Date:	May 17, 201	2	
W.I.:	1512	_	
Referred by:	Planning		
5	Ũ	11/00/10 0	12/10/12 0
Revised:	10/24/12-C	11/28/12-C	12/19/12-C
	01/23/13-C	02/27/13-C	05/22/13-C
	09/25/13-C	11/20/13-C	12/18/13-C
	01/22/14-C	02/26/14-C	03/26/14-C
	04/23/14-C	05/28/14-C	06/25/14-C
	07/23/14-C	09/24/14-C	12/17/14-C
	03/25/15-C	05/27/15-C	06/24/15-C
	07/22/15-C	09/23/15-C	10/28/15-C
	11/18/15-C	12/16/15-C	01/27/16-C
	02/24/16-C	03/23/16-C	05/25/16-C
	07/27/16-C	12/21/16-C	01/25/17-C
	04/26/17-C	05/24/17-C	06/28/17-C
	07/26/17-C	09/27/17-C	10/25/17-C
	11/15/17-C	02/28/18-C	03/28/18-C
	05/23/18-C	06/27/18-C	07/25/18-C
	09/26/18-C	12/19/18-C	01/23/19-C
	04/24/19-C	09/25/19-C	

#### ABSTRACT

Resolution No. 4035, Revised

This resolution adopts the Project Selection Policies and Programming for federal Surface Transportation Authorization Act following the Safe, Accountable, Flexible and Efficient Transportation Equity Act (SAFETEA), and any extensions of SAFETEA in the interim. The Project Selection Policies contain the project categories that are to be funded with various fund sources including federal surface transportation act funding available to MTC for its programming discretion to be included in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

The resolution includes the following attachments:

Attachment A – Project Selection Policies
Attachment B-1 – Regional Program Project List
Attachment B-2 – OneBayArea Grant (OBAG 1) Project List

Attachment A (page 13) was revised on October 24, 2012 to update the PDA Investment & Growth Strategy (Appendix A-6) and to update county OBAG fund distributions using the most current RHNA data (Appendix A-1 and Appendix A-4). The Commission also directed \$20 million of the \$40 million in the regional PDA Implementation program to eight CMAs and the San Francisco Planning Department for local PDA planning implementation. Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to add new projects selected by the Solano Transportation Authority and Santa Clara Valley Transportation

Authority and to add projects under the Freeway Performance Initiative and to reflect the redirection of the \$20 million in PDA planning implementation funds.

Attachment A (pages 8, 9 and 13) was revised on November 28, 2012 to confirm and clarify the actions on October 24, 2012 with respect to the County PDA Planning Program.

Attachment A (page 12) was revised on December 19, 2012 to provide an extension for the Complete Streets policy requirement. Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to add new projects selected by the Solano Transportation Authority, Sonoma County Transportation Authority and Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority; add funding for CMA Planning activities; and to shift funding between two San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency projects under the Transit Performance Initiatives Program.

Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised on January 23, 2013 to add new projects selected by various Congestion Management Agencies and to add new projects selected by the Commission in the Transit Rehabilitation Program.

As referred by the Programming and Allocations Committee, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-2 were revised on February 27, 2013 to add Regional Safe Routes to School programs for Alameda and San Mateo counties, and to reflect previous Commission actions pertaining to the Transit Capital Rehabilitation Program, and to reflect earlier Commission approvals of fund augmentations to the county congestion management agencies for regional planning activities. As referred by the Planning Committee, Attachments A and B-1 were revised to reflect Commission approval of the regional Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning and Implementation program and Priority Conservation Area (PCA) program.

As referred by the Programming and Allocations Committee, Attachments B-1 and B-2 and Appendix A-2 to Attachment A were revised on May 22, 2013 to shift funding between components of the Freeway Performance Initiative Program with no change in total funding; and split the FSP/Incident Management project into the Incident Management Program and FSP/Callbox Program with no change in total funding; and redirect funding from ACE fare collection equipment to ACE positive train control; and add new OBAG projects selected by the Contra Costa Transportation Authority, Napa County Transportation and Planning Agency, City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo (CCAG), and the Solano Transportation Authority, including OBAG augmentation for CCAG Planning activities.

Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised on September 25, 2013 to add new projects selected by various Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant, Regional Safe Routes to School, and Priority Conservation Area Programs.

Attachment A, Attachments B-1 and B-2 and Appendix A-2 to Attachment A were revised on November 20, 2013 to add new projects and make grant amount changes as directed by various Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant Program. Also the deadline for jurisdictions' adoption of general plans meeting the latest RHNA was updated to reflect the later than scheduled adoption of Plan Bay Area.

Attachment B-1 to the resolution was revised on December 18, 2013 to add an FPI project for environmental studies for the I-280/Winchester I/C modification.

Attachment B-2 was revised on January 22, 2014 to adjust project grant amounts as directed by various Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant Program, including changes as a result of the 2014 RTIP.

Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised on February 26, 2014 to add six OBAG projects selected by the CMA's, make adjustments between two Santa Clara OBAG projects, and add three PDA Planning Program projects in Sonoma County.

Attachment B-1 was revised on March 26, 2014 to add 15 projects to the Transit Performance Initiative Program and 3 projects in Marin County to the North Bay Priority Conservation Area Program.

On April 23, 2014, Attachment B-1 was revised to add 13 projects to the Priority Conservation Grant Program, revise the grant amount for the BART Car Exchange Preventative Maintenance Project in the Transit Capital Rehabilitation Program, and add three projects to the Climate Initiatives Program totaling \$14,000,000.

As referred by the Planning Committee, Attachment B-1 was revised on May 28, 2014 to reflect Commission approval of the selection of projects for the PDA Planning Technical Assistance and PDA Staffing Assistance Programs.

As referred by the Programming and Allocations Committee, Attachment A and Attachment B-2 were revised on May 28, 2014 to change the program delivery deadline from March 31, 2016 to January 31,

2017, and to adjust two projects as requested by Congestion Management Agencies in the OneBayArea Grant Program.

On June 25, 2014, Attachment B-1 was revised to add an additional \$500,000 to the Breuner Marsh Project in the regional PCA Program and to identify a transportation exchange project (Silverado Trail Phase G) for the Soscol Headwaters Preserve Acquisition in the North Bay PCA Program, and to Redirect \$2,500,000 from Ramp Metering and Traffic Operations System (TOS) elements to the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS), within the Freeway Performance Initiatives (FPI) Program.

On July 23, 2014, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$22.0 million from the Cycles 1 & 2 Freeway Performance Initiatives (FPI) Programs and \$5 million from other projects and savings to the Golden Gate Bridge Suicide Deterrent System.

On September 24, 2014, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to add 5 projects totaling \$19M to the Transit Performance Initiative Program (TPI), to shift funding within the Freeway Performance Initiative Program; to add a project for \$4 million for SFMTA for priority identified TPI funding; to provide an additional \$500,000 to the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI); and to amend programming for two projects in Santa Clara County: San Jose's The Alameda "Beautiful Way" Phase 2 project, and Palo Alto's US-101/Adobe Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge project.

On December 17, 2014, Attachments A, B-1, and B-2 and Appendices A-1 and A-2 to Attachment A were revised to add a fifth year – FY 2016-17 - to the Cycle 2/OBAG 1 program to address the overall funding shortfall and provide additional programming in FY 2016-17 to maintain on-going commitments in FY 2016-17; make adjustments within the Freeway Performance Initiatives Program; rescind the Brentwood Wallace Ranch Easement Acquisition from the Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program reducing the PCA program from \$5 million to \$4.5 million and use this funding to help with the FY 17 shortfall; identify two Santa Clara Local Priority Development Area Planning Program projects totaling \$740,305 to be included within MTC's Regional Priority Development Area Program grants; make revisions to local OBAG compliance policies for complete streets and housing as they pertain to jurisdictions' general plans update deadlines; add five car sharing projects totaling \$2,000,000 under the climate initiatives program; and add the Clipper Fare Collection Back Office Equipment Replacement Project to the Transit Capital Priority Program for \$2,684,772.

On March 25, 2015, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to: add FY 2016-17 regional planning funds to Attachment B-1 per Commission action in December 2014; Redirect \$1.0 million from the ALA-I-

680 Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI) project to Preliminary Engineering (PE) for various FPI corridors and redirect \$270,000 in FPI Right of Way (ROW) savings to the SCL I-680 FPI project to cover an increase in Caltrans support costs; direct funding to the statewide local streets and roads needs assessment; identify specific Priority Development Area (PDA) planning grants in San Mateo County; delete the \$10.2 million Masonic Avenue Complete Streets project and add the SF Light Rail Vehicle Procurement project in San Francisco County; and redirect \$0.5 million from the Capitol Expressway Traffic ITS and Bike/Pedestrian Improvement project to the San Tomas Expressway Box Culvert Rehabilitation project in Santa Clara County.

On May 27, 2015, Attachment B-1 was revised to add Round 3 (\$9,529,829) of the Transit Performance Incentive Program which involves 7 new projects and augmentations to 7 existing projects; and to add the Grand Avenue Bicycle / Pedestrian Improvements Project (\$717,000) in San Rafael to the Safe Routes to School Program, and delete the Bicycle sharing project (\$6,000,000).

On June 24, 2015, Attachment B-1 was revised to identify a \$265,000 Local Priority Development Area Planning Grant for the City of Palo Alto.

On July 22, 2015, Attachments B-1 and Attachment B-2 were revised to redirect \$3,000,000 from the SFMTA N-Judah Mobility Maximization project to the SFMTA Colored Lanes on MTC Rapid Network project within the Transit Performance Initiative program, identify a \$252,000 Safe Routes to Schools grant for San Mateo County, redirect \$2,100,000 in Freeway Performance Initiative funding from the Alameda County I-680 project to the Various Corridors – Caltrans Preliminary Engineering project, delete \$500,000 from the SMART Vehicle Purchase project in Sonoma County (revised from \$6,600,000 to \$6,100,000), and add the SMART Clipper Card Service project in Sonoma County for \$500,000.

On September 23, 2015, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$6,100,000 from the SMART Vehicle Purchase project to the SMART San Rafael to Larkspur Extension project.

On October 28, 2015, Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$350,000 from Vacaville's Ulatis Creek Bicycle/Pedestrian Pathway and Streetscape project to Vallejo's Downtown Streetscape – Phases 3 and 4 project, and to redirect \$122,249 from Marin Transit's Preventive Maintenance program to the preliminary engineering phase of Marin Transit's Relocate Transit Maintenance Facility project.

On November 18, 2015, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-3 to Attachment A were revised to increase the program amount for the Safe Routes to School Program by \$2.35 million increasing the FY 2016-17 program amount to \$5.0 million.

On December 16, 2015, Attachment B-1 was revised to add six parking management and transportation demand management projects totaling \$6,000,000 under the Climate Initiatives Program.

On January 27, 2016, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to: add the Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District's Advanced Communications and Information System (ACIS) project for \$2,000,000 under the Transit Capital Rehabilitation program; redirect \$10,000,000 under the Transit Capital Rehabilitation program; redirect \$10,000,000 under the Transit Capital Rehabilitation program from SFMTA's New 60' Flyer Trolley Bus Replacement project to SFMTA's New 40' Neoplan Bus Replacement project; and add \$74,000 in grant funding to the City of San Rafael's Grand Avenue Bicycle/Pedestrian Improvements project under the Regional Safe Routes to School program; and redirect \$67,265 from the San Francisco Department of Public Work's ER Taylor Safe Routes to School project to the Chinatown Broadway Complete Streets Phase IV project; and redirect \$298,000 from Menlo Park's Various Streets and Roads Preservation project and \$142,000 from San Bruno's San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian Improvements project to Daly City's John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements project (\$290,000) and San Carlo's Streetscape and Pedestrian Improvements project (\$150,000); and redirect \$89,980 from Vacaville's Ulatis Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Path and Streetscape project to Suisun City's Driftwood Drive Path project.

On February 24, 2016, Attachment B-1 and Appendix A-2 were revised to transfer \$75,000 from BCDC Planning to MTC Planning within the Regional Planning Activities program, to enable an equivalent amount of MTC funds to support Bay Area Regional Collaborative Consultant expenses.

On March 23, 2016, Attachment B-1 was revised to transfer \$280,000 from MTC's 511- Traveler Information to MTC's Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation; identify funding for Service Authority for Freeways and Expressways (SAFE) separately from MTC funding (no change in total funding), direct \$1,073,000 to the Alameda County Safe Routes to School Program within the Regional Safe Routes to School Program; and identify three Priority Development Area planning grants in Santa Clara County within the Priority Development Area Planning and Implementation Program.

On May 25, 2016, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$68,228 in cost savings from MTC/VTA's SR 82 Relinquishment Exploration Study to ABAG PDA Planning within the Priority Development Area (PDA) Planning and Implementation Program; redirect \$20.0 million in unobligated balances and

cost savings within the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI) for Caltrans to direct towards support and capital needs related to the close-out of active ramp metering projects and/or delivery of any outstanding ramp metering projects; transfer \$1,171,461 from Golden Gate Bridge Highway and Transportation District's Advanced Communications and Information System (ACIS) to its MS Sonoma Refurbishment project; and add Round 4 (\$23,457,614) of the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Incentive Program, which involves 14 new projects and augmentations to nine existing projects.

On July 27, 2016, Attachment B-1and B-2 were revised to: reflect updated cost savings numbers within the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI); direct \$360,000 to the San Francisco Department of Public Health's Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program, direct \$314,000 to the Solano Transportation Authority's Solano County Safe Routes to School Non-Infrastructure Program and redirect \$791,000 from San Rafael's Grand Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements project to Marin County's North Civic Center Drive Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements project within the Regional Safe Routes to School Program; direct \$9 million to AC Transit's Higher Capacity Bus Fleets/Increased Service Frequencies program and \$1 million to MTC's West Grand Avenue Transit Signal Priority project within the Transit Performance Initiative – Capital Investment Program; identify a transportation exchange project (Vineyard Road Improvements) for Novato's Thatcher Ranch Easement and Pacheco Hill Parkland Acquisitions in the North Bay PCA Program; redirect \$52,251 from San Francisco Department of Public Works' (SF DPW) ER Taylor Safe Routes to School project to the Second Street Complete Streets project to reflect that it will be implemented by SF DPW.

On December 21, 2016, Attachments B-1 and B-2 and appendices A-1, A-2 and A-4 were revised to: transfer \$100,000 from BCDC Planning to MTC Planning within the Regional Planning Activities program to support Bay Area Regional Collaborative expenses; redirect \$500,000 from MTC/SAFE's Incident Management Program within the Freeway Performance Initiative and \$338,000 from Hayward's Comprehensive Parking Management Plan Implementation project to MTC's Spare the Air Youth Program within the Climate Initiatives program; revise the project title of the Incident Management Program to clarify the focus on I-880 Integrated Corridor Management and direct \$383,000 in program savings for future use; direct \$5,820,000 from the Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation project under the Freeway Performance Initiative program as follows: \$1,100,000 to CCTA's San Pablo Dam Road project to facilitate an exchange of an equivalent amount of local funds to support MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiative, \$1,100,000 to CCTA's SR 4 Operational Improvements, and \$3,620,000 for MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiative - Related Activities project; repurpose \$10,000,000 in Transit Oriented Affordable

Housing (TOAH) loan funds to a new Affordable Housing Jumpstart Program; transfer \$40,000 from San Anselmo's Sunny Hill Ridge and Red Hills Trail project to Mill Valley's Bayfront Park Recreational Bay Access project within the North Bay Priority Conservation Area (PCA) program; transfer \$100,000 from Emeryville's Hollis Street Preservation project to Berkeley's Hearst Avenue Complete Streets project within the County Program; and transfer \$14,000 from MTC's Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation to Caltrans' to reflect actual obligations for their Ramp Metering and TOS Elements Program within the Freeway Performance Initiative. Appendices A-1, A-2 and A-4 were revised to reflect programming actions taken by the Commission with this action or in prior actions pertaining to the overall funding levels for Climate Initiatives, Safe Routes to School, Transit Capital Priorities, and Transit Performance Initiative programs within the Regional Program and the final amounts distributed to each county through the County Program.

On January 25, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to add Round 3 of the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Capital Investment Program, which involves five new projects; the programing for these projects is derived from \$14,962,000 in unprogrammed balances and \$3,991,000 redirected from Round 2 TPI projects, for a total of \$18,953,000.

On April 26, 2017, Attachment B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$345,000 in Regional Safe Routes to School Program funding and redirect \$150,000 from Cloverdale's Safe Routes to School Phase 2 project in Sonoma County Program funding to the Sonoma County Safe Routes to School Program; reprogram \$859,506 within the Transit Performance Initiatives (TPI) – Incentive Program, and \$1,118,681 within Round 3 of the TPI – Investment Program.

On May 24, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$3,440,000 from Sunnyvale's East & West Channel Multi-Use Trail to Milpitas' Montague Expressway Pedestrian Bridge at Milpitas BART; reprogram \$223,065 from Duane Avenue Preservation to Maude Avenue Bikeway and Streetscape within Sunnyvale; reprogram \$550,928 from San Tomas Expressway Box Culvert Rehabilitation to the Capitol Expressway Traffic ITS and Bike/Pedestrian Improvements within Santa Clara County; and rename San Jose's Downtown San Jose Bike Lanes and De-couplet to Almaden Ave. & Vine St. Safety Improvements to reflect a revised scope.

On June 28, 2017, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$265,000 from Palo Alto Local PDA Planning to VTA for Local PDA Planning – Santa Clara within the Regional PDA Planning Program; redirect \$412,000 in cost savings from Fremont's Various Streets and Roads Preservation to Fremont's City Center Multi-Modal Improvements within the Alameda County Program; revise the

name of the Sonoma County Safe Routes to School (SRTS) project to clarify that the funds are supplemental to the OBAG County Program base SRTS funds; and redirect \$264,000 in cost savings from the Santa Rosa Complete Streets Road Diet on Transit Corridors project and \$100,000 from the Sonoma County SRTS to an unprogrammed balance for the Sonoma County Program. On July 26, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$2,322,000 in unprogrammed balances within the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Capital Investment Program, for four new North Bay projects.

On September 27, 2017, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$94,000 in cost savings from Dixon's West A Street Preservation to Solano County's Redwood-Fairgrounds Drive Interchange Bike/Transit Improvements within the Solano County Program.

On October 25, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$44,000 from Caltrain's Map-Based Real-Time Train Display to its Control Point Installation project and redirect \$96,000 from Napa Valley Transportation Authority's Comprehensive Operational Analysis to its Imola Avenue and SR 29 Express Bus Improvements project within the Transit Performance Initiative – Incentive Program; and program \$73 in remaining program balances to the NVTA Imola Avenue and SR-29 Express Bus Improvements Project within the Transit Performance Initiative – Incentive Program; and program \$73 in

On November 15, 2017, Attachment B-1 was revised to program \$105,000 in Regional Safe Routes to School (SRTS) to Napa Valley Transportation Authority for Napa County's SRTS Program, \$225,000 to San Mateo County Office of Education for San Mateo County's SRTS Program, and \$1,000,000 to Los Altos for the Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements within Santa Clara County; and to redirect \$783,000 in the Climate Initiatives Program from Walnut Creek's Parking Guidance System Pilot to the N Main St Rehabilitation project as part of a funding exchange arrangement.

On February 28, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to program \$607,000 to Moraga's Moraga Way and Canyon Rd/Camino Pablo Improvements project and \$215,000 to Concord's Willow Pass Repaving and Safe Routes to School (SRTS) project within the Regional SRTS program; program \$364,000 to Santa Rosa's US 101 Bike/Pedestrian Overcrossing project within the Sonoma County Program; and reprogram the SFPark to Cycle 1 and clarify exchange projects within the program.

On March 28, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to reduce the amount programmed within the Regional Climate Initiatives Program to the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) Car Share4All project to \$573,453 to reflect a change in scope; redirect \$630,000 in project savings from the NextGen

Arterial Operations Program (AOP), a subcomponent of the Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS), to the AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Improvements project; and to identify Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) as the sponsor of the Montague Expressway Pedestrian Overcrossing at Milpitas BART.

On May 23, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$20,587 from Union City's Single Point Login Terminals on Revenue Vehicles to its South Alameda County Major Corridor Travel Time Improvements project within the Transit Performance Initiative program; and reflect the redirection of \$4,350,000 in Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) funds from Palo Alto's US 101/Adobe Creek Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge to San Jose's West San Carlos Urban Village Streetscape Improvements project within Santa Clara County's OBAG 1 County Program.

On June 27, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$820,000 from MTC's Bay Bridge Forward Commuter Parking Initiatives Related Activities project to CCTA's I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements; \$636,763 from ECCTA's Replacement of Eleven 40' Buses project to the Clipper<sup>®</sup> Next Generation Fare Collection System project within the Transit Capital Rehabilitation Program; and to program \$400,411 in unprogrammed balances within the Climate Initiatives Program to MTC's Carsharing Implementation project.

On July 25, 2018, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$150,000 from Oakland's Transportation Impact Review Streamlining Technical Assistance grant within the Regional PDA Planning Grant program, with \$65,000 directed to Rohnert Park's Central Rohnert Park PDA/Creekside Neighborhood Subarea Connector Path Technical Assistance grant, and \$85,000 directed to Windsor's PDA Planning and Implementation Staffing Assistance grant.

On September 26, 2018, Attachments B-1 and B-2 were revised to redirect \$1,000,000 from Los Altos' Miramonte Ave Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Improvements project and \$346,000 in Santa Clara County's Safe Routes to School program (SRTS) unprogrammed balances to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project within the Regional SRTS program; redirect \$794,000 from Santa Clara County's Capitol Expressway Traffic Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) and Bike/Pedestrian Improvements project to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Its Positive Train Control project within the Transportation Performance Initiative (TPI) Incentive program; and direct \$500,000 within the TPI Investment program

from Novato's Downtown SMART Station project to Novato Pavement Rehabilitation as part of a local funding exchange to support the Downtown SMART Station project.

