentioned the design and policy components of the Park Presidio Lombard Temporary HOV Lanes project which included:

- Each street segment three lanes each way (with left-turn restrictions)
- Outermost lane converted to HOV/right turn
- No changes to other two lanes or to parking and loading
- HOV lanes in effect 5am-8pm Mon-Fri
- HOV-2 (all vehicles allowed under State law, including clean-air decals), plus right turn and parking access

Mr. Boland also discussed the public engagement process SFMTA conducted for the Park Presidio Lombard Temporary HOV Lanes project which included - 1. website with narrated presentation, 2. briefings offered to community stakeholders, $3.4,000$ e-mails, social media postings, posters and 4 . survey seeking input on evaluation.

Mr. Boland stated that there was a fair amount of pre project data collected for the Park Presidio Lombard Temporary HOV Lanes project and SFMTA intends to do three rounds of post project collection about corridors at roughly one, three- and six-months following implementation of the project.

Mr. Boland confirmed for Joseph Vaughn (FHWA) that there is no federal funding included Park Presidio Lombard Temporary HOV Lanes project. Mr. Vaughn then commented that the project would not need to support a NEPA document (and a hotspot analysis would not be required), but even temporary projects can be regionally significant and (therefore) they need to be

programmed and included in the travel demand. Mr. Vaughn also stated, moving forward - if a project is regionally significant, it needs to be in the regional transportation plan and TIP and MTC will need to run a conformity determination (to account for the project). Mr. Vaughn added that Caltrans has their own air quality analysis process that what FHWA does with an environmental document and the project-level conformity process, because there's no title 23, is not part of it.

Panah Stauffer (EPA) asked for clarification on the project timeline and Mr. Boland indicated the Lombard segment of the project was implemented in October and SFMTA has been planning the project since mid-2020 which was early in the pandemic. Ms. Stauffer agreed with Mr. Vaughn that the project should be defined as regionally significant and the conservative thing to do would be to include the project in the MTC's travel demand modeling. Patrick Pittenger (FHWA) also commented that a project doesn't become regionally significant if it's permanent - if it's permanent, it it's already regionally significant that's all that is, and the fact is the project is (currently) on the ground. Lucas Sanchez (Caltrans) followed by indicating when SFMTA does circle around and come to the Task Force for a PM assessment, at that point the Task Force would need to see and want to see the ADT, the level of service and the truck volumes, even though these are local roads, acknowledging that would inform Caltrans' decision at that point as to whether the project is of air quality concerned.

Mr. Boland indicated he will follow up what sort of data collection SFMTA will need to be carrying out specifically related to PM project-level assessment, because that's something that SFMTA as an
agency hasn't historically done within its environmental analyses. Harold Brazil (MTC) indicated he would work with Mr. Boland on the follow up work.
b. Confirm Projects Are Exempt from PM $\mathbf{P M}_{2.5}$ Conformity

## i. Projects Exempt Under 40 CFR 93.126 - Not of Air Quality Concern

Lucas Sanchez (Caltrans) asked for more information on TIP ID \#SCL210026, the Julian and St. James Couplet Conversion project in San Jose and final determination on whether the project would be deferred until the information is received. Panah Stauffer (EPA) asked if TIP ID \#SCL210013, the McKee-Julian Quick Strike Improvements in San Jose the project type should be "safety" or "bike and pedestrian" and Adam Crenshaw (MTC) indicated he would follow up.

Final Determination: With input from FTA, FHWA, EPA, Caltrans and MTC, the Task Force agreed that the project on the exempt list 2b_Exempt List 01202022.pdf is exempt from $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ project level analysis.

## 3. Projects with Regional Air Quality Conformity Concerns

Adam Crenshaw (MTC) stated staff prepared a list of three projects MTC is proposing to add to the TIP through upcoming amendment and asked to see if there were any questions or concerns about the scopes of the projects or the project types that MTC is proposing to use to describe them. Mr. Crenshaw highlighted the Bay Bridge Forward project and indicated MTC is programming preliminary engineering phase of the project currently - and when the preferred alternatives are identified with more firm project definitions - MTC will be adding those projects individually to the TIP and will be updating these projects as appropriate. Dominique Kraft (FTA) asked if the Bay Bridge Forward project is planned to be implemented as a program of projects or as like a suite of individual improvements and how the sequencing of projects would be conducted. Mr. Crenshaw responded by stating the projects would be implemented as individual projects and he would follow up with Ms. Kraft with more information. Joseph Vaughn (FHWA) commented the whole project, depending on how it's defined, needs to be consistent with what MTC has in the plan and TIP and Ms. Kraft followed by mentioning if MTC is looking at doing a suite of projects, one of the concerns would be segmentation - so MTC might want to consider conducting the project's environmental work all at once (then you know actually implementing things in a phased approach).

## 4. Consent Calendar

## a. December 2, 2021 Air Quality Conformity Task Force Meeting Summary

Patrick Pittenger (FHWA) thanked Harold Brazil (MTC) for the information contained in in Task Force meeting summary from the December 2, 2021 meeting.

Final Determination; With input from all members, the Task Force concluded that the consent calendar was approved.