On December 19, 2018, Attachment B-2 was revised to redirect \$794,000 from Sunnyvale's East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements project to Sunnyvale's Peery Park Sense of Place Improvements within the Santa Clara County Program.

On January 23, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$500,000 from Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority's (VTA's) Santa Clara Pocket Track Light Rail Interlocking to VTA's Light Rail Crossovers and Switches project within the Transit Performance Initiative Capital Investments Program.

On April 24, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to redirect \$1,600,000 from AC Transit's Bay Bridge Forward (BBF) Higher Capacity Bus Fleets and Increased Service Frequencies project to its Double Decker Bus Wash project within the Transit Performance Initiative (TPI) Investment Program.

On September 25, 2019, Attachment B-1 was revised to change the sponsor of the I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements project from the Contra Costa Transportation Authority (CCTA) to the City of Richmond.

Further discussion of the Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policies is contained in the memorandum to the Joint Planning Committee dated May 11, 2012; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated October 10, 2012; to the Commission dated November 28, 2012; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated December 12, 2012 and January 9, 2013; to the Joint Planning Committee dated February 8, 2013; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated February 8, 2013; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated February 8, 2013; to the Programming and Allocations Committee dated February 13, 2013, May 8, 2013, September 11, 2013, November 13, 2013, December 11, 2013, January 8, 2014; February 12, 2014, March 5, 2014, April 9, 2014; and to the Planning Committee dated May 9, 2014; and to the MTC Programming and Allocations Committee Summary Sheet dated May 14, 2014, June 11, 2014, July 9, 2014, September 10, 2014, December 10, 2014, March 11, 2015, May 13, 2015, and to the Administration Committee on May 13, 2015, and to the Programming and Allocations Committee on June 10, 2015, July 8, 2015, September 9, 2015, October 14, 2015, November 4, 2015, December 9, 2015, January 13, 2016, February 10, 2016, March 9, 2016, April 13, 2016, May 11, 2016, July 13, 2016, December 14, 2016, January 11, 2017, April 12, 2017, May 10, 2017, June 14, 2017, July 12, 2017, September 13, 2017, October 11, 2017, February 14, 2018, March 7, 2018, May 9, 2018, June 13, 2018, July

11, 2018, September 12, 2018, December 12, 2018, January 9, 2019, April 10, 2019, and September 4, 2019.

Date: May 17, 2012 W.I.: 1512 Referred By: Planning

#### RE: <u>Federal Cycle 2 Program covering FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 and FY 2015-16</u>: <u>Project Selection Policies and Programming</u>

#### METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 4035

WHEREAS, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) is the Regional Transportation Planning Agency (RTPA) for the San Francisco Bay Area pursuant to Government Code Section 66500 <u>et seq</u>.; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the ninecounty San Francisco Bay Area region and is required to prepare and endorse a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which includes federal funds; and

WHEREAS, MTC is the designated recipient for federal funding administered by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) assigned to the MPO/RTPA of the San Francisco Bay Area for the programming of projects (regional federal funds); and

WHEREAS, the federal funds assigned to the MPOs/RTPAs for their discretion are subject to availability and must be used within prescribed funding deadlines regardless of project readiness; and

WHEREAS, MTC, in cooperation with the Association of Bay Area Governments, (ABAG), the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD), the Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs), transit operators, counties, cities, and interested stakeholders, has developed criteria, policies and procedures to be used in the selection of projects to be funded with various funding including regional federal funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS, using the policies set forth in Attachment A of this Resolution, MTC, in cooperation with the Bay Area Partnership and interested stakeholders, has or will develop a program of projects to be funded with these funds for inclusion in the federal Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), as set forth in Attachments B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution, incorporated herein as though set forth at length; and

WHEREAS the federal TIP and subsequent TIP amendments and updates are subject to public review and comment; now therefore be it

<u>RESOLVED</u> that MTC approves the "Project Selection Policies and Programming" for projects to be funded with Cycle 2 Program funds as set forth in Attachments A, B-1 and B-2 of this Resolution; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the federal funding shall be pooled and redistributed on a regional basis for implementation of Project Selection Criteria, Policies, Procedures and Programming, consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP); and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the projects will be included in the federal TIP subject to final federal approval; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director or his designee can make technical adjustments and other non-substantial revisions, including updates to fund distributions to reflect final 2014-2022 FHWA figures; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director or designee is authorized to revise Attachments B-1 and B-2 as necessary to reflect the programming of projects as the projects are selected and included in the federal TIP; and be it further

<u>RESOLVED</u> that the Executive Director shall make available a copy of this resolution, and such other information as may be required, to the Governor, Caltrans, and to other such agencies as may be appropriate.

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

Adrienne J. Vissier, Chair

The above resolution was entered into by the Metropolitan Transportation Commission at the regular meeting of the Commission held in Oakland, California, on May 17, 2012

Date: May 17, 2012 W.I.: 1512 Referred by: Planning Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 02/27/13-C 11/20/13-C 05/28/14-C 12/17/14-C

> Attachment A Resolution No. 4035

# Cycle 2 / OBAG 1 Program Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

## For

## FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16, and FY 2016-17

This page intentionally left blank

Date: May 17, 2012 W.I.: 1512 Referred by: Planning Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 02/27/13-C 11/20/13-C 05/28/14-C 12/17/14-C

> Attachment A Resolution No. 4035

# Cycle 2 / OBAG 1 Program Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

## For

## FY 2012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15, FY 2015-16, and FY 2016-17

This page intentionally left blank

## Cycle 2 Program Policy and Programming

## **Table of Contents**

BACKGROUND	1
CYCLE 2 REVENUE ESTIMATES AND FEDERAL PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE	1
NEW FUNDING APPROACH FOR CYCLE 2-THE ONE BAY AREA GRANT	2
CYCLE 2 GENERAL PROGRAMMING POLICIES	3
REGIONAL PROGRAMS	8
ONEBAYAREA GRANT PROGRAMMING POLICIES	11
CYCLE 2 COUNTY ONE BAY AREA GRANT PROJECT GUIDANCE	14
PROGRAM SCHEDULE	18

### **Appendices**

Appendix A-1	Cycle 2 Regional and County Programs
Appendix A-2	Cycle 2 Planning Activities
Appendix A-3	Safe Routes to School County Fund Distribution
Appendix A-4	<b>OBAG</b> County Fund Distribution
Appendix A-5	<b>OBAG</b> Call for Projects Guidance
Appendix A-6	PDA Investment and Growth Strategy
Appendix A-7	County PDA Implementation
Appendix A-8	Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program

## BACKGROUND

Anticipating the end of the federal Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA) on September 30, 2009, MTC approved Cycle 1 commitments (Resolution 3925) along with an overall framework to guide upcoming programming decisions for Cycle 2 to address the new six-year surface transportation authorization act funding. However, the successor to SAFETEA has not yet been enacted, and SAFETEA has been extended through continuing resolutions. Without the new federal surface transportation act, MTC may program funds forward based on reasonable estimates of revenues. It is estimated that roughly \$795 million is available for programming over the upcoming four-year Cycle 2 period.

Cycle 2 covers the four years from FY 2012-13 to FY 2016-17 pending the enactment of the new authorization and/or continuation of SAFETEA.

This attachment outlines how the region will use Cycle 2 funds for transportation needs in the MTC region. Funding decisions continue to implement the strategies and objectives of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), Transportation 2035, which is the Bay Area's comprehensive roadmap to guide transportation investments in surface transportation including mass transit, highway, local road, bicycle and pedestrian projects over the long term. The program investments recommended for funding in Cycle 2 are an outgrowth of the transportation needs identified by the RTP and also take into consideration the preferred transportation investment strategy of the Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCS).

Appendix A-1 provides an overview of the Cycle 2 Program commitments which contain a regional program component managed by MTC and a county program component to be managed by the counties.

### **CYCLE 2 REVENUE ESTIMATES AND FEDERAL PROGRAM ARCHITECTURE**

MTC receives federal funding for local programming from the State for local programming in the MTC region. Among the various transportation programs established by SAFETEA, this includes regional Surface Transportation Program (STP) Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program and to a lesser extent, Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP) and Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds. The STP/CMAQ/RTIP/TE programming capacity in Cycle 2 amounts to \$795 million. The Commission programs the STP/CMAQ funds while the California Transportation Commission programs the RTIP and TE Funds. Furthermore, the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) is contributing Transportation Fund for Clean Air (TFCA) funding to Cycle 2. Below are issues to be addressed as the region implements Cycle 2 programming, particularly in light that approval of Cycle 2 will precede approval of the new federal transportation act.

**Revenues:** A revenue growth rate of 3% over prior federal apportionments is assumed for the first year – FY 2012-13. Due to continued uncertainties with federal funding, the estimated revenues for the later years of the program, FY 2013-14 through FY 2016-17, have not been escalated, but held steady at the estimated FY 2012-13 apportionment amount. If there are significant reductions in federal apportionments over the Cycle 2 time period, as in the past, MTC will reconcile the revenue levels following enactment of the New Act by making adjustments later if needed, by postponement of projects or adjustments to subsequent programming cycles.

**Fund Sources:** Development of the new federal surface transportation authorization will need to be closely monitored. New federal programs, their eligibility rules, and how funding is distributed to the states and regions could potentially impact the implementation of the Cycle 2 Regional and One Bay Area Grant (OBAG) Programs. It is anticipated that any changes to the federal programs would likely overlap to a large extent with projects that are currently eligible for funding under Title 23 of the United States Code, though the actual fund sources will likely no longer be referred as STP/CMAQ/TE in the manner we have grown accustomed. Therefore, reference to specific fund sources in the Cycle 2 programming is a proxy for replacement fund sources for which MTC has programming authority.

## NEW FUNDING APPROACH FOR CYCLE 2—THE ONEBAYAREA GRANT

For Cycle 2, the OneBayArea Grant (OBAG) is a new funding approach that better integrates the region's federal transportation program with California's climate law (Senate Bill 375, Steinberg, 2008) and the Sustainable Communities Strategy. Funding distribution to the counties will encourage land-use and housing policies that support the production of housing with supportive transportation investments. This is accomplished through the following policies:

- Using transportation dollars to reward jurisdictions that accept housing allocations through the Regional Housing Need Allocation (RHNA) process and produce housing.
- Supporting the Sustainable Communities Strategy for the Bay Area by promoting transportation investments in Priority Development Areas (PDAs) and by initiating a pilot program in the North Bay counties that will support open space preservation in Priority Conservation Areas (PCA).
- Providing a higher proportion of funding to local agencies and additional investment flexibility by eliminating required program targets. A significant amount of funding that was used for regional programs in Cycle 1 is shifted to local programs (the OneBayArea Grant). The OBAG program allows investments in transportation categories such as Transportation for Livable Communities, bicycle and pedestrian improvements, local streets and roads preservation, and planning and outreach activities, while also providing targeted funding opportunities for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) and Priority Conservation Areas.

#### Project List

Attachment B of Resolution 4035 contains the list of projects to be programmed under the Cycle 2 Program. Attachments B-1 and B-2 are listings of projects receiving Cycle 2 funding, and reflects the programs and projects included in the regional and OBAG programs respectively. The listing is subject to project selection actions (conducted by MTC for most of the regional programs and by the CMAs for funds distributed to them). MTC staff will update Attachments B-1 and B-2 as projects are selected by the Commission and CMAs and are included in the federal TIP.

#### OneBayArea Grant Fund Distribution Formula

The formula used to distribute OneBayArea Grant funding to the counties takes into consideration the following factors: population, past housing production, future housing commitments as determined by the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG) Regional Housing Needs

Assessment (RHNA) and added weighting to acknowledge very low and low income housing. The formula breakdown is as follows with distributions derived from each jurisdiction's proportionate share of the regional total for each factor:

Factor Weighting	Percentage
Population	50%
RHNA* (total housing units)	12.5%
RHNA (low/very low income housing units)	12.5%
Housing Production** (total housing units)	12.5%
Housing Production (low/very low income housing units)	12.5%

### **OBAG Fund Distribution Factors**

\* RHNA 2014-2022

\*\*Housing Production Report 1999-2006

The objective of this formula is to provide housing incentives to complement the region's Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) which together with a Priority Development Area (PDA) focused investment strategy will lead to transportation investments that support focused development. The proposed One Bay Area Grant formula also uses actual housing production data from 1999-2006, which has been capped such that each jurisdiction receives credit for housing up to its RHNA allocation. Subsequent funding cycles will be based on housing production from ABAG's next housing report to be published in 2013. The formula also recognizes jurisdictions' RHNA and past housing production (uncapped) contributions to very low and low income housing units. The resulting OBAG fund distribution for each county is presented in Appendix A-4. Funding guarantees are also incorporated in the fund distribution to ensure that all counties receive as much funding under the new funding model as compared to what they would have received under the Cycle 1 framework.

The Commission, working with ABAG, will revisit the funding distribution formula for the next cycle (post FY 2016-17) to further evaluate how to best incentivize housing production across all income levels and other Plan Bay Area performance objectives.

## **CYCLE 2 GENERAL PROGRAMMING POLICIES**

The following programming policies apply to all projects funded in Cycle 2:

1. **Public Involvement.** MTC is committed to a public involvement process that is proactive and provides comprehensive information, timely public notice, full public access to key decisions, and opportunities for continuing involvement. MTC provides many methods to fulfill this commitment, as outlined in the *MTC Public Participation Plan*, Resolution No. 3821. The Commission's adoption of the Cycle 2 program, including policy and procedures meet the provisions of the MTC *Public Participation Plan*. MTC's advisory committees and the Bay

Area Partnership have been consulted in the development of funding commitments and policies for this program; and opportunities to comment have been provided to other stakeholders and members of the public.

Furthermore, investments made in the Cycle 2 program must be consistent with federal Title VI requirements. Title VI prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, income, and national origin in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. Public outreach to and involvement of individuals in low income and minority communities covered under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Executive Order pertaining to Environmental Justice is critical to both local and regional decisions. Additionally, when CMAs select projects for funding at the county level, they must consider equitable solicitation and selection of project candidates in accordance with federal Title VI requirements (as set forth in Appendix A-5).

- 2. Commission Approval of Programs and Projects and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Projects approved as part of the Cycle 2 Program must be amended into the federal TIP. The federally required TIP is a comprehensive listing of all San Francisco Bay Area surface transportation projects that receive federal funds, and/or are subject to a federally required action, such as federal environmental clearance, and/or are regionally significant for air quality conformity or modeling purposes. It is the project sponsor's responsibility to ensure their project is properly programmed in the TIP in a timely manner. Where CMAs are responsible for project selection the Commission will revise the TIP to include the resulting projects and Attachment B to this Resolution may be amended by MTC staff to reflect these revisions. Where responsibility for project selection in the framework of a Cycle 2 funding program is assigned to MTC, TIP amendments and a revision to Attachment B will be reviewed and approved by the Commission.
- 3. **Minimum Grant Size.** The objective of a grant minimum requirement is to maximize the efficient use of federal funds and minimize the number of federal-aid projects which place administrative burdens on project sponsors, CMAs, MTC, Caltrans, and Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) staff. Funding grants per project must therefore be a minimum of \$500,000 for counties with a population over 1 million (Alameda, Contra Costa, and Santa Clara counties) and \$250,000 for counties with a population under one million (Marin, Napa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Solano, and Sonoma counties).

To provide flexibility, alternatively an averaging approach may be used. A CMA may program grant amounts no less than \$100,000 for any project, provided that the overall average of all grant amounts within their OBAG program meets the county minimum grant amount threshold.

Given the typical smaller scale of projects for the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program, a lower threshold applies to the regional Safe Routes to School Program projects which have a minimum grant size of \$100,000.

4. Air Quality Conformity. In the Bay Area, it is the responsibility of MTC to make an air quality conformity determination for the TIP in accordance with federal Clean Air Act requirements and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conformity regulations. MTC evaluates the impact of the TIP on regional air quality during the biennial update of the TIP. Since the 2011 air quality conformity finding has been completed for the 2011 TIP, no non-exempt projects that were not incorporated in the finding will be considered for funding in the Cycle 2 Program until

the development of the 2013 TIP during spring 2013. Additionally, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency has designated the Bay Area as a non-attainment area for PM 2.5. Therefore, based on consultation with the MTC Air Quality Conformity Task Force, projects deemed "Projects of Air Quality Concern" must complete a hot-spot analysis required by the Transportation Conformity Rule. Generally Projects of Air Quality Concern (POAQC) are those projects that result in significant increases in the number of or emissions from diesel vehicles.

- 5. Environmental Clearance. Project sponsors are responsible for compliance with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.), the State Environmental Impact Report Guidelines (14 California Code of Regulations Section 15000 et seq.), and the National Environmental Protection Act (42 USC Section 4-1 et seq.) standards and procedures for all projects with federal funds.
- 6. Application, Resolution of Local Support. Project sponsors must submit a completed project application for each project proposed for funding through MTC's Funding Management System (FMS). The project application consists of two parts: 1) an application submittal and/or TIP revision request to MTC staff, and 2) Resolution of Local Support approved by the project sponsor's governing board or council. A template for the resolution of local support can be downloaded from the MTC website using the following link: http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/STPCMAQ/STP\_CMAQ\_LocalSupportReso.doc
- 7. **Project Screening and Compliance with Regional and Federal Requirements.** MTC staff will perform a review of projects proposed for the Cycle 2 Program to ensure 1) eligibility; 2) consistency with the RTP; and 3) project readiness. In addition, project sponsors must adhere to directives such as "Complete Streets" (MTC Routine Accommodations for Bicyclists and Pedestrians); and the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy as outlined below; and provide the required matching funds. Project sponsors should note that fund source programs, eligibility criteria, and regulations may change as a result of the passage of new surface transportation authorization legislation. In this situation, MTC staff will work to realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission.
  - ► Federal Project Eligibility: STP has a wide range of projects that are eligible for consideration in the TIP. Eligible projects include, federal-aid highway and bridge improvements (construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, resurfacing, restoration, and operational), mitigation related to an STP project, public transit capital improvements, pedestrian, and bicycle facilities, and transportation system management, transportation demand management, transportation control measures, surface transportation planning activities, and safety. More detailed eligibility requirements can be found in Section 133 of Title 23 of the United States Code.

CMAQ funding applies to new or expanded transportation projects, programs, and operations that help reduce emissions. Eligible project categories that meet this basic criteria include: Transportation activities in approved State Implementation Plan (SIP), Transportation Control Measures (TCMs), alternative fuels, traffic flow improvements, transit expansion projects, bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs, travel demand management, outreach and rideshare activities, telecommuting programs, intermodal freight, planning and project development activities, Inspection and maintenance

programs, magnetic levitation transportation technology deployment program, and experimental pilot projects. For more detailed guidance see the *CMAQ Program Guidance* (FHWA, November 2008).

In the event that the next surface transportation authorization materially alters these programs, MTC staff will work with project sponsors to match projects with appropriate federal fund programs. MTC reserves the right to assign specific fund sources based on availability and eligibility requirements.

- ▶ <u>RTP Consistency</u>: Projects included in the Cycle 2 Program must be consistent with the adopted Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), according to federal planning regulations. Each project included in the Cycle 2 Program must identify its relationship with meeting the goals and objectives of the RTP, and where applicable, the RTP ID number or reference.
- Complete Streets (MTC Routine Accommodations of Pedestrians and Bicyclists) Policy): Federal, state and regional policies and directives emphasize the accommodation of bicyclists, pedestrians, and persons with disabilities when designing transportation facilities. MTC's Complete Streets policy (Resolution No. 3765) created a checklist that is intended for use on projects to ensure that the accommodation of non-motorized travelers are considered at the earliest conception or design phase. The county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) ensure that project sponsors complete the checklist before projects are considered by the county for funds and submitted to MTC. CMAs are required to make completed checklists available to their Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committee (BPAC) for review prior to CMAs' project selection actions for Cycle 2.

Other state policies include, Caltrans Complete Streets Policy Deputy Directive 64 R1 which stipulates: pedestrians, bicyclists and persons with disabilities must be considered in all programming, planning, maintenance, construction, operations, and project development activities and products and SB 1358 California Complete Streets Act, which requires local agency general plan circulation elements to address all travel modes.

▶ Project Delivery and Monitoring. Cycle 2 funding is available in the following five federal fiscal years: FY 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15, FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17. Funds may be programmed in any one of these years, conditioned upon the availability of federal apportionment and obligation authority (OA). This will be determined through the development of an annual obligation plan, which is developed in coordination with the Partnership and project sponsors. However, funds MUST be obligated in the fiscal year programmed in the TIP, with all Cycle 2 funds to be obligated no later than January 31, 2017. Specifically, the funds must be obligated by FHWA or transferred to Federal Transit Administration (FTA) within the federal fiscal year that the funds are programmed in the TIP.

All Cycle 2 funding is subject to the Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy and any subsequent revisions (MTC Resolution No. 3606 at <a href="http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/delivery/MTC\_Res\_3606.pdf">http://www.mtc.ca.gov/funding/delivery/MTC\_Res\_3606.pdf</a> . Obligation deadlines, project substitutions and redirection of project savings will continue to be governed by

the MTC Regional Project Funding Delivery Policy. All funds are subject to obligation, award, invoicing, reimbursement and project close out requirements. The failure to meet these deadlines may result in the de-programming and redirection to other projects.

To further facilitate project delivery and ensure all federal funds in the region are meeting federal and state regulations and deadlines, every recipient of Cycle 2 funding will need to identify a staff position that serves as the single point of contact for the implementation of all FHWA-administered funds within that agency. The person in this position must have sufficient knowledge and expertise in the federal-aid delivery process to coordinate issues and questions that may arise from project inception to project close-out. The agency is required to identify the contact information for this position at the time of programming of funds in the federal TIP. This person will be expected to work closely with FHWA, Caltrans, MTC and the respective CMA on all issues related to federal funding for all FHWA-funded projects implemented by the recipient.

Project sponsors that continue to miss delivery milestones and funding deadlines for any federal funds are required to prepare and update a delivery status report on all projects with FHWA-administered funds they manage, and participate if requested in a consultation meeting with the county CMA, MTC and Caltrans prior to MTC approving future Cycle programming or including any funding revisions for the agency in the federal TIP. The purpose of the status report and consultation is to ensure the local public agency has the resources and technical capacity to deliver FHWA federal-aid projects, is fully aware of the required delivery deadlines, and has developed a delivery timeline that takes into consideration the requirements and lead-time of the federal-aid process within available resources.

By applying for and accepting Cycle 2 funding, the project sponsor is acknowledging that it has and will maintain the expertise and staff resources necessary to deliver the federalaid project within the funding timeframe.

- ► Local Match. Projects funded with STP or CMAQ funding requires a non-federal local match. Based on California's share of the nation's federal lands, the local match for STP and CMAQ is currently 11.47% of the total project cost. The FHWA will reimburse up to 88.53% of the total project cost. Project sponsors are required to provide the required match, which is subject to change.
- ► Fixed Program and Specific Project Selection. Projects are chosen for the program based on eligibility, project merit, and deliverability within established deadlines. The Cycle 2 program is project specific and the funds programmed to projects are for those projects alone. The Cycle 2 Program funding is fixed at the programmed amount; therefore, any cost increase may not be covered by additional Cycle 2 funds. Project sponsors are responsible for securing the necessary match, and for cost increases or additional funding needed to complete the project including contingencies.

## **REGIONAL PROGRAMS**

The programs below comprise the Regional Program of Cycle 2, administered by the Commission. Funding amounts for each program are included in Attachment A-1. Individual projects will be added to Attachment B as they are selected and included in the federal TIP.

#### 1. Regional Planning Activities

This program provides funding to the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), the San Francisco Bay Area Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC), and MTC to support regional planning activities. (Note that in the past this funding category included planning funding for the CMAs. Starting with Cycle 2, CMAs will access their OneBayArea Grant to fund their planning activities rather than from this regional program category). Appendix A-2 details the fund distribution.

#### 2. Regional Operations

This program includes projects which are administered at the regional level by MTC, and includes funding to continue regional operations programs for Clipper®, 511 Traveler information (including 511 Rideshare, 511 Bicycle, 511 Traffic, 511 Real-Time Transit and 511 transit), Freeway Service Patrol / SAFE and Incident Management. Information on these programs is available at <a href="http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/">http://www.mtc.ca.gov/services/</a>.

#### 3. Freeway Performance Initiative

This program builds on the proven success of recent ramp metering projects that have achieved significant delay reduction on Bay Area freeways and arterials at a fraction of the cost of traditional highway widening projects. Several corridors are proposed for metering projects, targeting high congestion corridors. These projects also include Traffic Operations System elements to better manage the system as well as implementing the express lane network. This category also includes funding for performance monitoring activities, regional performance initiatives implementation, Regional Signal Timing Program, Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS), freeway and arterial performance initiative projects and express lanes.

#### 4. Pavement Management Program

This continues the region's Pavement Management Program (PMP) and related activities including the Pavement Technical Assistance Program (PTAP). MTC provides grants to local jurisdictions to perform regular inspections of their local streets and roads networks and to update their pavement management systems which is a requirement to receive certain funding. MTC also assists local jurisdictions in conducting associated data collection and analysis efforts including local roads needs assessments and inventory surveys and asset management analysis that feed into regional planning efforts. MTC provides, training, research and development of pavement and nonpavement preservation management techniques, and participates in the state-wide local streets and roads needs assessment effort.

#### 5. Priority Development Area (PDA) Implementation

Funding in this program implements the following:

#### **Regional PDA Implementation:**

#### ABAG Funding: Funds directed to ABAG for implementation of PDAs.

*Affordable TOD fund:* This is a continuation of MTC's successful Transit Oriented Affordable Housing (TOAH) fund into Cycle 2 which successfully has leveraged a significant amount of outside funding. The TOD fund provides financing for the development of affordable housing and other vital community services near transit lines throughout the Bay Area. Through the Fund, developers can access flexible, affordable capital to purchase or improve available property near transit lines for the development of affordable housing, retail space and other critical services, such as child care centers, fresh food outlets and health clinics. Similar to the initial investment in the TOAH Fund, the following are program conditions: 1) MTC is able to exchange the \$10 million in federal transportation funds for local funds because they cannot be used directly for housing investment; 2) Foundation or other sources of funding would be matched by MTC funds on a minimum 3:1 basis to reach a minimum fund of \$40 million, and 3) the TOAH fund would be spent only in PDAs on projects that have the greatest potential to deliver affordable housing units with direct access to transit.

**PDA Planning Grants:** MTC and ABAG's PDA Planning Grant Program will place an emphasis on affordable housing production and preservation in funding agreements with grantees. Grants will be made to jurisdictions to provide support in planning for PDAs in areas such as providing housing, jobs, intensified land use, promoting alternative modes of travel to the single occupancy vehicle, and parking management. These studies will place a special focus on selected PDAs with a greater potential for residential displacement and develop and implement community risk reduction plans. Grants will be made to local jurisdictions to provide planning support as needed to meet regional housing goals. Also program funds will establish a new local planning assistance program to provide staff resources directly to jurisdictions to support local land-use planning for PDAs. The Regional PDA Planning/Implementation component will complement county PDA Planning efforts, but will target investments in jurisdictions taking on the majority of Plan Bay Area housing and job growth. Funds would be used to support planning grants and technical assistance.

MTC will commence work with state and federal government to create private sector economic incentives to increase housing production.

*Local Planning & Implementation:* Funds are made available to support local jurisdictions in their planning and implementation of PDAs in each of the nine counties, developed through the county PDA Investment & Growth Strategy in consultation with ABAG and MTC. Funding is distributed to the county CMAs (with funds for San Francisco distributed to the City/County of San Francisco planning department) using the OBAG distribution formula with no county receiving less than \$750,000 as shown in Appendix 5. Local jurisdictions will either directly access these funds through Caltrans Local Assistance similar to other OBAG grants provided to them by the CMAs, the CMAs may choose to provide individual grants to local jurisdictions through a single program administered by the CMA, or the CMA may request that ABAG administer the grants in cooperation with the local jurisdictions. CMA grants to local jurisdictions and the expenditure of funds by the San Francisco Planning Department are to be aligned with the recommendations and priorities identified in their adopted PDA Growth and Investment Strategy; as well as to the PDA Planning Program guidelines as they apply only to those activities relevant to those guidelines. The CMAs are limited to using no more than 5% of the funds for program administration.

#### 6. Climate Change Initiatives

The proposed funding for the Cycle 2 Climate Initiative Program is to support the implementation of strategies identified in Plan Bay Area to achieve the required CO2 emissions reductions per SB375 and federal criteria pollutant reductions. Staff will work with the Bay Area Air Quality Management District to implement this program.

#### 7. Safe Routes to Schools

Within the Safe Routes to School Program (SR2S program) funding is distributed among the nine Bay Area counties based on K-12 total enrollment for private and public schools as reported by the California Department of Education for FY 2010-11. Appendix A-3 details the county fund distribution. Before programming projects into the TIP the CMAs shall provide the SR2S recommended county program scope, budget, schedule, agency roles, and federal funding recipient. CMAs may choose to augment this program with their own Cycle 2 OBAG funding.

#### 8. Transit Capital Rehabilitation

The program objective is to assist transit operators to fund major fleet replacements, fixed guideway rehabilitation and other high-scoring capital needs, and implement elements of the Transit Sustainability Project, consistent with the FTA Transit Capital Priorities program (MTC Resolution 4072 or successor resolution). This includes a set-aside of \$1 million to support the consolidation and transition of Vallejo and Benicia bus services to SolTrans.

**9.** *Transit Performance Initiative:* This new pilot program implements transit supportive investments in major transit corridors that can be carried out within two years. The focus is on making cost-effective operational improvements on significant trunk lines which carry the largest number of passengers in the Bay Area including transit signal prioritization, passenger circulation improvements at major hubs, and boarding/stop improvements. Specific projects are included in Attachment B.

**10.** Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program: This is a new pilot program for the development of Priority Conservation Area (PCA) plans and projects to assist counties to ameliorate outward development expansion and maintain their rural character. The PCA funding program includes one approach for the North Bay program (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) and a second for the remaining five counties. In the North Bay, each CMA will take the lead to develop its own program building on PCA planning conducted to date and select projects for funding. For the remaining counties, MTC and ABAG will partner with the Coastal Conservancy, a California State agency, to program the PCA funds. MTC will provide \$5 million to the Coastal Conservancy to manage the call for projects in coordination with the Coastal Conservancy's own program funds in order to support a broader range of projects (i.e. land acquisition and easement projects) than can be accommodated with federal transportation dollars alone and achieve the 3:1 minimum match as required by OBAG. MTC and ABAG staff will support the administration of the program. Appendix A-8 outlines the framework for this program including goals, project screening eligibility, eligible sponsors, and project selection.

## **ONEBAYAREA GRANT PROGRAMMING POLICIES**

The policies below apply to the OneBayArea Grant Program, administered by the county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) or substitute agency:

- Program Eligibility: The congestion management agency may program funds from its One Bay Area Grant fund distribution to projects that meet the eligibility requirements for any of the following transportation improvement types:
  - Local Streets and Roads Preservation
  - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements
  - Transportation for Livable Communities
  - Safe Routes To School/Transit
  - Priority Conservation Area
  - Planning and Outreach Activities
- Fund Source Distribution: OBAG is funded primarily from three federal fund sources: STP, CMAQ and TE. Although the new federal surface transportation authorization act now under consideration may alter the actual fund sources available for MTC's programming discretion it is anticipated that any new federal programs would overlap to a large extent with existing programs. The CMAs will be provided a breakdown of specific OBAG fund sources, with the understanding that actual fund sources may change as a result of the new federal surface transportation act. In this situation, MTC staff will work with the CMAs to realign new fund sources with the funding commitments approved by the Commission. Furthermore, due to strict funding availability and eligibility requirements, the CMAs must adhere to the fund source limitations provided. Exceptions may be granted by MTC staff based on actual fund sources available and final apportionment levels.

In determining the fund source distribution to the counties, each county was first guaranteed at least what they would otherwise received in Cycle 2 under the original Cycles 1 & 2 framework as compared to the original July 8, 2011 OBAG proposal. This resulted in the county of Marin receiving an additional \$1.1 million, county of Napa receiving \$1.3 million each, and the county of Solano receiving \$1.4 million, for a total of \$3.8 million (in CMAQ funds) off the top to hold these counties harmless. The Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds were then distributed based on the county TE shares available for OBAG as approved in the 2012 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP). STP funds were then assigned to the CMA planning and outreach activities. The remaining STP funds assigned to OBAG were then distributed to each county based on the OBAG distribution formula. The remaining funds were distributed as CMAQ per the OBAG formula distribution for the overall funding amounts for each county.

- Priority Development Area (PDA) Policies
  - PDA minimum: CMAs in larger counties (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Mateo, San Francisco, and Santa Clara) shall direct at least 70% of their OBAG

investments to the PDAs. For North Bay counties (Marin, Napa, Solano, and Sonoma) this minimum target is 50% to reflect the more rural nature of these counties. A project lying outside the limits of a PDA may count towards the minimum provided that it directly connects to or provides proximate access to a PDA. Depending on the county, CMA planning costs would partially count towards PDA targets (70% or 50%) in line with its PDA funding target. At MTC staff discretion, consideration may be given to counties that provided higher investments in PDAs in Cycle 1 as part of an overall Cycle 1 and 2 investment package. Priority Conservation Area (PCA) investments do not count towards PDA targets and must use "anywhere" funds. The PDA/'anywhere' funding split is shown in Appendix A-4.

- PDA Boundary Delineation: Refer to <a href="http://geocommons.com/maps/141979">http://geocommons.com/maps/141979</a> which provides a GIS overlay of the PDAs in the Bay Area to exact map boundaries including transportation facilities. As ABAG considers and approves new PDA designations this map will be updated.
- Defining "proximate access to PDAs": The CMAs make the determination for
  projects to count toward the PDA minimum that are not otherwise geographically
  located within a PDA. For projects not geographically within a PDA, CMAs are
  required to map projects and designate which projects are considered to support a
  PDA along with policy justifications. This analysis would be subject to public
  review when the CMA board acts on OBAG programming decisions. This should
  allow decision makers, stakeholders, and the public to understand how an
  investment outside of a PDA is to be considered to support a PDA and to be
  credited towards the PDA investment minimum target. MTC staff will evaluate
  and report to the Commission on how well this approach achieves the OBAG
  objectives prior to the next programming cycle.
- PDA Investment & Growth Strategy: By May 1, 2013, CMAs shall prepare and adopt a PDA Investment & Growth Strategy to guide transportation investments that are supportive of PDAs. An existing Investment and Growth Strategy adopted by the County will be considered as meeting this requirement if it satisfies the general terms in Appendix A-6. See Appendix A-6 for details.
- Performance and Accountability Policies: Jurisdictions need to comply with the following policies in order to be eligible recipients of OBAG funds.
  - To be eligible for OBAG funds, a jurisdiction will need to address complete streets policies at the local level through the adoption of a complete streets policy resolution no later than January 31, 2013. A jurisdiction can also meet this requirement through a general plan that complies with the Complete Streets Act of 2008. Staff will provide minimum requirements based on best practices for the resolution. A county can provide its jurisdictions an extension of the deadline to June 30, 2013 as long as no programming for projects is requested of MTC until jurisdictions are in compliance. As discussed below, jurisdictions will be expected to have a general plan that complies within the Complete Streets Act of 2008 to be eligible for the next round of funding.

- A jurisdiction is required to have its general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2007-14 RHNA prior to January 31, 2013. If a jurisdiction submits its housing element to the state on a timely basis for review, but the State's comment letter identifies deficiencies that the local jurisdictions must address in order to receive HCD certification, then the local jurisdiction may submit a request to the Joint MTC Planning / ABAG Administrative Committee for a time extension to address the deficiencies and resubmit its revised draft housing element to HCD for re-consideration and certification.
- For the OBAG cycle subsequent to FY 2016-17, a jurisdiction is required to have its general plan housing element adopted and certified by the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) for 2014-22 RHNA prior to May 31, 2015. Additionally, a jurisdiction is required to have its general plan circulation element comply with the Complete Streets Act of 2008 prior to January 31, 2016. These deadlines must be met in order to be eligible for funding for the subsequent OBAG cycle.
- OBAG funds may not be programmed to any jurisdiction out of compliance with OBAG policies and other requirements specified in this attachment. The CMA will be responsible for tracking progress towards these requirements and affirming to MTC that a jurisdiction is in compliance prior to MTC programming OBAG funds to its projects in the TIP.
- For a transit agency project sponsor under a JPA or district (not under the governance of a local jurisdiction), the jurisdiction where the project (such as station/stop improvements) is located will need to comply with these policies before funds may be programmed to the transit agency project sponsor. However, this is not required if the project is transit/rail agency property such as, track, rolling stock or transit maintenance facility.
- CMAs will provide documentation for the following prior to programming projects in the TIP:
  - The approach used to select OBAG projects including outreach and a board adopted list of projects
  - Compliance with MTC's complete streets policy
  - A map delineating projects selected outside of PDAs indicating those that are considered to provide proximate access to a PDA including their justifications as outlined on the previous page. CMA staff is expected to use this exhibit when it presents its program of projects to explain the how "proximate access" is defined to their board and the public.
  - Self-certification that the PDA Investment and Growth Strategy, Performance and Accountability Measures, and Outreach have been met using the checklist developed by MTC and the CMAs.
- MTC staff will report on the outcome of the CMA project selection process in late 2013. This information will include, but not be limited to, the following:
  - Mix of project types selected;

- Projects funded within PDAs and outside of PDAs and how proximity and direct connections were used and justified through the county process;
- Complete streets elements that were funded;
- Adherence to the performance and accountability requirements;
- Amount of funding to various jurisdictions and how this related to the distribution formula that includes population, RHNA housing allocations and housing production, as well as low-income housing factors.
- Public participation process.
- The CMAs will also be required to present their PDA Growth Strategy to the Joint MTC Planning / ABAG Administrative Committee.
- Project Selection: County congestion management agencies or substitute agencies are given the responsibility to develop a project selection process along with evaluation criteria, issue a call for projects, conduct outreach, and select projects
  - Public Involvement: The decision making authority to select projects for federal funding accompanies responsibilities to ensure that the process complies with federal statutes and regulations. In order to ensure that the CMA process for administering OBAG is in compliance, CMAs are required to lead a public outreach process as directed by Appendix A-5.
  - Unified Call for Projects: CMAs are requested to issue one unified call for projects for their One Bay Area grant, with a final project list due to MTC by June 30, 2013. CMA staff need to ensure that all projects are submitted using the Fund Management System (FMS) no later than July 30, 2013. The goal of this process is to reduce staff time, coordinate all programs to respond to larger multi-modal projects, and provide project sponsors the maximum time to deliver projects.
  - Project Programming Targets and Delivery Deadlines: CMAs must program their block grant funds over the four-year period of Cycle 2 (FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16). The expectation is that the CMA planning activities \ project would use capacity of the first year to provide more time for delivery as contrasted to other programs which tend to have more complex environmental and design challenges, but this is not a requirement. The funding is subject to the provisions of the Regional Project Delivery Policy (MTC Resolution 3606 or its successor) including the Request for Authorization (RFA) submittal deadline and federal authorization/obligation deadline. Furthermore the following funding deadlines apply for each county, with earlier delivery strongly encouraged:
    - Half of the OBAG funds, including all funds programmed for the PE phase, must be obligated (federal authorization/E-76) by March 31, 2015.
    - All remaining OBAG funds must be obligated by January 31, 2017.

### CYCLE 2 COUNTY ONE BAY AREA GRANT PROJECT GUIDANCE

The categories below comprise the Cycle 2 County One Bay Area Grant Program, administered by the county congestion management agencies. Project selection should ensure that all of the

eligibility requirements below are met. MTC staff will work with CMAs and project sponsors to resolve any eligibility issues which may arise, including air quality conformity exceptions and requirements.

#### 1. CMA Planning and Outreach

This category provides funding to the nine county Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) to support regional planning, programming and outreach activities. Such efforts include: county-based planning efforts for development of the RTP/SCS; development of PDA growth strategies; development and implementation of a complete streets compliance protocol; establishing land use and travel forecasting process and procedures consistent with ABAG/MTC; ensuring the efficient and effective delivery of federal-aid local projects; and undertaking the programming of assigned funding and solicitation of projects. The base funding level reflects continuing the Transportation 2035 commitment level by escalating at 3% per year from the base amount in FY 2011-12. In addition, the CMAs may request additional funding from their share of OBAG to enhance or augment additional activities at their discretion. All funding and activities will be administered through an interagency agreement between MTC and the respective CMA. Actual amounts for each CMA as augmented, are shown in Appendix A-2

#### 2. Local Streets and Roads Preservation

This category is for the preservation of local streets and roads on the federally-eligible system. To be eligible for funding of any Local Streets and Roads (LSR) preservation project, the jurisdiction must have a certified Pavement Management Program (StreetSaver® or equivalent). The needs analysis ensures that streets recommended for treatment are cost effective. Pavement projects should be based on the needs analysis resulting from the established Pavement Management Program (PMP) for the jurisdiction. MTC is responsible for verifying the certification status. The certification status can be found at <a href="https://www.mtcpms.org/ptap/cert.html">www.mtcpms.org/ptap/cert.html</a>. Specific eligibility requirements are included below:

#### Pavement Rehabilitation:

Pavement rehabilitation projects including pavement segments with a PCI below 70 should be consistent with segments recommended for treatment within the programming cycle by the jurisdiction's PMP.

<u>Preventive Maintenance</u>: Only projects where pavement segments have a Pavement Condition Index (PCI) of 70 or above are eligible for preventive maintenance. Furthermore, the local agency's Pavement Management Program (PMP) must demonstrate that the preventive maintenance strategy is a cost effective method of extending the service life of the pavement.

#### Non-Pavement:

Eligible non-pavement activities and projects include rehabilitation or replacement of existing features on the roadway facility, such as storm drains, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES), curbs, gutters, culverts, medians, guardrails, safety features, signals, signage, sidewalks, ramps and features that bring the facility to current standards. The jurisdiction must still have a certified PMP to be eligible for improvements to non-pavement features.

Activities that are not eligible for funding include: Air quality non-exempt projects (unless granted an exception by MTC staff), capacity expansion, new roadways, roadway extensions, right of way acquisition (for future expansion), operations, routine maintenance, spot application, enhancements that are above and beyond repair or replacement of existing assets (other than bringing roadway to current standards), and any pavement application not recommended by the Pavement Management Program unless otherwise allowed above.

<u>Federal-Aid Eligible Facilities:</u> Federal-aid highways as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)(5) are eligible for local streets and roads preservation funding. A federal-aid highway is a public road that is not classified as a rural minor collector or local road or lower. Project sponsors must confirm the eligibility of their roadway through the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) prior to the application for funding.

<u>Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) Program Set-Aside:</u> While passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991 dissolved the Federal Aid Secondary (FAS) program, California statutes provide the continuation of minimum funding to counties, guaranteeing their prior FAS shares. The first three years of Cycle 2 were covered up-front under the Cycle 1 FAS program (covering a total 6-year period). The fourth and fifth years of Cycle 2 will be covered under the OBAG. Funding provided to the counties by the CMAs under OBAG will count toward the continuation of the FAS program requirement.

#### 3. Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements

The Bicycle and Pedestrian program may fund a wide range of bicycle and pedestrian improvements including Class I, II and III bicycle facilities, bicycle education, outreach, sharing and parking, sidewalks, ramps, pathways and pedestrian bridges, user safety and supporting facilities, and traffic signal actuation.

According to CMAQ eligibility requirements, bicycle and pedestrian facilities must not be exclusively recreational and reduce vehicle trips resulting in air pollution reductions. Also to meet the needs of users, hours of operation need to be reasonable and support bicycle / pedestrian needs particularly during commute periods. For example the policy that a trail be closed to users before sunrise or after sunset limits users from using the facility during the peak commute hours, particularly during times of the year with shorter days. These user restrictions indicate that the facility is recreational rather than commute oriented. Also, as contrasted with roadway projects, bicycle and pedestrian projects may be located on or off the federal-aid highway system.

#### 4. Transportation for Livable Communities

The purpose of Transportation for Livable Communities (TLC) projects is to support communitybased transportation projects that bring new vibrancy to downtown areas, commercial cores, highdensity neighborhoods, and transit corridors, enhancing their amenities and ambiance and making them places where people want to live, work and visit. The TLC program supports the RTP/SCS by investing in improvements and facilities that promote alternative transportation modes rather than the single-occupant automobile.

General project categories include the following:

• Station Improvements such as plazas, station access pocket parks, bicycle parking

- Complete streets improvements that encourage bicycle and pedestrian access
- Transportation Demand Management projects including carsharing, vanpooling traveler coordination and information or Clipper®-related projects
- Connectivity projects connecting high density housing/jobs/mixed use to transit, such as bicycle/pedestrian paths and bridges and safe routes to transit.
- Density Incentives projects and non-transportation infrastructure improvements that include density bonuses, sewer upgrade, land banking or site assembly (these projects require funding exchanges to address federal funding eligibility limitations)
- Streetscape projects focusing on high-impact, multi-modal improvements or associated with high density housing/mixed use and transit (bulb outs, sidewalk widening, cross walk enhancements, audible signal modification, mid block crossing and signal, new stripping for bicycle lanes and road diets, pedestrian street lighting, medians, pedestrian refugees, way finding signage, pedestrian scaled street furniture including bus shelters, tree grates, benches, bollards, magazine racks, garbage and recycling bins, permanent bicycle racks, signal modification, street trees, raised planters, planters, costs associated with on- site storm water management, permeable paving)
- Funding for TLC projects that incentivize local PDA Transit Oriented Development Housing

#### 5. Safe Routes to School

The county Safe Routes to School Program continues to be a regional program. The funding is distributed directly to the CMAs by formula through the Cycle 2 regional program (see Appendix A-3). However, a CMA may use OBAG funding to augment this amount. Eligible projects include infrastructure and non-infrastructure projects that facilitate reduction in vehicular travel to and from schools. It is important to note that CMAQ is used to fund this program which is targeted towards air quality improvement rather than children's health or safety. Nevertheless CMAQ eligibility overlaps with Safe Routes to School Program projects that are eligible under the federal and state programs with few exceptions which are noted below. Refer to the following link for detailed examples of eligible projects which is followed by CMAQ funding eligibility parameters: <a href="http://mtc.ca.gov/funding/STPCMAQ/7\_SR2S\_Eligibility\_Matrix.pdf">http://mtc.ca.gov/funding/STPCMAQ/7\_SR2S\_Eligibility\_Matrix.pdf</a>

#### Non-Infrastructure Projects

Public Education and Outreach Activities

- Public education and outreach can help communities reduce emissions and congestion by inducing drivers to change their transportation choices.
- Activities that promote new or existing transportation services, developing messages and advertising materials (including market research, focus groups, and creative), placing messages and materials, evaluating message and material dissemination and public awareness, technical assistance, programs that promote the Tax Code provision related to commute benefits, and any other activities that help forward less-polluting transportation options.
- Air quality public education messages: Long-term public education and outreach can be effective in raising awareness that can lead to changes in travel behavior and ongoing emissions reductions; therefore, these activities may be funded indefinitely.
- Non-construction outreach related to safe bicycle use
- Travel Demand Management Activities including traveler information services, shuttle services, carpools, vanpools, parking pricing, etc.

#### Infrastructure Projects

Bicycle/Pedestrian Use:

- Constructing bicycle and pedestrian facilities (paths, bike racks, support facilities, etc.) that are not exclusively recreational and reduce vehicle trips
- Programs for secure bicycle storage facilities and other facilities, including bicycle lanes, for the convenience and protection of bicyclists, in both public and private areas new construction and major reconstructions of paths, tracks, or areas solely for the use by pedestrian or other non-motorized means of transportation when economically feasible and in the public interest
- Traffic calming measures

Exclusions found to be ineligible uses of CMAQ funds:

- Walking audits and other planning activities (STP based on availability will be provided for these purposes upon CMA's request)
- Crossing guards and vehicle speed feedback devices, traffic control that is primarily oriented to vehicular traffic rather than bicyclists and pedestrians
- Material incentives that lack an educational message or exceeding a nominal cost.

#### 6. Priority Conservation Areas

This is an outgrowth of the new regional program pilot for the development of Priority Conservation Area (PCA) plans and projects to assist counties to ameliorate outward development expansion and maintain their rural character. A CMA may use OBAG funding to augment grants received from the regionally competitive program or develop its own county PCA program Generally, eligible projects will include planning, land / easement acquisition, open space access projects, and farm-to-market capital projects.

#### **PROGRAM SCHEDULE**

Cycle 2 spans apportionments over five fiscal years: FY 20012-13, FY 2013-14, FY 2014-15 FY 2015-16 and FY 2016-17. Programming in the first year will generally be for the on-going regional operations and regional planning activities which can be delivered immediately, allowing the region to meet the obligation deadlines for use of FY 2012-13 funds. This strategy, at the same time, provides several months during FY 2012-13 for program managers to select projects and for MTC to program projects into the TIP to be obligated during the remaining second, third, fourth and fifth years of the Cycle 2 period. If CMAs wish to program any OBAG funds in the first year, MTC will try to accommodate requests depending on available federal apportionments and obligation limitations, as long as the recipient has meet the OBAG requirements.

#### **Appendix A-1**

Cycle 2 / OBAG 1

**Regional and County Programs** FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17

December 2016

#### **Cycle 2/OBAG 1 Funding Commitments**

,						
	Regional Program					
	(millions \$ - rounded)	4-Year Total	FY 2016-17 *	5-Year Total		
Regiona	al Categories					
1	Regional Planning Activities	\$7	\$1.8	\$8		
2	Regional Operations	\$96	\$9.9	<u>\$105</u>		
3	Freeway Performance Initiative	\$96	\$3.2	\$99		
4	Pavement Management Program	\$7	\$1.9	\$9		
5	Priority Development Activities	\$40		\$40		
6	Climate Initiatives	<u>\$14</u>	\$0.3	<u>\$15</u>		
7	Safe Routes To School **	\$20	<u>\$5.0</u>	<u>\$25</u> <u>\$98</u>		
8	Transit Capital Rehabilitation	<u>\$98</u>		<u>\$98</u>		
9	Transit Performance Initiative	<u>\$82</u>		<u>\$82</u>		
10	Priority Conservation Area	\$10		\$10		
	Regional Program Total:	\$469	\$22	\$491		
* FY 17 fu	* FY 17 funding does not include \$1.488M redirected from deleted projects in Cycle 1 & 2,					

\*\* Safe Routes To School assigned to County CMAs

	One Bay Area Grant (OBAG 1) (millions \$ - rounded)	4-Year Total ***	FY 2016-17	5-Year Total
Countie	es			
1	Alameda	\$63	\$1.0	\$64
2	Contra Costa	\$45	\$0.8	\$46
3	Marin	\$10	\$0.7	\$11
4	Napa	\$6	\$0.7	\$7
5	San Francisco	\$38	\$0.8	\$39
6	San Mateo	\$26	\$0.7	\$27
7	Santa Clara	\$88	\$1.1	\$89
8	Solano	\$18	\$0.7	\$19
9	Sonoma	\$23	\$0.7	\$24
OBAG Total:** \$320 \$7				
*** 4-Year	OBAG amounts revised October 2012 to reflect revi	sed RHNA, releas	ed July 2012.	40%

***	4-	Year	OBA	G	amoun	ts	revised	Oc	tober	2012	to	reflect	revise	d	RHNA,	, I	released J	uly	2012	

Cycle 2/OBAG 1 Total Total:*	\$789	\$29	\$819
	1		

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035\_OBAG\[tmp-4035\_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-1 Cycle 2 Funding

NOTE: Amounts may not total due to rounding

May 17, 2012 Appendix A-1 MTC Resolution No. 4035 Page 1 of 1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 12/17/14-C 12/21/16-C

May 17, 2012 Appendix A-2 MTC Resolution No. 4035 Page 1 of 1 Revised: 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/17/14-C 02/24/16-C 12/21/16-C

Appendix A-2

#### OBAG 1 Planning & Outreach FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17 December 2016

#### OBAG 1 - County CMA Planning

	0	C	ycle 2 / OBAG	1 County CMA	Planning - Ba	se	CMA-OBAG		2016-17 *	
County	Agency	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	SubTotal	Augmentation	SubTotal	Supplemental	Total
Alameda	АСТС	\$916,000	\$944,000	\$973,000	\$1,003,000	\$3,836,000	\$3,270,000	\$7,106,000	\$1,034,000	\$8,140,000
Contra Costa	ССТА	\$725,000	\$747,000	\$770,000	\$794,000	\$3,036,000	\$1,214,000	\$4,250,000	\$818,000	\$5,068,000
Marin	ТАМ	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	\$699,000	\$2,673,000	\$418,000	\$3,091,000	\$720,000	\$3,811,000
Napa	NCTPA	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	\$699,000	\$2,673,000	\$0	\$2,673,000	\$720,000	\$3,393,000
San Francisco	SFCTA	\$667,000	\$688,000	\$709,000	\$731,000	\$2,795,000	\$773,000	\$3,568,000	\$753,000	\$4,321,000
San Mateo	SMCCAG	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	\$699,000	\$2,673,000	\$752,000	\$3,425,000	\$720,000	\$4,145,000
Santa Clara	VTA	\$1,014,000	\$1,045,000	\$1,077,000	\$1,110,000	\$4,246,000	\$1,754,000	\$6,000,000	\$1,145,000	\$7,145,000
Solano	STA	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	\$699,000	\$2,673,000	\$333,000	\$3,006,000	\$720,000	\$3,726,000
Sonoma	SCTA	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	\$699,000	\$2,673,000	\$0	\$2,673,000	\$720,000	\$3,393,000
Count	ty CMAs Total:	\$6,512,000	\$6,714,000	\$6,919,000	\$7,133,000	\$27,278,000	\$8,514,000	\$35,792,000	\$7,350,000	\$43,142,000

#### **Regional Agency Planning**

		Cycle 2 Regio	onal Agency Pl	anning - Base				2016-17 *	
Regional Agency	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	SubTotal	Augmentation	SubTotal	Supplemental	Total
ABAG	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	\$699,000	\$2,673,000	\$0	\$2,673,000	\$720,000	\$3,393,000
BCDC	\$320,000	\$330,000	\$340,000	<u>\$276,000</u>	\$1,266,000	\$0	\$1,266,000	<u>\$260,000</u>	<u>\$1,526,000</u>
МТС	\$638,000	\$658,000	\$678,000	<u>\$774,000</u>	\$2,748,000	\$0	\$2,748,000	<u>\$820,000</u>	<u>\$3,568,000</u>
Regional Agencies Total:	\$1,596,000	\$1,646,000	\$1,696,000	\$1,749,000	\$6,687,000	\$0	\$6,687,000	\$1,800,000	\$8,487,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035\_OBAG\[tmp-4035\_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-2 Cycle 2 Planning

\* 3% escalation from FY 2015-16 Planning Base



\$51,629,000

### **Appendix A-3**

May 17, 2012 Appendix A-3 MTC Resolution No. 4035 Page 1 of 1 Revised: 12/17/14-C 11/18/15-C

#### OBAG 1 Safe Routes to School County Distribution FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17 November 2015

#### Safe Routes To School County Distribution

County	Public School Enrollment (K-12) *	Private School Enrollment (K-12) *	Total School Enrollment (K-12) *	Percentage	SubTotal	Supplemental	FY 13 - FY 17 Total
Alameda	214,626	24,537	239,163	21.5%	\$4,862,000	\$504,000	\$5,366,000
Contra Costa	166,956	16,274	183,230	16.4%	\$3,725,000	\$386,000	\$4,111,000
Marin	29,615	5,645	35,260	3.2%	\$717,000	\$74,000	\$791,000
Napa	20,370	3,036	23,406	2.1%	\$476,000	\$49,000	\$525,000
San Francisco	56,454	23,723	80,177	7.2%	\$1,630,000	\$169,000	\$1,799,000
San Mateo	89,971	16,189	106,160	9.5%	\$2,157,000	\$225,000	\$2,382,000
Santa Clara	261,945	38,119	300,064	26.9%	\$6,099,000	\$633,000	\$6,732,000
Solano	67,117	2,855	69,972	6.3%	\$1,422,000	\$148,000	\$1,570,000
Sonoma	71,049	5,787	76,836	6.9%	\$1,562,000	\$162,000	\$1,724,000
Total:	978,103	136,165	1,114,268	100%	\$22,650,000	\$2,350,000	\$25,000,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035\_OBAG\[tmp-4035\_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-3 REG SR2S

\* From California Department of Education for FY 2010-11

May 17, 2012 Appendix A-4 MTC Resolution No. 4035 Page 1 of 1 Revised: 10/24/12-C 12/21/16-C

#### **OBAG County Fund Distribution FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16** December 2016

OBAG Geographic Fun				
County	OBAG Funds	PDA/Anywhere Split	PDA	Anywhere
Alameda	<u>\$64,099,000</u>	70/30	<u>\$44,869,000</u>	<u>\$19,230,000</u>
Contra Costa	<u>\$46,022,000</u>	70/30	<u>\$32,215,000</u>	<u>\$13,807,000</u>
Marin	<u>\$10,748,000</u>	50/50	<u>\$5,374,000</u>	<u>\$5,374,000</u>
Napa	<u>\$7,381,000</u>	50/50	<u>\$3,691,000</u>	<u>\$3,690,000</u>
San Francisco	<u>\$39,337,000</u>	70/30	<u>\$27,536,000</u>	<u>\$11,801,000</u>
San Mateo	<u>\$27,244,000</u>	70/30	<u>\$19,071,000</u>	<u>\$8,173,000</u>
Santa Clara	<u>\$89,271,000</u>	70/30	<u>\$62,490,000</u>	<u>\$26,781,000</u>
Solano	<u>\$19,489,000</u>	50/50	<u>\$9,745,000</u>	<u>\$9,744,000</u>
Sonoma	<u>\$23,759,000</u>	50/50	<u>\$11,880,000</u>	<u>\$11,879,000</u>
	\$327,350,000		\$216,871,000	\$110,479,000

#### **OBAG Geographic Funding Distribution**

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\TEMP-RES\MTC\tmp-4035\_OBAG\[tmp-4035\_Appendices to Att-A.xlsx]A-4 OBAG PDA

OBAG amounts revised October 2012 to reflect revised RHNA, released July 2012.

OBAG amounts revised December 16 to reflect supplemental FY 2016-17 funds added December 17, 2014 .

### **Appendix A-5: One Bay Area Grant Call for Projects Guidance**

The Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC) has delegated OBAG project selection to the nine Bay Area Congestion Management Agencies (CMAs) as they are best suited for this role because of their existing relationships with local jurisdictions, elected officials, transit agencies, community organizations and stakeholders, and members of the public within their respective counties. In order to meet federal requirements that accompany the decision-making process regarding federal transportation funding, MTC expects the CMAs to plan and execute an effective public outreach and local engagement process to solicit candidate projects to be submitted to MTC for consideration for inclusion in the Cycle 2 One Bay Area Grant Program. CMAs will also serve as the main point of contact for local sponsoring agencies and members of the public submitting projects for consideration for inclusion in the 2013 Transportation Improvement Program.

CMAs will conduct a transparent process for the Call for Projects while complying with federal regulations by carrying out the following activities:

#### 1. Public Involvement and Outreach

- *Conduct countywide outreach to stakeholders and the public to solicit project ideas.* CMAs will be expected to implement their public outreach efforts in a manner consistent with MTC's Public Participation Plan (MTC Resolution No. 3821), which can be found at <a href="http://www.mtc.ca.gov/get\_involved/participation\_plan.htm">http://www.mtc.ca.gov/get\_involved/participation\_plan.htm</a>. CMAs are expected at a minimum to:
  - Execute effective and meaningful local engagement efforts during the call for projects by working closely with local jurisdictions, elected officials, transit agencies, community-based organizations, and the public through the project solicitation process.
  - Explain the local Call for Projects process, informing stakeholders and the public about the opportunities for public comments on project ideas and when decisions are to be made on the list of projects to be submitted to MTC;
  - Hold public meetings and/or workshops at times which are conducive to public participation to solicit public input on project ideas to submit;
  - Post notices of public meetings and hearing(s) on their agency website; include information on how to request language translation for individuals with limited English proficiency. If agency protocol has not been established, please refer to MTC's Plan for Assisting Limited English Proficient Populations at http://www.mtc.ca.gov/get\_involved/lep.htm
  - Hold public meetings in central locations that are accessible for people with disabilities and by public transit;
  - Offer language translations and accommodations for people with disabilities, if requested at least three days in advance of the meeting.
- *Document the outreach effort undertaken for the local call for projects.* CMAs are to provide MTC with:
  - A description of how the public was involved in the process for nominating and/or commenting on projects selected for OBAG funding. Specify whether public input was

gathered at forums held specifically for the OBAG project solicitation or as part of a separate planning or programming outreach effort;

- A description of how the public engagement process met the outreach requirements of MTC's Public Participation Plan, including how the CMA ensured full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the project submittal process.
- A summary of comments received from the public and a description of how public comments informed the recommended list of projects submitted by the CMA.

#### 2. Agency Coordination

- Work closely with local jurisdictions, transit agencies, MTC, Caltrans, federally recognized tribal governments, and stakeholders to identify projects for consideration in the OBAG *Program.* CMAs will assist with agency coordination by:
  - Communicating this Call for Projects guidance to local jurisdictions, transit agencies, federally recognized tribal governments, and other stakeholders

#### 3. Title VI Responsibilities

- Ensure the public involvement process provides underserved communities access to the project submittal process as in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.
  - Assist community-based organizations, communities of concern, and any other underserved community interested in having projects submitted for funding;
  - Remove barriers for persons with limited-English proficiency to have access to the project submittal process;
  - For Title IV outreach strategies, please refer to MTC's Public Participation Plan found at: <u>http://www.onebayarea.org/get\_involved.htm</u>
  - Additional resources are available at
    - i. http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/civilrights/programs/tvi.htm
    - ii. http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/LocalPrograms/DBE\_CRLC.html#TitleVI
    - iii. http://www.mtc.ca.gov/get\_involved/rights/index.htm

### **Appendix A-6: PDA Investment & Growth Strategy**

The purpose of a PDA Investment & Growth Strategy is to ensure that CMAs have a transportation project priority-setting process for OBAG funding that supports and encourages development in the region's PDAs, recognizing that the diversity of PDAs will require different strategies. Some of the planning activities noted below may be appropriate for CMAs to consider for jurisdictions or areas not currently designated as PDAs if those areas are still considering future housing and job growth. Regional agencies will provide support, as needed, for the PDA Investment & Growth Strategies. From time to time, MTC shall consult with the CMAs to evaluate progress on the PDA Investment and Growth Strategy. This consultation may result in specific work elements shifting among MTC, ABAG and the CMAs. Significant modifications to the scope of activities may be formalized through future revisions to this resolution. The following are activities CMAs need to undertake in order to develop a project priority-setting process:

#### (1) Engaging Regional/Local Agencies

- Develop or continue a process to regularly engage local planners and public works staff. Encourage community participation throughout the planning process and in determining project priorities
- Participate as a TAC member in local jurisdiction planning processes funded through the regional PDA Planning Program or as requested by jurisdictions. Partner with MTC and ABAG staff to ensure that regional policies are addressed in PDA plans.

#### (2) <u>Planning Objectives</u> - to Inform Project Priorities

- Keep apprised of ongoing transportation and land-use planning efforts throughout the county
- Encourage local agencies to quantify transportation infrastructure needs and costs as part of their planning processes
- Encourage and support local jurisdictions in meeting their housing objectives established through their adopted Housing Elements and RHNA.
  - *Short-term*: By May 1, 2013, receive and review information submitted to the CMA by ABAG on the progress of local jurisdictions in implementing their housing element objectives and identify current local housing policies that encourage affordable housing production and/or community stabilization.
  - *Long-term*: Starting in May 2014 and in all subsequent updates, PDA Investment & Growth Strategies will assess local jurisdiction efforts in approving sufficient housing for all income levels through the RHNA process and, where appropriate, assist local jurisdictions in implementing local policy changes to facilitate achieving these goals<sup>1</sup>. The locally crafted policies should be targeted to the specific circumstances of each PDA. For example, if the PDA currently does not provide for a mix of incomelevels, any recommend policy changes should be aimed at promoting affordable housing. If the PDA currently is mostly low-income housing, any needed policy changes should be aimed at community stabilization. This analysis will be coordinated with related work conducted through the Housing and Urban Development (HUD) grant awarded to the region in fall 2011.

(3) <u>Establishing Local Funding Priorities</u> - Develop funding guidelines for evaluating OBAG projects that support multi-modal transportation priorities based on connections to housing, jobs and commercial activity. Emphasis should be placed on the following factors when developing project evaluation criteria:

- **Projects located in high impact project areas**. Key factors defining high impact areas include:
- a. Housing PDAs taking on significant housing growth in the SCS (total number of units and percentage change), including RHNA allocations, as well as housing production

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such as inclusionary housing requirements, city-sponsored land-banking for affordable housing production, "just cause eviction" policies, policies or investments that preserve existing deed-restricted or "naturally" affordable housing, condo conversion ordinances that support stability and preserve affordable housing, etc.

Metropolitan Transportation Commission New Federal Surface Transportation Authorization Act, Cycle 2 Program Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

- b. Jobs in proximity to housing and transit (both current levels and those included in the SCS),
- c. Improved transportation choices for all income levels (reduces VMT), proximity to quality transit access, with an emphasis on connectivity (including safety, lighting, etc.)
- d. Consistency with regional TLC design guidelines or design that encourages multi-modal access: <u>http://www.mtc.ca.gov/planning/smart\_growth/tlc/2009\_TLC\_Design\_Guidelines.pdf</u>
- e. Project areas with parking management and pricing policies
- **Projects located in Communities of Concern (COC)** favorably consider projects located in a COC as defined by MTC (see: <a href="http://geocommons.com/maps/110983">http://geocommons.com/maps/110983</a> ) or as defined by CMAs according to local priorities
- **PDAs with affordable housing preservation and creation strategies** favorably consider projects in jurisdictions with affordable housing preservation and creation strategies or policies
- PDAs that overlap or are colocated with: 1) populations exposed to outdoor toxic air contaminants as identified in the Air District's Community Air Risk Evaulation (CARE) Program and/or 2) freight transport infrastructure –Favorably consider projects in these areas where local jurisdictions employ best management practices to mitigate PM and toxic air contaminants exposure.

#### **Process/Timeline**

CMAs develop PDA Investment & Growth Strategy	June 2012 – May 2013
PDA Investment & Growth Strategy Presentations by CMAs to Joint	Summer/Fall 2013
MTC Planning and ABAG Administrative Committee	
CMAs amend PDA Investment & Growth Strategy to incorporate	May 2014
follow-up to local housing production and policies	
CMAs submit annual progress reports related to PDA Growth	May 2014, Ongoing
Strategies, including status of jurisdiction progress on	
development/adoption of housing elements and complete streets	
ordinances.	

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\RESOLUTIONS\MTC Resolutions\RES-4035\_Attach-A.doc

Metropolitan Transportation Commission New Federal Surface Transportation Authorization Act, Cycle 2 Program Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy

May 17, 2012 Appendix A-5 MTC Resolution No. 4035 Page 1 of 1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 11/28/12-C

### **Appendix A-7**

### Cycle 2 County PDA Implementation FY 2012-13 through FY 2015-16 November 2012

#### **County PDA Implementation**

				County PDA
	Administering	OBAG	PDA Planning	Implementation
County	Agency	Formula	Share *	Total
Alameda	ACTC	20.2%	19.5%	\$3,905,000
Contra Costa	ССТА	14.2%	13.7%	\$2,745,000
Marin	TAM	2.8%	3.8%	\$750,000
Napa	NCTPA	1.7%	3.8%	\$750,000
San Francisco **	City/County of SF	12.3%	11.9%	\$2,380,000
San Mateo	SMCCAG	8.3%	8.0%	\$1,608,000
Santa Clara	VTA	27.6%	26.7%	\$5,349,000
Solano	STA	5.5%	5.3%	\$1,066,000
Sonoma	SCTA	7.5%	7.2%	\$1,447,000
<b>County PDA Implem</b>	entation Total:	100.0%	100.0%	\$20,000,000

J:\PROJECT\Funding\T4 - New Act\T4 - STP-CMAQ\T4 Cycle Programming\T4 Second Cycle\Cycle 2 Policy Dev\One Bay Area Grant\[Cycle 2 STP-CMAQ-TE Fund Source Distribution.xls]CMA Planning

\* County minimum of \$750,000 for Marin and Napa results in actual PDA Implementation share different than OBAG formula share \*\* Funding for San Francisco to be provided to San Francisco City/County planning department

# **APPENDIX A-8: Priority Conservation Area (PCA) Program**

#### **Program Goals and Eligible Projects**

The goal of the Priority Conservation Area Program is to support Plan Bay Area by preserving and enhancing the natural, economic and social value of rural lands in the Bay Area, for residents and businesses. These values include globally unique ecosystems, productive agricultural lands, recreational opportunities, healthy fisheries, and climate protection (mitigation and adaptation), among others. The PCA Program should also be linked to SB 375 goals which direct MPOs to prepare sustainable community strategies which consider resource areas and farmland in the region as defined in Section 65080.01 (attached). ABAG's FOCUS program delineates both the Priority Development Areas and the Priority Conservation Areas.

Per MTC Resolution No. 4035, the PCA program is split into two elements:

- 1. North Bay Program (\$5 million)
- 2. Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties Program (\$5 million)

The North Bay program framework is to be developed by the four North Bay county congestion management agencies, building on their PCA planning and priorities carried out to date. Project eligibility is limited by the eligibility of federal surface transportation funding; unless the CMA can exchange these funds or leverage new fund sources for their programs.

The Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties Program will be administered by the Coastal Conservancy in partnership with MTC and ABAG based on the proposal provided below. The table below outlines screening criteria, eligible applicants, and the proposed project selection and programming process for the Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties.

Funding Amount	• \$5 million
Screening Criteria	<ul> <li>PCA Designation: If a project currently isn't in or doesn't connect to a PCA, the applicant must file an application with ABAG requesting a PCA designation.</li> <li>Regionally Significant: Indicators of regional significance include a project's contribution to goals stated in regional habitat, agricultural or open space plans (i.e. <i>San Francisco Bay Area Upland Habitat Goals Project Report</i> at http://www.bayarealands.org/reports/), countywide Plans or ABAG's PCA designations. Applicants should describe who will benefit from the project and regional (greater-than-local need) it serves.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Open Space Protection In Place: Linkages to or location in a Greenbelt area that is policy protected from development. Land acquisition or easement projects would be permitted in an area without open space policy protections in place.</li> <li>Non-Federal Local Match: 3:1 minimum match</li> <li>Meets Program Goals: Projects that meet one of the following program goals (subject to funding eligibility—see next page):         <ul> <li>Protects or enhances "resource areas" or habitats as defined in California Government Code Section 65080.01.</li> <li>Provides or enhances bicycle and pedestrian access to open space / parkland resources. Notable examples are the Bay and Ridge Trail Systems.</li> <li>Supports the agricultural economy of the region.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

Eligible Applicants	• Local governments (cities, counties, towns), county congestion management agencies, tribes, water/utility districts, resource conservation districts, park and/or open space districts, land trusts and other land/resource protection nonprofit organizations in the nine-county San Francisco Bay Area are invited to nominate projects. Applicants are strongly encouraged to collaborate and partner with other entities on the nomination of projects, and partnerships that leverage additional funding will be given higher priority in the grant award process. Partnerships are necessary with cities, counties, or CMAs in order to access federal funds. Project must have an implementing agency that is able to receive a federal-aid grant (master agreement with Caltrans)
	Eligible Projects
Emphasis Areas / Eligible Projects	<ol> <li>Planning Activities</li> <li>Pedestrian and Bicycle Facilities / Infrastructure: On-road and off-road trail facilities, sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming, lighting and other safety related infrastructure, and ADA compliance, conversion and use of abandoned rail corridors for pedestrians and bicyclists.</li> <li>Visual Enhancements: Construction of turnouts, overlooks and viewing areas.</li> <li>Habitat / Environmental Enhancements: Vegetation management practices in transportation rights-of-way, reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats, mitigation of transportation project environmental impacts funded through the federal-aid surface transportation program.</li> <li>Protection (Land Acquisition or Easement) or Enhancement of Natural Resources, Open Space or Agricultural Lands: Parks and open space, staging areas or environmental facilities; or natural resources, such as listed species, identified priority habitat, wildlife corridors, wildlife corridors watersheds, or agricultural soils of importance.</li> </ol>
Project Selection	<b>Coastal Conservancy* Partnership Program:</b> MTC will provide \$5 million of federal transportation funds to the Conservancy which will be combined with the Conservancy's program funding, and further leveraged by private foundation funding, as the basis for a regional call for projects. In addition a broader range of projects (i.e. land acquisition and easement projects) can be accommodated, which is not the case with federal transportation funds alone. The Conservancy will manage the program in collaboration with MTC and ABAG staff. This approach would harness the expertise of the coastal conservancy, expand the pool of eligible projects, and leverage up to \$10 million in additional resources through Coastal Conservancy, and the Moore Foundation**.

\*The Coastal Conservancy is a state agency and the primary public land conservation funding source in the Bay Area, providing funding for many different types of land conservation projects. For more information see <a href="http://scc.ca.gov/">http://scc.ca.gov/</a> \*\*The Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation seeks to advance environmental conservation, scientific research, and patient care--around the world and in the San Francisco Bay Area. For more information see <a href="http://www.moore.org/">http://www.moore.org/</a>

# OBAG 1 Regional Programs

FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17

September 2019

MTC Res. No. 4035, Attachment B-1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 05/25/16-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C

## **OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

ABAG         \$3,33,000         \$00         \$3,33           BCDC Planning         MTC         \$3,568,000         \$00         \$51,356,000         \$00         \$53,556           I. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)         TOTAL:         \$8,487,000         \$00         \$53,556           I. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)         \$11<-Travel Information         MTC         \$57,520,000         \$00         \$57,522           SUBTOTAL         \$78,920,000         \$00         \$21,40         \$78,920,000         \$00         \$21,40           SUBTOTAL         \$78,920,000         \$00         \$11,57,000         \$00         \$14,46           SUBTOTAL         \$78,920,000         \$00         \$14,46         \$14,46         \$14,46           SUBTOTAL         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$104,739,000 <th>Project Category and Title OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS 1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)</th> <th>Implementing Agency</th> <th>Total STP/CMAQ <b>\$438,146,000</b></th> <th>Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA <b>\$53,080,000</b></th> <th>Total OBAG 1 <b>\$492,046,000</b></th>	Project Category and Title OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS 1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)	Implementing Agency	Total STP/CMAQ <b>\$438,146,000</b>	Total Other RTIP/TAP/TFCA <b>\$53,080,000</b>	Total OBAG 1 <b>\$492,046,000</b>
Junc         Junc         Still         Traveler Information         MTC         \$57,52,000         \$0         \$57,52           SII - Traveler Information         MTC         \$21,400,000         \$0         \$21,400,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$28,920,000         \$0         \$11,35         \$57,520,000         \$0         \$11,455         \$25,819,000         \$0         \$14,462,000         \$0         \$14,462,000         \$0         \$14,462,000         \$0         \$104,739           SUBTOTAL         MTC/SAFE         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739         \$000         \$0         \$104,739           Areadic and Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$7,760,000         \$0         \$7,75         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$104,739           Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$50,0000         \$0         \$1,43         \$66,000         \$0         \$1,13           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Bird Oramster Performance Initiative         MTC         \$50,0000         \$0         \$1,13         \$66,000         \$0         \$1,13	ABAG Planning BCDC Planning MTC Planning	BCDC MTC	\$1,526,000 \$3,568,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,393,000 \$1,526,000 \$3,568,000
S11 - Traveler Information         MTC         \$57,520,000         \$0         \$521,40           Clipper® Fare Media Collection         MTC         \$21,400,000         \$0         \$21,40           SUBTOTAL         \$78,920,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$14,46           SUBTOTAL         STA520,000         \$0         \$14,46         \$14,46         \$25,819,000         \$0         \$12,87           2. REGTONAL OPERATIONS (RO)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739           3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FP1)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739           Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation         MTC         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$104,739           7. PASS - LAVTA Dubin Bird Transt Performance Initiative         MTC         \$\$3,000         \$0         \$104,739           7.855 - LAVTA Dubin Bird Tarast Performance Initiative         MTC         \$\$3,000,000         \$0         \$1,13           1-80 central Ave Interchange Improvements         Bichmond-GETA         \$\$23,900,000         \$0         \$13,000           1-80 central Ave Interchange Improvements         Bichmond-GETA         \$\$21,100,000         \$0         \$1,13           1-80 central Ave Interchange Improvements         Bichonnod-	1. REGIONAL PLANNING ACTIVITIES (STP Planning)	TUTAL	\$0,407,000	<del>پ</del> ۵	\$0,407,000
Clipper@ Fare Media Collection         MTC         \$21,400,000         \$0         \$21,400           SUBTOTAL         \$78,920,000         \$0         \$578,920         \$0         \$578,920           Incident Management Program         MTC         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357           SUBTOTAL         \$14,462,000         \$0         \$14,46           SUBTOTAL         \$25,819,000         \$0         \$104,739           2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739           3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$1,75           Regional Performance Initiatives Condor Implementation         MTC         \$1,30,000         \$0         \$7,75           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,13           1-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         MTC         \$1,30,000         \$0         \$1,13           1-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         Richmond-CETA         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$3,105           SUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$3,105         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000 <tr< td=""><td>2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)				
SUBTOTAL         \$78,920,000         \$40         \$78,920           Incident Management Program         Incident Management Program         MTC         \$11,357,000         \$00         \$11,455           FSP/Call Box Program         MTC/SAFE         \$14,462,000         \$0         \$14,462           SUBTOTAL         \$25,813         \$000         \$0         \$14,462           Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation         SAFE         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$14,462           Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$7,78           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Bivd Transit Performance Initiatives         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$51,135           1-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$14,34           Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,135           USUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$0         \$1,135         \$3,900,000         \$3,900         \$0         \$1,135           1-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,135           1-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         MTC         \$1,100,000         <	511 - Traveler Information	MTC	\$57,520,000	\$0	\$57,520,000
Incident Management Program         Integrated Corridor Management         MTC         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$11,357,000         \$0         \$12,48           2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$1,77           Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$1,133,000         \$0         \$1,133,13,000         \$0         \$1,133,13,000         \$0         \$1,133,11,130,000         \$0         \$1,133,11,130,000         \$0         \$1,133,11,130,000         \$0         \$1,133,11,130,000         \$0         \$1,133,11,130,000         \$0         \$1,133,080,000         \$3,3,900,000         \$0         \$1,133,11,130,000		MTC			\$21,400,000
FSP/Call Box Program         MTC/SAFE         \$14,462,000         \$0         \$14,462,000           SUBTOTAL         \$25,819,000         \$0         \$25,819,000         \$0         \$14,462,000           2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739           3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FP1)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$7,75           Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$7,480,000         \$0         \$7,48           Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$50           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Bvd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$51,130,000         \$0         \$1,13           I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         MTC         \$62,000         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$33,900,000					\$78,920,000
SUBTOTAL         \$25,819,000         \$00         \$25,819,000         \$00         \$25,819,000         \$00         \$25,819,000         \$00         \$104,739           3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FP1)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$00         \$7,75           Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$7,480,000         \$00         \$7,75           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Bivd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$00         \$45,37           PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps         MTC         \$11,130,000         \$00         \$1,13           I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         Richmond-GETA         \$22,1000         \$00         \$3,900,000         \$3,900,000         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,23         \$1,250,000         \$0         \$1,250,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000         \$0         \$1,20,000					\$11,357,000
Z. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)         TOTAL:         \$104,739,000         \$0         \$104,739           3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FP1)         Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         SAFE         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$7,75           Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$7,75           Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$1,330,000         \$0         \$1,3130,000         \$0         \$1,3130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0		MTC/SAFE			\$14,462,000
3. FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)         Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation       SAFE       \$7,750,000       \$0       \$7,75         Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation       MTC       \$8,370,000       \$0       \$7,48         Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)       MTC       \$8,370,000       \$0       \$50         PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative       MTC       \$500,000       \$0       \$51         PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps       MTC       \$11,130,000       \$0       \$1,131         1-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements       Richmond-CCTA       \$820,000       \$0       \$42,3900,000       \$3,900         SubBo TAL       CC-1-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)       MTC       \$1,100,000       \$0       \$1,100         SUBTOTAL       \$27,150,000       \$3,080,000       \$31,050       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$0       \$1,100       \$1,100       \$1,10					\$25,819,000
Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation         SAFE         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$7,755           Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$7,480,000         \$0         \$7,837           Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$8,37           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$500,000         \$0         \$8,37           PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$82           Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$33,000         \$33,000,000         \$31,05         \$75           Retering and TOS Elements - MTC Program         FP1 - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Deco	2. REGIONAL OPERATIONS (RO)	TOTAL:	\$104,739,000	\$0	\$104,739,000
Regional Performance Initiatives Implementation         SAFE         \$7,750,000         \$0         \$7,755           Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor Implementation         MTC         \$7,480,000         \$0         \$7,837           Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$8,37           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$500,000         \$0         \$8,37           PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$82           Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$33,000         \$33,000,000         \$31,05         \$75           Retering and TOS Elements - MTC Program         FP1 - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Deco	3 FREEWAY PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (FPI)				
Regional Performance Initiatives Corridor ImplementationMTC\$7,480,000\$0\$7,48Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)MTC\$8,370,000\$0\$8,37PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance InitiativeMTC\$500,000\$0\$50PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time ImpsMTC\$1,130,000\$0\$1,13I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements <b>Richmond -CCTA</b> \$1,100,000\$0\$3,900,000CC-I-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)CCTA\$1,100,000\$0\$1,10CC-I-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)CCTA\$1,100,000\$0\$3,080,000SUBTOTAL\$227,150,000\$3,080,000\$31,05Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program*\$27,150,000\$0\$75FP1 - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1SAFE\$750,000\$0\$75FP1 - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2Caltrans\$8,132,000\$0\$8,13FP1 - CC SR 4 Operational ImprovementsCCTA\$1,100,000\$0\$1,10FP1 - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic OperationsCaltrans\$730,000\$0\$1,23FP1 - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic OperationsCaltrans\$3,200,000\$3,20FP1 - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic OperationsCaltrans\$3,200,000\$0\$3,20FP1 - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic OperationsCaltrans\$3,200,000\$0\$3,40FP1 - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffi	• •	SAFE	\$7,750,000	\$0	\$7,750,000
Program for Arterial System Synchronization (PASS)         MTC         \$8,370,000         \$0         \$8,37           PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$500,000         \$0         \$50           PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$12           Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$3,900           Cc-1-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$27,150,000         \$0         \$1,10           SUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$31,05           Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program         \$27,150,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - ALA SR92 & 1-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$655,000         \$0         \$75           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1         SAFE         \$750,000         \$0         \$8,132,00         \$0         \$8,132           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$\$3,200,000         \$0         \$1,100           FPI - SCL US 401: San Benito County Line to SR 85         Caltrans         \$730,000         \$0         \$17           FPI - SOL	-				\$7,480,000
PASS - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative         MTC         \$500,000         \$0         \$500           PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps         MTC         \$1,130,000         \$0         \$1,13           I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         Richmond-GCTA         \$820,000         \$00         \$3,900,000         \$300           Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$55           FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$656,000         \$0         \$55         \$57         \$17         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,10         \$1,10         \$1,10         \$1,10         \$1,10         \$1,10         \$1,10         \$1,10					\$8,370,000
PASS - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time ImpsMTC\$1,130,000\$0\$1,131I-80 Central Ave Interchange ImprovementsRichmond-CCTA\$820,000\$0\$82Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)MTC\$0\$3,900,000\$3,900CC-I-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)CCTA\$1,100,000\$0\$1,10SUBTOTAL\$27,150,000\$3,080,000\$31,05Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC ProgramFPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto RoadCaltrans\$656,000\$0\$55FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1SAFE\$750,000\$0\$73FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2Caltrans\$8,132,000\$0\$73FPI - CC SR4 & Operational ImprovementsCCTA\$1,100,000\$0\$1,10FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic OperationsCaltrans\$170,000\$0\$17FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85Caltrans\$3,200,000\$0\$32,00FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. LineMTC\$30,000,000\$0\$32,00Unprogrammed Future RTIPTBD\$0\$34,000,000\$34,000SUBTOTAL\$10 1. MRN Co Line - Men Co Line\$17\$358,000\$34,000,000\$34,000FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. LineCaltrans\$270,000\$0\$37,00SUBTOTALTBD\$0\$34,000,000\$34,000\$34,000,000\$34,000 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>\$500,000</td>					\$500,000
I-80 Central Ave Interchange Improvements         Richmond-GCTA         \$820,000         \$0         \$82           Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$3,90           CC-I-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,10           SUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$3,080,000         \$1,00           FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$656,000         \$0         \$575           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1         SAFE         \$750,000         \$00         \$1,10           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$00         \$1,10           FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$00         \$1,10           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$170,000         \$0         \$171           FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SCL US 101: Nan Denito County Line to SR 85         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SCL I-680: US 1					\$1,130,000
Bay Bridge Forward - Commuter Parking Initiative (Funding Exchange)         MTC         \$0         \$3,900,000         \$3,900           CC-1-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,10           SUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$31,050           Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$31,050           FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$656,000         \$0         \$655           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1         SAFE         \$750,000         \$0         \$875           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - CC SR 4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - SC SR 4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - SC SR 4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$3,2000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - SCL IS 01S Corridors Caltrans Right of Way (ROW)         Caltrans         \$739,000         \$0         \$177					\$820,000
CC-I-80 San Pablo Dam Rd I/C (Funding Exchange)         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,10           SUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$31,05           Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program              FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$656,000         \$0         \$55           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1         SAFE         \$750,000         \$0         \$8,13           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$8,13           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$8,13           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - SCL SR 4 SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - SCL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,27           FPI - SOL I-50 I 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line         MTC         \$350,000         \$0         \$33           FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line         <					\$3,900,000
SUBTOTAL         \$27,150,000         \$3,080,000         \$31,05           Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program              FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$655,000         \$0         \$65           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1         SAFE         \$750,000         \$0         \$8,13           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$8,13           FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,73           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$730,000         \$0         \$1,73           FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line         MTC         \$350,000         \$0         \$32,20           Unprogrammed Future RTIP         TBD         \$0         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,00,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000         \$34,000,000			· · ·		\$1,100,000
Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program         FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$656,000         \$0         \$655           FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road         Caltrans         \$656,000         \$0         \$655           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1         SAFE         \$750,000         \$0         \$755           FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2         Caltrans         \$8,132,000         \$0         \$8,13           FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements         CCTA         \$1,100,000         \$0         \$1,10           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$170,000         \$0         \$177           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations         Caltrans         \$3,200,000         \$0         \$3,20           FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and To ALA Co. Line         MTC         \$34,000,000		0017		I	\$31,050,000
FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1       SAFE       \$750,000       \$00       \$755         FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2       Caltrans       \$8,132,000       \$00       \$8,133         FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements       CCTA       \$11,100,000       \$00       \$11,100         FPI - Various Corridors Caltrans Right of Way (ROW)       Caltrans       \$730,000       \$00       \$173         FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$00       \$177         FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85       Caltrans       \$3,200,000       \$00       \$3,200         FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$00       \$327         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,355         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,355         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27	Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - MTC Program			,	
FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2       Caltrans       \$8,132,000       \$0       \$8,13         FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements       CCTA       \$1,100,000       \$0       \$1,10         FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements       CCTA       \$1,100,000       \$0       \$1,10         FPI - Various Corridors Caltrans Right of Way (ROW)       Caltrans       \$730,000       \$0       \$73         FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$0       \$17         FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85       Caltrans       \$3,200,000       \$0       \$3,20         FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$0       \$35         FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$27,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from	FPI - ALA SR92 & I-880: Clawiter to Hesperian & Decoto Road	Caltrans	\$656,000	\$0	\$656,000
FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements       CCTA       \$1,100,000       \$0       \$1,10         FPI - Various Corridors Caltrans Right of Way (ROW)       Caltrans       \$730,000       \$00       \$73         FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$0       \$17         FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$0       \$17         FPI - SOL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85       Caltrans       \$3,200,000       \$0       \$33,200         FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$0       \$35         FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN	FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 1	SAFE	\$750 <i>,</i> 000	\$0	\$750,000
FPI - Various Corridors Caltrans Right of Way (ROW)       Caltrans       \$730,000       \$0       \$733         FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$0       \$17         FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$0       \$17         FPI - SOL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85       Caltrans       \$3,200,000       \$0       \$3,200         FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$0       \$355         FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$272         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,355         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,355         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$272         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$272         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$272         FPI Ca	FPI - CC SR4 & SR242: Loveridge to Alhambra & I-680 to SR 4 Ph. 2	Caltrans	\$8,132,000	\$0	\$8,132,000
FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations       Caltrans       \$170,000       \$0       \$17         FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85       Caltrans       \$3,200,000       \$0       \$3,200         FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$0       \$355         FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$272         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$493,355         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$493,355         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$277         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$277         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$277         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,417         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0	FPI - CC SR 4 Operational Improvements	CCTA	\$1,100,000	\$0	\$1,100,000
FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85       Caltrans       \$3,200,000       \$0       \$3,20         FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$0       \$35         FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686	FPI - Various Corridors Caltrans Right of Way (ROW)	Caltrans	\$730,000	\$0	\$730,000
FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line       MTC       \$350,000       \$0       \$355         FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$34,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686	FPI - SOL I-80 Ramp Meeting and Traffic Operations	Caltrans	\$170,000	\$0	\$170,000
FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,35         PFI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686	FPI - SCL US 101: San Benito County Line to SR 85	Caltrans	\$3,200,000	\$0	\$3,200,000
Unprogrammed Future RTIP       TBD       \$0       \$34,000,000       \$34,000         SUBTOTAL       \$15,358,000       \$34,000,000       \$49,350         Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program            FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$270,000         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,411         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686	FPI - SON 101 - MRN Co Line - Men Co Line	MTC	\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000
SUBTOTAL         \$15,358,000         \$34,000,000         \$49,35           Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program	FPI - SCL I-680: US 101 to ALA Co. Line	Caltrans	\$270,000	\$0	\$270,000
Ramp Metering and TOS Elements - Caltrans Program         \$270,000         \$0         \$270,000 <t< td=""><td></td><td>TBD</td><td>1 -</td><td>. , ,</td><td>\$34,000,000</td></t<>		TBD	1 -	. , ,	\$34,000,000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from Caltrans ROW))       Caltrans       \$270,000       \$0       \$27         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686			\$15,358,000	\$34,000,000	\$49,358,000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from SCL 101)       Caltrans       \$3,417,000       \$0       \$3,41         FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)       Caltrans       \$4,686,000       \$0       \$4,686			+270.000	10	+270.000
FPI Caltrans - ALA I-680, ALA I-880, MRN US-101 (Savings from CC 4/242)         Caltrans         \$4,686,000         \$0         \$4,68					\$270,000
					\$3,417,000
$\mathbf{C}$					\$4,686,000
		Caltrans	\$4,808,000 ¢6,810,000	\$0 ¢0	\$4,808,000 \$6,810,000
					\$6,819,000 \$20,000,000
		ΤΟΤΑΙ·		-	\$20,000,000 \$100,408,000

4. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (PMP)				
Pavement Management Program (PMP)	MTC	\$1,547,000	\$0	\$1,547,000
Pavement Technical Advisory Program (PTAP)	MTC	\$7,500,000	\$0	\$7,500,000
Statewide Local Streets and Roads (LSR) Needs Assessment	MTC/Caltrans	\$53,000	\$0	\$53,000
4. PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (PMP)	TOTAL:	\$9,100,000	\$0	\$9,100,000

5. PRIORTY DEVELOPMENT AREA (PDA) PLANNING AND IMPLE	MENTATION			
Regional PDA Implementation				
PDA Planning - ABAG	ABAG	\$2,068,228	\$0	\$2,068,228
SUBTOTAL		\$2,068,228	\$0	\$2,068,228
Affordable Housing Jumpstart Program				
Affordable Housing Jumpstart Program (Funding Exchange)	MTC		\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
SUBTOTAL		\$0	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
Local PDA Planning				
Local PDA Planning - Alameda	ACTC	\$3,905,000	\$0	\$3,905,000
Local PDA Planning - Contra Costa	CCTA	\$2,745,000	\$0	\$2,745,000
Local PDA Planning - Marin	TAM	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000

Metropolitan Transportation Commission T4 New Act OBAG 1 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - Regional Program Project List

### **OBAG 1 Regional Programs** FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17

### September 2019

MTC Res. No. 4035, Attachment B-1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C

# OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List

	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
Project Category and Title	Implementing Agency	STP/CMAQ	RTIP/TAP/TFCA	OBAG 1
OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS	rigency	\$438,146,000	\$53,080,000	\$492,046,000
Local PDA Planning - City of Napa	Napa	\$275,000	\$0	\$275,000
Local PDA Planning - American Canyon	American Canyon	\$475,000	\$0	\$475,000
Local PDA Planning - San Francisco	SF City/County	\$2,380,000	\$0	\$2,380,000
Local PDA Planning - San Mateo	SMCCAG	\$218,000	\$0	\$218,000
Belmont Village Specific/Implementation Plan	Belmont	\$440,000	\$0	\$440,000
Millbrae PDA Specific Plan	Millbrae	\$500,000	\$0 \$0	\$500,000
Redwood City Downtown Sequoia Station and Streetcar Planning Study	Redwood City Mountain View	\$450,000 \$260,000	\$0 \$0	\$450,000 \$260,000
Mountain View El Camino Real Streetscape Study San Jose Stevens Creek/Santana Row/Winchester Specific Plan	MTC/San Jose	\$260,000 \$640,305	\$0 \$0	\$260,000 \$640,305
Santa Clara El Camino Corridor Precise Plan	MTC/Santa Clara	\$100,000	\$0 \$0	\$100,000
North 1st Street Urban Village Plan	San Jose	\$369,962	\$0 \$0	\$369,962
Berryessa BART Urban Village Plan	San Jose	\$331,630	\$0	\$331,630
Local PDA Planning - Santa Clara	VTA	\$3,647,103	\$0	\$3,647,103
Local PDA Planning - Solano	STA	\$1,066,000	\$0	\$1,066,000
Santa Rosa - Roseland/Sebastopol Road PDA Planning	Santa Rosa	\$647,000	\$0	\$647,000
Sonoma County - Sonoma Springs Area Plan	Sonoma County	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000
Sonoma County - Airport Employment Center Planning	Sonoma County	\$350,000	\$0	\$350,000
SUBTOTAL Regional DDA Planning		\$20,000,000	\$0	\$20,000,000
Regional PDA Planning Regional PDA Implementation Priorities				
Bay Area Transit Core Capacity Study	MTC	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Public Lands Near Rail Corridors Assessment	MTC	\$500,000	\$0 \$0	\$500,000
PDA Implementation Studies/Forums	MTC	\$156,500	\$0 \$0	\$156,500
State Route 82 Relinquishment Exploration Study	MTC/VTA	\$206,772	\$0	\$206,772
PDA Planning	·			
Oakland Downtown Specific Plan	Oakland	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
South Berkeley/ Adeline/Ashby BART Specific Plan	Berkeley	\$750,000	\$0	\$750,000
Bay Fair BART Transit Village Specific Plan	San Leandro	\$440,000	\$0	\$440,000
Alameda Naval Air Station Specific Plan	Alameda	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Del Norte BART Station Precise Plan	El Cerrito	\$302,500	\$0	\$302,500
Mission Bay Railyard and I-280 Alternatives	San Francisco	\$700,000	\$0 \$0	\$700,000
Santa Clara El Camino Corridor Precise Plan Sunnyvale El Camino Corridor Precise Plan	Santa Clara Sunnyvale	\$750,000 ¢587,000	\$0 \$0	\$750,000 \$587,000
San Jose Stevens Creek/Santana Row/Winchester Specific Plan	San Jose	\$587,000 \$750,000	\$0 \$0	\$750,000
Staff Assistance	5dil 503C	φ/ 50,000	ψŪ	φ/30,000
Alameda PDA TDM Plan	Alameda	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000
Downtown Livermore Parking Implementation Plan	Livermore	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Oakland Transportation Impact Review Streamlining	Oakland	\$150,000	\$0	\$150,000
Oakland Complete Streets, Design Guidance, Circulation Element Update	Oakland	\$235,000	\$0	\$235,000
Downtown Oakland Parking Management Strategy	Oakland	\$200,000	\$0	\$200,000
Windsor Parking Management and Pricing	MTC	\$85,000	\$0	\$85,000
Technical Assistance		+ 50,000	+0	+ 50,000
Concord Salvio Streetscape	Concord	\$50,000	\$0 ¢0	\$50,000 \$60,000
South Richmond Affordable Housing and Commercial Linkage	Richmond San Mateo	\$60,000 \$25,000	\$0 \$0	\$60,000 \$25,000
San Mateo Planning/Growth Forum Series South San Francisco El Camino/Chestnut Ave Infrastructure Financing Analysis	San Mateo SSF	\$25,000 \$60,000	\$0 \$0	\$25,000 \$60,000
Milpitas Transit Area Parking Analysis	Milpitas	\$60,000	\$0 \$0	\$60,000
Morgan Hill Housing/Employment Market Demand/Circulation Analysis	Morgan Hill	\$60,000	\$0 \$0	\$60,000
Sab Jose West San Carlos Master Streetscape Plan	San Jose	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Sunnyvale Mathilda Ave Downtown Plan Line	Sunnyvale	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Downtown Sunnyvale Block 15 Sale/Land Exchange	Sunnyvale	\$59,000	\$0	\$59,000
Sunnyvale El Camino Street Space Allocation Study	Sunnyvale	\$60,000	\$0	\$60,000
Central Rohnert Park PDA/Creekside Neighb. Subarea Connector Path	MTC	\$65,000	\$0	\$65,000
SUBTOTAL	TOTAL	\$7,931,772	\$0	\$7,931,772
5. PRIORTY DEVELOPMENT AREA (PDA) PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION	TOTAL:	\$30,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$40,000,000
6. CLIMATE INITIATIVES PROGRAM (CIP)				
Car Sharing Hayward REP for Car Sharing Services	Hayward	4200 100	40 	¢200 400
Hayward RFP for Car Sharing Services Oakland Car Share and Outreach Program	Hayward Oakland	\$200,480 \$320,526	\$0 \$0	\$200,480 \$320,526
CCTA Car Share4All	CCTA	\$573,453	\$0 \$0	\$520,520 \$573,453
TAM Car Share CANAL	ТАМ	\$125,000	\$0 \$0	\$125,000
City of San Mateo Car Sharing - A Catalyst for Change	San Mateo	\$210,000	\$0 \$0	\$210,000
				. , .

Metropolitan Transportation Commission T4 New Act OBAG 1 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - Regional Program Project List

### OBAG 1 Regional Programs FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17

### September 2019

MTC Res. No. 4035, Attachment B-1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 05/25/16-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C

# **OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
roject Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	RTIP/TAP/TFCA	OBAG 1
BAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS		\$438,146,000	\$53,080,000	\$492,046,00
Santa Rosa Car Share	SCTA	\$170,130	\$0	\$170,13
Transportation Demand Management	Daulaulau	±050.000	±0	+050.00
goBerkeley Residential Shared Parking Pilot	Berkeley	\$950,000	\$0 ¢0	\$950,00
Oakland Demand-Responsive Parking and Mobility Mgmt Initiative	Oakland Walnut Creek	\$1,300,000 ¢782,000	\$0 ¢0	\$1,300,00
Walnut Creek N Main St Rehab (for Parking Guidance System Pilot) Downtown San Mateo Parking Technology Implementation	San Mateo	\$783,000 \$1,500,000	\$0 \$0	\$783,00 \$1,500,00
Peery Park Rides	VTA/Sunnyvale	\$1,129,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,129,00
Public Education Outreach	MTC	\$312,000	\$0 \$0	\$312,00
EV Charging Infrastructure and Vehicles (Programmed by BAAQMD)*	BAAQMD	\$0	\$6,000,000	\$6,000,00
Spare the Air Youth Program - 2	MTC	\$838,000	\$0,000,000 \$0	\$838,00
Carsharing Implementation	MTC	\$400,411	\$0	\$400,41
. CLIMATE INITIATIVES PROGRAM (CIP)	TOTAL:	\$8,812,000	\$6,000,000	\$14,812,00
Selected and funded by the BAAQMD. Listed here for informational purposes only				
. REGIONAL SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (RSRTS)				
Specific projects TBD by CMAs				
Alameda County SRTS Program	ACTC	\$5,366,000	\$0	\$5,366,00
Cavallo Rd, Drake St, and 'G' Street Safe Routes to School Imps	Antioch	\$330,000	\$0	\$330,00
Actuated Ped /Bicycle Traffic Signal on Oak Grove Rd at Sierra Rd	Concord	\$504,900	\$0 \$0	\$504,90
Concord: Willow Pass Repaying & SRTS	Concord	\$215,000	\$0 \$0	\$215,0
Port Chicago Hwy/Willow Pass Rd Pedestrian & Bicycle Imps	Contra Costa County	\$441,700	\$0 \$0	\$441,7
West Contra Costa SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	Contra Costa County	\$709,800	\$0 \$0	\$709,8
Vista Grande Street Pedestrian Safe Routes to School Imps	Danville	\$157,000	\$0 \$0	\$157,0
Happy Valley Road Walkway Safe Routes to School Imps	Lafayette	\$100,000	\$0 ¢0	\$100,0
Moraga Road Safe Routes to School Bicycle/Pedestrian Imps	Moraga	\$100,000 \$607,000	\$0 ¢0	\$100,0
Moraga: Moraga Way and Canyon Rd/Camino Pablo Imps.	Moraga Orinda	,	\$0 ¢0	\$607,0
Orinda Sidewalk Imps Pittsburg School Area Safety Imps	Pittsburg	\$100,000 \$203,000	\$0 \$0	\$100,0 ¢202.0
Pleasant Hill - Boyd Road and Elinora Drive Sidewalks	Pleasant Hill	\$395,000	\$0 \$0	\$203,0 \$395,0
San Ramon School Crossings Enhancements	San Ramon	\$247,600	\$0 \$0	\$393,0 \$247,6
North Civic Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps	Marin County	\$791,000	\$0 \$0	\$791,0
Napa County SRTS Program - 2	NVTA	\$105,000	\$0 \$0	\$105,0
Napa County SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	NVTA	\$420,000	\$0 \$0	\$420,0
San Francisco SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	SFDPH	\$1,799,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,799,0
San Mateo County SRTS Program	SMCCAG	\$2,382,000	\$0	\$2,382,0
Campbell - Virginia Avenue Sidewalks	Campbell	\$708,000	\$0	\$708,0
Mountain View - El Camino to Miramonte Complete Streets	Mountain View	\$840,000	\$0	\$840,0
Mountain View SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	Mountain View	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,0
Palo Alto - Arastradero Road Schoolscape/Multi-use Trail	Palo Alto	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,0
San Jose - Walk N' Roll Phase 2	San Jose	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,0
City of Santa Clara SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program Phase 2	Santa Clara	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,0
Santa Clara County SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	Santa Clara County	\$838,000	\$0	\$838,0
Sunnyvale: East Sunnyvale Area Sense of Place Improvements	Sunnyvale	\$1,346,000	\$0	\$1,346,0
Solano County SRTS Non-Infrastructure Program	STA	\$1,570,000	\$0	\$1,570,0
Sonoma County SRTS Program	SCTA	\$345,000	\$0	\$345,0
Sonoma County SRTS Program	Sonoma County TPW	\$1,379,000	\$0	\$1,379,0
. REGIONAL SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL (RSRTS)	TOTAL:	\$25,000,000	\$0	\$25,000,00
TRANSIT CAPITAL REHABILITATION PROGRAM				
SolTrans - Preventive Maintenance	SolTrans	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,0
ransit Capital Rehabilitation				
Specific Projects TBD by Commission				
Advanced Communications and Information System (ACIS)	GGBHTD	\$828,539	\$0	\$828,5
MS Sonoma Ferry Refurbishment	GGBHTD	\$1,171,461	\$0	\$1,171,4
BART Car Exchange Preventative Maintenance	BART	\$2,831,849	\$0	\$2,831,8
	MTC	\$9,994,633	\$0	\$9,994,6
Clipper Fare Collection Equipment Replacement	MTC	\$2,684,772	\$0	\$2,684,7
Clipper Back Office Fare Collection Equipment Replacement			+0	\$636,7
Clipper Back Office Fare Collection Equipment Replacement Clipper Next Generation Fare Collection System	MTC	\$636,763	\$0	
Clipper Back Office Fare Collection Equipment Replacement Clipper Next Generation Fare Collection System SFMTA - New 60' Flyer Trolley Bus Replacement	MTC SFMTA	\$5,502,261	\$0	\$5,502,2
Clipper Back Office Fare Collection Equipment Replacement Clipper Next Generation Fare Collection System SFMTA - New 60' Flyer Trolley Bus Replacement SFMTA - New 40' Neoplan Bus Replacement	MTC SFMTA SFMTA	\$5,502,261 \$10,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$5,502,2 \$10,000,0
Clipper Back Office Fare Collection Equipment Replacement Clipper Next Generation Fare Collection System SFMTA - New 60' Flyer Trolley Bus Replacement	MTC SFMTA	\$5,502,261	\$0	\$030,70 \$5,502,20 \$10,000,00 \$3,349,72 \$37,000,00

Metropolitan Transportation Commission T4 New Act OBAG 1 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - Regional Program Project List

## OBAG 1 Regional Programs FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17

### September 2019

MTC Res. No. 4035, Attachment B-1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 05/25/16-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C

### **OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
Project Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	RTIP/TAP/TFCA	OBAG 1
OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS		\$438,146,000	\$53,080,000	\$492,046,000
TPI - AC Transit Spectrum Ridership Growth	AC Transit	\$1,802,676	\$0	\$1,802,676
TPI - AC Transit - East Bay Bus Rapid Transit	AC Transit	\$4,547,305	\$0	\$4,547,305
TPI - LAVTA - Wheels Marketing Initiatives	LAVTA	\$423,798	\$0	\$423,798
TPI - ACE Positive Train Control	SJRRC/ACE	\$502,214	\$0	\$502,214
TPI - Union City - South Alameda County Major Corridors Travel Time Imps	Union City	\$160,587	\$0 \$0	\$160,587
TPI - CCCTA - 511 Real-Time Interface	CCCTA	\$100,000	\$0 #0	\$100,000
TPI - CCCTA - Implementation of Access Improvement	CCCTA	\$685,196	\$0 ¢0	\$685,196
TPI - CCCTA - Remix Software Implementation	CCCTA	\$35,451	\$0 ¢0	\$35,451
TPI - ECCTA - Non-ADA Paratransit to Fixed Route Program TPI - WCCTA - Purchase of Automatic Vehicle Locator System	ECCTA WCCTA	\$817,297	\$0 \$0	\$817,297
TPI - GGBHTD - Building Ridership to Meet Capacity Campaign	GGBHTD	\$344,513 \$387,440	\$0 \$0	\$344,513 \$387,440
TPI - GGBHTD - Building Ridership to Neet Capacity Campaign TPI - GGBHTD - Regional Customer Study: On-Board Bus and Ferry Surveys	GGBHTD	\$402,572	\$0 \$0	\$402,572
TPI - Marin Transit Preventive Maintenance (for low income youth pass)	Marin Transit	\$99,289	\$0 \$0	\$99,289
TPI - MCTD Preventative Maintenance (Youth Pass Program)	Marin Transit	\$239,808	\$0 \$0	\$239,808
TPI - Relocate Transit Maintenance Facility (PE only) (Youth Pass Program)	Marin Transit	\$122,249	\$0 \$0	\$122,249
TPI - NVTA - Am. Canyon Priority Signal Interconnection on SR 29	NVTA	\$91,757	\$0 \$0	\$91,757
TPI - NVTA - Bus Mobility Device Retrofits	NVTA	\$120,988	\$0 \$0	\$120,988
TPI - NVTA - Imola Ave and SR 29 Express Bus Improvements	NVTA	\$96,058	\$0 \$0	\$96,058
TPI - BART Train Car Accident Repair	BART	\$1,493,189	\$0	\$1,493,189
TPI - BART - Metro Priority Track Elements	BART	\$3,459,057	\$0	\$3,459,057
TPI - BART - Concord Shop Wheel Truing	BART	\$7,165,450	\$0	\$7,165,450
TPI - Caltrain - Off-peak Marketing Campaign	Caltrain	\$44,200	\$0	\$44,200
TPI - WETA - Central Bay Operations and Maintenance	WETA	\$1,325,466	\$0	\$1,325,466
TPI - BART 24th Street Train Control Upgrade	BART	\$2,000,000	\$0	\$2,000,000
TPI - SFMTA Light Rail Vehicle Rehabilitation	SFMTA	\$5,120,704	\$0	\$5,120,704
TPI - SFMTA - Light Rail Vehicle (LRV) Propulsion System	SFMTA	\$9,285,937	\$0	\$9,285,937
TPI - SFMTA Preventive Maintenance (for low income youth pass)	SFMTA	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000
TPI - SFMTA Light Rail Vehicle Overhaul	SFMTA	\$5,337,401	\$0	\$5,337,401
TPI - Caltrain - Control Point Installation	Caltrain	\$1,802,415	\$0	\$1,802,415
TPI - Caltrain - Postitive Train Control	Caltrain	\$2,332,747	\$0	\$2,332,747
TPI - SamTrans - Preventative Maintenance (Service Plan Implementation)	SMCTD	\$1,344,917	\$0	\$1,344,917
TPI - VTA Preventive Maintenance (for low income fare pilot)	VTA	\$1,302,018	\$0	\$1,302,018
TPI - VTA - Montague Expressway Pedestrian Bridge at Milpitas BART	VTA	\$2,768,555	\$0	\$2,768,555
TPI - Fairfield - Expand bus service between Fairfield and Vacaville	Fairfield	\$372,216	\$0 \$0	\$372,216
TPI - Fairfield - SolanoExpress Service Vehicle Replacement (for SolanoExpress Bus Stop Imps	•	\$333,719	\$0 #0	\$333,719
TPI - SolTrans - 40' Electric Bus Purchase & Hybrid-Diesel Bus Replacement	SolTrans	\$399,223	\$0 ¢0	\$399,223
TPI - Petaluma - Transit Signal Priority, Phase I, II & III TPI - Santa Rosa - CityBus COA and Service Plan	Petaluma Santa Rosa	\$378,692 \$100,000	\$0 ¢0	\$378,692
TPI - Santa Rosa - Reimagining CityBus Implementation	Santa Rosa	\$100,000 \$682,177	\$0 \$0	\$100,000 \$682,177
TPI - Sonoma County Transit - 30-foot CNG Bus Replacements	Sonoma County	\$173,052	\$0 \$0	\$173,052
TPI - Sonoma County Transit - 40-foot CNG Bus Replacements	Sonoma County	\$199,667	\$0 \$0	\$199,667
SUBTOTAL	Sonoma County	\$60,000,000	\$0	\$60,000,000
8. TRANSIT CAPITAL REHABILITATION PROGRAM	TOTAL:		<u>پ</u> و \$0	\$98,000,000
		,,		
9. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (TPI)				
TPI - Capital Investment Program				
TPI-1 - AC Transit Line 51 Corridor Speed Protection and Restoration	AC Transit	\$10,515,624	\$0	\$10,515,624
TPI-2 - AC Transit South Alameda County Corridors Travel Time Imps	AC Transit	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000
BBF - AC Transit Higher Capacity Bus Fleets-Increased Service Freq.	AC Transit	\$7,400,000	\$0	\$7,400,000
BBF - AC Transit Double Decker Bus Wash	AC Transit	\$1,600,000	\$0	\$1,600,000
TPI-2 - LAVTA Dublin Blvd Transit Performance Initiative	LAVTA	\$1,009,440	\$0	\$1,009,440
BBF - West Grand Ave Transit Signal Priority	MTC	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
TPI-1 - MTC Clipper Phase III Implementation	MTC	\$8,000,000	\$0	\$8,000,000
TPI-1 - SFMTA Potrero Ave Fast Track Transit and Streetscape Imps	SFMTA	\$4,133,031	\$0	\$4,133,031
TPI-2 - SFMTA Colored Lanes on MTA Rapid Network	SFMTA	\$4,000,000	\$0	\$4,000,000
TPI-1 - SFMTA N-Judah Mobility Maximization	SFMTA	\$2,383,860	\$0 \$0	\$2,383,860
TPI-1 - SFMTA Mission Mobility Maximization	SFMTA	\$5,383,109	\$0	\$5,383,109
TPI-1 - VTA Stevens Creek - Limited 323 Transit Signal Priority	VTA	\$712,888	\$0 #0	\$712,888
TPI-1 - VTA Light Rail Transit Signal Priority	VTA	\$1,587,176	\$0 ¢0	\$1,587,176
TPI-2 - VTA Prev. Maint. (Mountain View Double Track Phase 1)	VTA	\$8,000,000	\$0 ¢0	\$8,000,000 \$3,881,310
TPI-3 - AC Transit San Pablo and Telegraph Ave Rapid Bus Upgrades	AC Transit	\$3,881,319	\$0 ¢0	\$3,881,319 ¢1 503 230
TPI-3 - BART Train Seat Modification TPI-3 - SFMTA Geary BRT Phase 1: Near-Term Improvements	BART SFMTA	\$1,503,239 \$9,609,241	\$0 ¢0	\$1,503,239 \$9,609,241
	JITTA	\$9,609,241	\$0	\$9,609,241

### **OBAG 1 Regional Programs** FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17

September 2019

MTC Res. No. 4035, Attachment B-1 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 11/28/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 02/27/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 12/18/13-C 02/26/14-C 03/26/14-C 04/23/14-C 05/28/14-C 06/25/14-C 07/23/14-C 09/24/14-C 11/19/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 05/27/15-C 06/24/15-C 07/22/15-C 10/28/15-C 11/18/15-C 12/16/15-C 01/27/16-C 02/24/16-C 03/23/16-C 05/25/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 01/25/17-C 04/26/17-C 06/28/17-C 07/26/17-C 10/25/17-C 11/15/17-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 06/27/18-C 07/25/18-C 09/26/18-C 01/23/19-C 04/24/19-C 09/25/19-C

### **OBAG 1 Regional Programs Project List**

	<b>T</b> 1 1	<b>-</b>	TILOU	<b>-</b>
	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
Project Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	RTIP/TAP/TFCA	OBAG 1
OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS	0	\$438,146,000	\$53,080,000	\$492,046,000
TPI-3 - SamTrans Traffic Signal Priority on El Camino Real	SamTrans	\$3,459,000	\$0	\$3,459,000
TPI-3 - VTA Light Rail Crossovers & Switches	VTA	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
TPI - Novato Pavement Rehabilitation (for Novato Downtown SMART Station)		\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
TPI - NVTA Imola Ave and SR 29 Express Bus Improvements	NVTA	\$411,073	\$0 + 0	\$411,073
TPI - Fairfield Solano Express Service Vehicle Repl. (for SolanoExpress Fairgrounds Dr/SR 37 Bus Stop)		\$1,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,000,000
TPI - Santa Rosa CityBus New Transit System Optimization	Santa Rosa	\$411,000	\$0	\$411,000
9. TRANSIT PERFORMANCE INITIATIVE (TPI)	TOTAL:	\$82,000,000	\$0	\$82,000,000
10. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA) North Bay PCA Program				
Specific projects TBD by North Bay CMAs				
Marin PCA - Mill Valley - Sausalito Pathway Preservation	Marin County	\$320,000	\$0	\$320,000
Marin PCA - Bayfront Park Recreational Bay Access	Mill Valley	\$140,000	\$0 \$0	\$140,000
Marin PCA - Thatcher Ranch Easement Acq. (Vineyard Rd Improvements)	Novato	\$250,000	\$0 \$0	\$250,000
Marin PCA - Pacheco Hill Parkland Acq. (Vinyard Rd. Improvements)	Novato	\$500,000	\$0 \$0	\$500,000
Marin PCA - Sunny Hill Ridge and Red Hill Trails	San Anselmo	\$40,000	\$0 \$0	\$40,000
Napa PCA: Napa Soscol Headwaters Preserve Acq. (SilveradoTrail Phase G Overlay)		\$1,107,000	\$0	\$1,107,000
Napa PCA - Silverado Trail Yountville-Napa Safety Imps	Napa County	\$143,000	\$0 \$0	\$143,000
Solano PCA - Suisun Valley Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps	Solano County	\$1,175,000	\$0	\$1,175,000
Solano PCA - Solano PCA Assessment Plan	STA	\$75,000	\$0	\$75,000
Sonoma PCA - Sonoma County Urban Footprint Planning	Sonoma County	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Sonoma PCA - Bodega Hwy Roadway Preservation	Sonoma County	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
SUBTOTAL	ŕ	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$5,000,000
Peninsula, Southern and Eastern Counties PCA Program				
Bay Trail Shoreline Access Staging Area	Berkeley	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Breuner Marsh Restoration and Public Access	EBRPD	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
SF Bay Trail, Pinole Shores to Bay Front Park	EBRPD	\$119,711	\$0	\$119,711
Coyote Creek Trail: Brokaw Road to Union Pacific Railroad	San Jose	\$712,700	\$0	\$712,700
Pier 70 - Crane Cove Park	Port of SF	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Twin Peaks Connectivity Conceptual Plan	SF Rec. and Parks	\$167,589	\$0	\$167,589
Southern Skyline Blvd. Ridge Trail Extension	SF PUC	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
SUBTOTAL		\$4,500,000	\$0	\$4,500,000
10. PRIORITY CONSERVATION AREA (PCA)	TOTAL:	\$9,500,000	\$0	\$9,500,000
OBAG 1 REGIONAL PROGRAMS TOTAL	TOTAL:	\$438,146,000	\$53,080,000	\$492,046,000

J:\SECTION\ALLSTAFF\Resolution\RESOLUTIONS\MTC Resolutions\RES-4035\_OBAG\[RES-4035\_Attach\_B-1.xlsx]B-1 September 2019

Metropolitan Transportation Commission T4 New Act OBAG 1 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - Regional Program Project List

### OBAG 1 County Program FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17 December 2018

### **OBAG 1 County Programs Project List**

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Attachment B-2 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 01/22/14-C 02/26/14-C 05/28/14-C 09/24/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 07/22/15-C 09/23/15-C 10/28/15-C 01/27/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 09/27/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 09/26/18-C 12/19/18-C

aiast Catagon, and Title	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
oject Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	(RTIP, etc.)	Cycle 2
DUNTY OBAG 1 PROGRAMMING		\$309,314,000	\$18,036,000	\$327,350,0
			I	
Specific projects TBD by Alameda CMA	ACTC	42 926 000	40	42 926 C
CMA Base Planning Activities - Alameda	ACTC	\$3,836,000	\$0 ¢0	\$3,836,0
CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - Alameda	ACTC	\$3,270,000	\$0 #0	\$3,270,0
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement	ACTC	\$1,034,000	\$0 #0	\$1,034,0
Alameda County Safe Routes to School Program	ACTC	\$2,000,000 ¢635,000	\$0 \$0	\$2,000,0
Alameda City Complete Streets	Alameda (City)	\$635,000	\$0 \$0	\$635,0 ¢1,665,0
Alameda County Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Alameda County BART	\$1,665,000		\$1,665,0 \$4,066,0
Berkeley Downtown BART Plaza Streetscape		\$340,000	\$3,726,000	\$4,066,0
Shattuck Ave Complete Streets and De-Couplet	Berkeley	\$2,777,000	\$0 ¢0	\$2,777,0
Berkeley - Hearst Avenue Complete Streets	Berkeley	\$2,256,000	\$0 #0	\$2,256,0
Dublin Boulevard Preservation	Dublin	\$470,000	\$0 ¢0	\$470,0 ¢1,602,0
Fremont Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Fremont	\$1,693,000	\$0 #0	\$1,693,0
Fremont City Center Multi-Modal Imps	Fremont	\$6,267,000	\$0 #0	\$6,267,0
Hayward - Industrial Boulevard Preservation	Hayward	\$1,335,000	\$0	\$1,335,0
Livermore Various Streets Preservation	Livermore	\$1,053,000	\$0	\$1,053,0
Enterprise Drive Complete Streets and Road Diet	Newark	\$454,000	\$0	\$454,(
Oakland Complete Streets	Oakland	\$3,851,000	\$0	\$3,851,0
7th Street West Oakland Transit Village Phase 2	Oakland	\$3,288,000	\$0 #0	\$3,288,0
Lakeside Complete Streets and Road Diet	Oakland	\$7,000,000	\$0 #0	\$7,000,0
Oakland - Peralta and MLK Jr. Way Streetscape- Phase I	Oakland	\$5,452,000	\$0 \$0	\$5,452,0
Lake Merritt BART Bikeways	Oakland	\$571,000	\$0	\$571,(
Piedmont Complete Streets	Piedmont	\$129,000	\$0	\$129,0
Pleasanton Complete Streets	Pleasanton	\$832,000	\$0	\$832,0
San Leandro Boulevard Preservation	San Leandro	\$804,000	\$0	\$804,0
Whipple Road Complete Streets	Union City	\$669,000	\$0	\$669,0
Union City BART TLC Phase 2	Union City	\$8,692,000	\$0	\$8,692,0
AMEDA COUNTY	TOTAL:	\$60,373,000	\$3,726,000	\$64,099,0
ONTRA COSTA COUNTY				
pecific projects TBD by Contra Costa CMA	TBD			
CMA Base Planning Activities - Contra Costa	CCTA	\$3,036,000	\$0	\$3,036,0
CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - Contra Costa	CCTA	\$1,214,000	\$0	\$1,214,(
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Contra Costa		\$818,000	\$0	\$818,0
Antioch 9th Street Preservation	Antioch	\$673,000	\$0	\$673,0
Richmond BART Station Intermodal Imps.	BART	\$2,900,000	\$0	\$2,900,0
Balfour Road Preservation	Brentwood	\$290,000	\$0	\$290,0
Clayton Various Streets Preservation	Clayton	\$386,000	\$0	\$386,0
Concord BART Station Bicycle and Ped. Access Imps.	Concord	\$0	\$1,195,000	\$1,195,0
Detroit Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.	Concord	\$965,000	\$1,189,000	\$2,154,0
Concord Various Streets Preservation	Concord	\$757,000	\$0	\$757,0
	Contra Costa County	¢1 u21 nnn	<b>E</b> (1)	C1 U21 /
Contra Costa County Various Streets and Roads Preservation		\$1,941,000	\$0	
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Danville	\$933,000	<b>\$</b> 0	\$933,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation	El Cerrito	\$933,000 \$630,000	\$0 \$0	\$933,0 \$630,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps.	El Cerrito El Cerrito	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$584,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000 \$1,023,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$584,0 \$1,023,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000 \$1,023,000 \$709,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000 \$1,023,000 \$709,000 \$1,031,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$584,0 \$1,023,0 \$709,0 \$1,031,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000 \$1,023,000 \$709,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,( \$1,031,( \$552,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000 \$1,023,000 \$709,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$584,000 \$1,023,000 \$709,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$299,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps.	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$709,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$299,000 \$1,300,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,( \$1,300,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps.	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$299,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,770,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,( \$1,300,( \$4,770,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$299,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$709,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,( \$1,300,( \$4,770,( \$799,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$299,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$584,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,031,0 \$1,031,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$4770,0 \$4,770,0 \$799,0 \$413,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$1,031,000 \$453,000 \$299,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,031,0 \$552,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$1,300,0 \$4,770,0 \$799,0 \$413,0 \$3,030,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Various Streets and Roads Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$299,000 \$1,300,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$584,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,031,0 \$552,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$4,770,0 \$4,770,0 \$4,770,0 \$413,0 \$3,030,0 \$454,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo San Pablo	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$1,031,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$1,300,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000 \$5,978,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,031,0 \$552,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$4,770,0 \$799,0 \$413,0 \$3,030,0 \$454,0 \$5,978,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Various Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps. San Ramon Valley Blvd Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo San Pablo San Ramon	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$1,031,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000 \$5,978,000 \$291,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,( \$1,300,( \$4,770,( \$799,( \$413,( \$3,030,( \$454,( \$5,978,( \$291,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Various Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps. San Ramon Valley Blvd Preservation Walnut Creek North Main Street Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo San Ramon Walnut Creek	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000 \$5,978,000 \$291,000 \$655,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$1,941,0 \$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$584,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,031,0 \$1,031,0 \$452,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$4770,0 \$4,770,0 \$799,0 \$413,0 \$3,030,0 \$454,0 \$5,978,0 \$291,0 \$655,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps. San Ramon Valley Blvd Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo San Pablo San Ramon	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$1,031,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000 \$5,978,000 \$291,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,0 \$630,0 \$3,468,0 \$2,584,0 \$702,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,023,0 \$1,031,0 \$552,0 \$453,0 \$453,0 \$4,770,0 \$4,770,0 \$4,770,0 \$4,770,0 \$413,0 \$3,030,0 \$454,0 \$5,978,0 \$291,0
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Ivy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Various Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Various Streets and Roads Preservation Manue Creek North Main Street Preservation <b>NTRA COSTA COUNTY</b>	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo San Ramon Walnut Creek	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000 \$5,978,000 \$291,000 \$655,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,( \$1,300,( \$4,770,( \$799,( \$413,( \$3,030,( \$454,( \$5,978,( \$291,( \$655,(
Danville Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerrito Various Streets and Roads Preservation El Cerritto Ohlone Greenway Bike and Ped. Imps. Hercules Intermodal Transit Center Hercules - Refugio Valley Road Preservation Lafayette - Mt. Diablo Blvd West Preservation Martinez Various Streets and Roads Preservation Moraga Various Streets and Roads Preservation Oakley Various Streets and Roads Preservation Vy Street Preservation Pinole - San Pablo Avenue Preservation Pittsburg - Railroad Avenue Preservation Pittsburg Multimodal Station Bike/Ped Access Imps. Golf Club Road Roundabout and Bike/Ped Imps. Pleasant Hill - Contra Costa Boulevard Preservation Dornan Drive/Garrard Blvd Tunnel Rehabilitation Richmond Local Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Various Streets and Roads Preservation San Pablo Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps. San Ramon Valley Blvd Preservation Walnut Creek North Main Street Preservation	El Cerrito El Cerrito Hercules Hercules Lafayette Martinez Moraga Oakley Orinda Pinole Pittsburg Pittsburg Pittsburg Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Pleasant Hill Richmond Richmond San Pablo San Ramon Walnut Creek	\$933,000 \$630,000 \$3,468,000 \$2,584,000 \$702,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,023,000 \$1,031,000 \$552,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$453,000 \$4,770,000 \$799,000 \$413,000 \$3,030,000 \$454,000 \$5,978,000 \$291,000 \$655,000	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$	\$933,( \$630,( \$3,468,( \$2,584,( \$702,( \$584,( \$1,023,( \$1,031,( \$552,( \$453,( \$299,( \$1,300,( \$4,770,( \$799,( \$413,( \$3,030,( \$454,( \$5,978,( \$291,( \$655,(

### **OBAG 1 County Program** FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17 December 2018

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Attachment B-2 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 01/22/14-C 02/26/14-C 05/28/14-C 09/24/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 07/22/15-C 09/23/15-C 10/28/15-C 01/27/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 09/27/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 09/26/18-C 12/19/18-C

## **OBAG 1 County Programs Project List**

Project Category and Title         Agency         STP(CMAQ         (RTIP, etc.)         Cycle 2           COUNTY OBAG 1 PROGRAMMING         \$309,314,000         \$18,036,000         \$22,7350,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - Narin         TAM         \$2,673,000         \$40         \$413,000           CMA Planning Activities - Narin         TAM         \$412,000         \$40         \$572,000           CMA Planning Activities - Narin         TAM         \$1,500,000         \$60         \$572,000           San Rafeel Varius Streets and Roads Preservation         San Rafeel S1,900,000         \$0         \$1,900,000         \$61         \$1,900,000         \$60         \$1,900,000         \$60         \$1,900,000         \$60         \$1,900,000         \$60         \$1,970,000         \$60         \$1,977,000         \$60         \$1,977,000         \$60         \$1,977,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$1,977,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10,774,000         \$60         \$779,000         \$10         \$779,000         \$10 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
COUNTY ORAG L PROGRAMMING         538,035,000         538,036,000         5327,350,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - Marin         TAM         \$2,673,000         50         \$2,673,000           CMA Planning Activities - Marin TAM         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000           CMA Planning Activities - Marine Common Marine - Marin TAM         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         50         \$720,000         \$700,00		Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
CMA Base Planning Activities - Marin         TAM         \$2,73,000         \$0         \$2,73,000           CMA Planning Activities - Marin TAM         \$418,000         \$10         \$421,000           CMA Planning Activities - Marin TAM         \$1250,000         \$10         \$421,000           CMA Planning Activities - Provide Intersection Imps.         Ross         \$274,000         \$10         \$22,000           San Rafeel Trancis Create and Rose Preservation         San Rafeel Trancis Create and Rose Preservation         San Rafeel         \$1,500,000         \$10         \$22,000           San Rafeel Trancis Create and Rose Preservation         San Rafeel         \$1,100,000         \$10         \$10,000         \$10,00000         \$10,0000	Project Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	(RTIP, etc.)	Cycle 2
CMA Base Planning Activities - Marin         TAM         \$2,73,000         \$0         \$2,73,000           CMA Planning Activities - Marin TAM         \$418,000         \$10         \$421,000           CMA Planning Activities - Marin TAM         \$1250,000         \$10         \$421,000           CMA Planning Activities - Provide Intersection Imps.         Ross         \$274,000         \$10         \$22,000           San Rafeel Trancis Create and Rose Preservation         San Rafeel Trancis Create and Rose Preservation         San Rafeel         \$1,500,000         \$10         \$22,000           San Rafeel Trancis Create and Rose Preservation         San Rafeel         \$1,100,000         \$10         \$10,000         \$10,00000         \$10,0000					
CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - Main TAM         \$118,000         \$10         \$1418,000           CMM Planning Activities Augmentation - Main TAM         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10         \$12,500,000         \$10,000,000,000         \$10,000,000         \$10,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,					
CMP Parning Activities FV 2016-17 Supplement - Marin         TAM         \$120,000         \$10         \$572,000           Bolinas Avenue and Sir Francis Drake Intersection Imps.         Ross         \$274,000         \$10         \$527,000           San Rafeel Transit Center Pedestrian Access Imps.         San Rafeel         \$15,00,000         \$10         \$530,000         \$10         \$530,000         \$10         \$530,000         \$10         \$530,000         \$10         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,00         \$10,000,000         \$10,000,000         \$10,000,000         \$10,07,000         \$1	5				
Gentral Marin Erry Bisk/Ped Connection         TM4         \$1,500,000         \$1,910,000           Sinn Radel Various Strets and Roads Preservation         Son Rafeel         \$427,000         \$10         \$427,000           San Radel Various Strets and Roads Preservation         Son Rafeel         \$4457,000         \$10         \$457,000           San Radel Trainic Center Pedestrian Access Imps.         Son Rafeel         \$1,900,000         \$400,000         \$400,000           Farlars Parkade Circulation and Safely Imps.         Farlars Name         \$10         \$1,000,000         \$400,000           Donating Street Preservation         Marin County         \$1,77,000         \$40         \$1,77,000           MARK COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$10,041,000         \$10,77,000         \$40         \$1,27,000           MARK COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$10,041,000         \$307,000         \$10,77,000         \$40         \$2,27,300           Chris Acce Preservation         Napa County         \$2,473,000         \$40         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$2,273,000         \$41         \$3,373,000				-	
Bolines Avenue and Sir Francis Drake Intersection Imps.         Ross         \$4774,000         \$10         \$1274,000           San Rafeel Transit Center Pedestrian Access Imps.         San Rafeel         \$1,900,000         \$10         \$1,900,000         \$300,000         \$10,900,000         \$10,900,000         \$10,900,000         \$10,900,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,800,000         \$10,870,000<	CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Marin	TAM	\$720,000	\$0	\$720,000
San Rafael Various Spreets and Roads Preservation         San Rafael         9477,000         940         \$5472,000           San Rafael Transit Center Predestrian Access Imps,         San Rafael         \$1,900,000         \$300,000         \$5300,000         \$5300,000         \$5300,000         \$5300,000         \$500,700,00         \$500,770,000         \$500	Central Marin Ferry Bike/Ped Connection	TAM	\$1,500,000	\$0	\$1,500,000
San Rafeel Transt Center Pedestrian Access Imps.         San Rafeel         \$1,900,000         \$0         \$1,900,000           Parlars Parlade Circulation and Safety Imps.         Fairla's Parlade Circulation and Safety Imps.         \$130,000         \$300,000         \$300,000           North Civic Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.         Marin Country         \$2243,000         \$407,000         \$400         \$1,772,000           Denhue Street Preservation         Norato         \$373,000         \$30         \$1,772,000           NARN COUNTY         TOTAL         \$22,673,000         \$0         \$12,720,000           Specific protects         TBD         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$12,720,000           NaPA COUNTY         TDA         \$22,673,000         \$0         \$12,720,000           Napa Cir, North/South Bile Connection         Napa (Ciry)         \$330,000         \$330,000         \$330,000           Silverado Trail Phase "H" Preservation         Napa Ciry)         \$744,500         \$41,000         \$5,731,000         \$42,755,000         \$40         \$5,753,000         \$41,750,000         \$4330,000         \$53,831,000         \$533,300         \$54,753,000         \$40         \$52,753,000         \$40         \$52,753,000         \$40         \$575,700         \$40         \$575,7300         \$40         \$575,7	Bolinas Avenue and Sir Francis Drake Intersection Imps.	Ross	\$274,000	\$0	\$274,000
San Rafeel Transt Center Pedestrian Access Imps.         San Rafeel         \$1,900,000         \$0         \$1,900,000           Parlars Parlade Circulation and Safety Imps.         Fairla's Parlade Circulation and Safety Imps.         \$130,000         \$300,000         \$300,000           North Civic Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.         Marin Country         \$2243,000         \$407,000         \$400         \$1,772,000           Denhue Street Preservation         Norato         \$373,000         \$30         \$1,772,000           NARN COUNTY         TOTAL         \$22,673,000         \$0         \$12,720,000           Specific protects         TBD         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$12,720,000           NaPA COUNTY         TDA         \$22,673,000         \$0         \$12,720,000           Napa Cir, North/South Bile Connection         Napa (Ciry)         \$330,000         \$330,000         \$330,000           Silverado Trail Phase "H" Preservation         Napa Ciry)         \$744,500         \$41,000         \$5,731,000         \$42,755,000         \$40         \$5,753,000         \$41,750,000         \$4330,000         \$53,831,000         \$533,300         \$54,753,000         \$40         \$52,753,000         \$40         \$52,753,000         \$40         \$575,700         \$40         \$575,7300         \$40         \$575,7	San Rafael Various Streets and Roads Preservation	San Rafael	\$457,000	\$0	\$457,000
Fairfax         So         S300,000         S300,000           Dorshue Street Preservation         Marin County         S1,077,000         \$9         \$1,077,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$779,000         \$9         \$720,7000         \$9         \$720,7000         \$9         \$2,673,000         \$9         \$2,673,000         \$9         \$2,673,000         \$9         \$300,000         \$9         \$300,000         \$9         \$300,000         \$9         \$300,000         \$9         \$379,000         \$9         \$749,000         \$9         \$749,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$739,000         \$9         \$738,000	San Rafael Transit Center Pedestrian Access Imps.	San Rafael	. ,		
North Civic Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps         Marin County         \$243,000         \$407,000         \$407,000         \$507,000           Dealues Street Preservation         Novato         \$3779,000         \$30         \$1,077,000         \$30         \$1,077,000         \$30         \$1,077,000         \$30         \$1,077,000         \$30,041,000         \$30,041,000         \$30,041,000         \$30,041,000         \$30,748,000         \$30,748,000         \$30,748,000         \$30,748,000         \$30,748,000         \$30,748,000         \$30,000         \$30,270,270,000         \$30,270,000         \$30,270,000         \$30,277,250,000         \$3	Fairfax Parkade Circulation and Safety Imps.	Fairfax		\$300,000	
Donabue Street Preservation         Marin County         \$1,077,000         \$0         \$1,077,000           MARIN COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$10,041,000         \$707,000         \$30,779,000           Specific projects TBD by Napa - NCTPA         TBD         \$2,672,000         \$0         \$720,000           NAPA COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$2,2673,000         \$0         \$2,2673,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - Napa         NCTPA         \$2,673,000         \$431,000         \$30,2740,000           Napa City North/South Bile Connection         Napa (City)         \$2,383,000         \$4431,000         \$722,000           Specific projects TBD by Sing Francisco         Napa (City)         \$2,383,000         \$4431,000         \$7,73,000           Specific projects TBD by Sing Francisco         SFCTA         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$773,000           Specific project RD by Sing Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities - Stochool         SF DPW         \$470,307         \$0         \$470,377           CMA Planning Activities - Stochool         SF DPW         \$340,0115	<i>i</i> .	Marin County			
DeLong Ave. and Ignacic Bird Preservation         Novato         \$779,000         \$00         \$779,000         \$10,748,000           NAPA COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$10,041,000         \$707,000         \$10,748,000           NAPA COUNTY         Specific projects TBD by Napa - NCTPA         TBD         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$10,748,000           CMA Base Rhoming Activities - Napa         NCTPA         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$320,000         \$0         \$320,000         \$0         \$320,000         \$0         \$320,000         \$0         \$320,000         \$0         \$729,		-			
MARIN COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$10,043,000         \$707,000         \$10,748,000           NAPA COUNTY         TBD         Specific projects TBD by Napa - NCTPA         TBD         Specific projects TBD by Napa - NCTPA         S2,673,000         \$0         \$22,073,000         \$0         \$22,073,000         \$0         \$22,073,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$22,000         \$0         \$23,000         \$2,094,000         \$2,394,000         \$2,394,000         \$2,394,000         \$2,394,000         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$27,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000         \$0         \$57,000		,			
NAPA COUNTY         Specific projects TRD by Napa - NCTPA         TED         NCTPA         Specific projects TRD by Napa - NCTPA         TED           CMA Base Branning Activities - Napa         NCTPA         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$431,900         \$431,930,000         \$431,930,000         \$431,930,000         \$431,930,000         \$431,900         \$431,930,000					
Specific projects TBD by Naga - NCTPA         TED         CTA         Section           CMA Base Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Napa         NCTPA         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$320,000           CMA Fase Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Napa         NCTPA         \$270,000         \$0         \$320,000           CMA Fase Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Napa         Napa (Cliv)         \$2,463,000         \$431,000         \$2,879,000           NAPA COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$5,950,000         \$431,000         \$7,381,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         \$3,393,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Planning Activities Agrice Provide TBD by San Francisco CM4         \$773,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Planning Activities Agrice Sociol         \$FCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities Sochool         \$FCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$753,000           CMA Planning Activities Sochool         \$FDFW         \$470,115         \$0         \$470,015           Chardor Sang Reading Activities Oschool         \$FDFW         \$473,7000         \$0         \$1,762,233           Additional Lipite Blay Pickles Streets         \$FCTA         \$1,762,733         \$1,910,000         \$5,900,000 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>+==,===,===</td><td><i><i><i></i></i></i></td><td>+===</td></t<>			+==,===,===	<i><i><i></i></i></i>	+===
CMA Base Planning Activities - Napa         NCTPA         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$2,673,000           Napa City North/South Bike Connection         Napa (City)         \$300,000         \$0         \$3300,000         \$0         \$3300,000         \$0         \$3300,000         \$0         \$520,000         \$10         \$52,000         \$431,000         \$431,000         \$574,000         \$574,000         \$574,000         \$573,000         \$774,000         \$573,000         \$573,000         \$573,000         \$573,000         \$50         \$573,000         \$					
CMA Planning Activities PY 2016-17 Supplement - Napa         NCTPA         \$720,000         \$50         \$720,000           California Boulevard Roundabouts         Napa (City)         \$2,463,000         \$51,300,000         \$50         \$5300,000         \$52,994,000         \$52,994,000         \$52,994,000         \$574,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$724,000         \$725,000         \$725,000         \$725,000         \$727,300,00         \$727,300,00         \$727,300,00         \$773,000         \$10,275,730,00         \$773,000         \$10,275,730,00         \$773,000         \$10,275,730,00         \$10,22					
Napa City North/South Bike Connection         Napa (City)         \$300,000         \$40         \$300,000           Salterina Boulevard Roundabouts         Napa County         \$2,463,000         \$431,000         \$2,794,000           NAPA COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$6,950,000         \$431,000         \$2,794,000         \$3,393,000         \$2,795,000         \$2,773,000         \$2,773,000         \$2,795,000         \$2,795,000         \$2,795,000         \$2,753,000         \$2,753,000         \$2,753,000         \$2,753,000         \$2,753,000         \$2,752,000         \$2,753,000         \$2,762,300         \$2,002,27,333         \$2,102,27,333         \$2,102,27,533         \$2,102,27,533         \$2,102,27,533         \$2,102	- · ·		\$2,673,000		\$2,673,000
California Boulevard Roundabouts         Napa (City)         \$2,463,000         \$431,000         \$2,499,000           Silverado Tail Phase "H" Preservation         Napa County         \$794,000         \$0         \$794,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         \$3,393,000         \$431,000         \$7,381,000         \$7,381,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         \$3,393,000         \$0,46         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$7,73,000           CMA Base Planning Activities S - San Francisco CMA         \$773,000         \$0         \$7,73,000         \$0         \$7,73,000           CMA Planning Activities S - School         SF DPW         \$670,307         \$0         \$670,307           ET raylor School         SF DPW         \$1,072,239         \$0         \$1,072,239           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to School         SF DPW         \$1,052,739         \$0         \$10,027,539           Second Street Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$1,762,239         \$0         \$10,025,739           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,27,533         \$0         \$10,025,739           Second Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$10,56,799         \$10,000         \$39,37,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000	CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Napa	NCTPA	\$720,000	\$0	\$720,000
Silverado Trail Phase "II" Preservation         Napa Country         5794,000         50         5794,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         \$3,393,000         \$431,000         \$7,381,000           Specific projects TRD by San Francisco         SFCTA         \$2,329,300         \$0           CMA Base Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$2,775,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$1,760,000         \$5,837,800         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$1,760,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,070,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,870,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,970,000         \$5,973,000         \$5,973,000         \$5,973,000         \$5,973,000         \$5,973,000         \$5,973,000         \$5,973	Napa City North/South Bike Connection	Napa (City)	\$300,000	\$0	\$300,000
Silverado Trail Phase "It" Preservation         Napa County         5794,000         \$6,950,000         \$573,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         56,950,000         \$57,381,000         \$77,381,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         53,393,000         \$0,46           CMA Base Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$27,95,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$733,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           Cinatown Broadway Complete Streets Phase IV         SF DPW         \$400,115         \$1         \$400,115         \$1         \$1,762,233         \$0         \$1,762,233         \$0         \$1,762,233         \$0         \$1,762,233         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0	California Boulevard Roundabouts	,	\$2,463,000	\$431,000	\$2,894,000
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         \$3,393,00         \$0.46           Specific projects T8D by San Francisco CM4         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$573,000         \$0         \$5,387,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801         \$1,912,27,539         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,02,27,539         \$0         \$1,02,27,539         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,27,62,239         \$0         \$1,	Silverado Trail Phase "H" Preservation				\$794,000
Specific projects TBD by San Francisco CMA         SCTA         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$1,000         \$5,387,801         \$1,0000         \$5,387,801         \$1,0000         \$5,387,801         \$1,0000         \$5,387,801         \$1,022,733         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,026,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,057,999         \$0         \$1,026,00         \$572,000         \$0 <td>NAPA COUNTY</td> <td>TOTAL:</td> <td>\$6,950,000</td> <td>\$431,000</td> <td>\$7,381,000</td>	NAPA COUNTY	TOTAL:	\$6,950,000	\$431,000	\$7,381,000
Specific projects TBD by San Francisco CMA         SCTA         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000         \$1,000         \$5,387,801         \$1,0000         \$5,387,801         \$1,0000         \$5,387,801         \$1,0000         \$5,387,801         \$1,022,733         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,022,753         \$0         \$1,026,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,056,7999         \$0         \$1,057,999         \$0         \$1,026,00         \$572,000         \$0 <td>SAN ERANCISCO COUNTY</td> <td></td> <td>42 202 000</td> <td></td> <td><u>  ተባ                                  </u></td>	SAN ERANCISCO COUNTY		42 202 000		<u>  ተባ                                  </u>
CMA Base Planning Activities - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$2,795,000         \$0         \$2,795,000           CMA Planning Activities upgmentation - San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities Y 2016-17 Supplement- San Francisco         SFCTA         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000           Longfellow Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$400,115         \$0         \$470,100           Ranior Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$3,477,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801           Mansell Cordior Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$1,762,239         \$0         \$1,762,239           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,227,539         \$0         \$10,267,999           Second Street Complete Streets         SFDPW         \$3,000,000         \$0         \$5,000,000           SAN MATCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$0         \$2,2673,000           CMA Base Planning Activities Andateo         SMCCAG         \$720,000         \$0         \$2,2673,000           CMA Base Planning Activities Andateo         SMCCAG         \$2,70,000         \$0         \$2,2673,000           CMA Planning Activities And Roads Preservation         Atherton         \$2,800,000			\$3,393,000		\$U. <del>4</del> 0
CMA Planning Activities 7 2016-17 Supplement- San Francisco         SFCTA         \$773,000         \$0         \$773,000           CMA Planning Activities 7 2016-17 Supplement- San Francisco         SFC DPW         \$670,307         \$0         \$670,307           ER Taylor Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$670,307         \$0         \$470,000           Chinatoum Broadway Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801           Mansell Corridor Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$1,762,239         \$0         \$11,027,533           Second Street Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$10,567,999         \$0         \$10,257,393           Tarabay Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.         TJPA         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$5,000,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$2,673,000           SAN PLANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL         \$37,720,000         \$0         \$1,252,000           CMA Base Planning Activities 7 2016-17 Supplement - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$720,000         \$0         \$2,673,000           Planning Activities Augmentation - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$720,000         \$0         \$24,673,000           CMA Basen Ing Activities Augmentation - San M		CECTA	42 70F 000	±0	
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement- San Francisco SFCTA         \$753,000         \$0         \$753,000           Longfellow Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$400,115         \$0         \$670,307           ER Taylor Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$4400,115         \$0         \$670,307           Brandow Broadway Complete Streets Phase IV         SF DPW         \$3,477,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801           Mansell Corridor Complete Streets         SF CFCA         \$1,762,239         \$0         \$1,722,739           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,027,539         \$0         \$10,227,539           Second Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$3,040,000         \$0         \$50,000,000         \$0         \$50,000,000           SAN FRANCESCO COUNTY         TOTAL;         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$52,673,000         \$0         \$52,673,000         \$0         \$52,673,000         \$0         \$52,673,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$572,000         \$0         \$526,073,000         \$0         \$526,073,000	-				
Longfelow Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$670,307         \$0         \$670,307           ER Taylor Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$400,115         \$0         \$400,115           Chinatown Broadway Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$3,477,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801           Mansell Corridor Complete Streets         SF CTA         \$1,762,239         \$0         \$1,762,239           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,227,539         \$0         \$1,0227,539           Scond Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$10,567,999         \$0         \$10,567,999           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN HENC COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$0         \$52,070,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$720,000         \$0         \$722,000           CMA Planning Autivities Augmentation - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$742,000         \$0         \$22,673,000           OA Henton Various Streets and Roads Preservation         Belmont         \$226,000         \$0         \$227,000           OLA Planning Autivities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$84,000         \$0         \$238,00	5 5				
ER Taylor Safe Routes to School         SF DPW         \$400,115         \$0         \$4400,115           Chinatown Broadway Complete Streets Phase IV         SF DPW         \$3,477,801         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801           Mansell Corridor Complete Streets         SF CTA         \$1,762,239         \$0         \$1,762,239           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,227,539         \$0         \$10,567,999           Sccond Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$10,367,999         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$5,000,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN HARCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$0         \$7,26,73,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$752,000         \$0         \$7720,000           PDA Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$84,000         \$0         \$48,000           Atherton Various Streets and Roads Preservation         Atherton         \$285,000         \$0         \$2720,000           Old Cunty Road Bike and Pedestrian Imps         Belmont         \$270,000         \$0         \$270,000           Old Cunty Road Bike and Pedestrian Imps         Belmont					
Chinatown Broadway Complete Streets Phase IV         SF DPW         \$3,477,501         \$1,910,000         \$5,387,801           Mansell Corridor Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$1,762,233         \$0         \$1,762,233         \$0         \$1,762,233         \$00         \$1,762,233         \$00         \$1,762,233         \$00         \$10,567,999         \$0         \$10,567,999         \$0         \$10,567,999         \$00         \$50,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$30,337,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000         \$0         \$24,673,000	-	-			
Masell Corridor Complete Streets         SFCTA         \$1,762,239         \$00         \$1,762,239           Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,227,539         \$00         \$10,227,539           Second Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$10,567,999         \$0         \$1,00,567,999         \$0         \$10,027,539           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         Specific projects TBD by San Mateo CMA         \$1,910,000         \$0         \$2,673,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$720,000         \$00         \$720,000           CMA Planning Activities TY 2016-17 Supplement - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$84,000         \$0         \$285,000         \$0         \$2285,000         \$0         \$2285,000         \$0         \$2285,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2250,000         \$0         \$2250,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2280,000         \$0         \$2				· · · · ·	
Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail         SFMTA         \$10,227,539         \$00         \$10,227,539           Second Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$10,567,999         \$00         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         Sond Mateo CMA         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$2,673,000           CMA Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000           PA Planning Augmentation - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$84,000         \$0         \$285,000           Belmont Various Streets and Roads Preservation         Belmont         \$285,000         \$0         \$2250,000           Old County Road Bike and Pedestrian Imps         Belmont         \$270,000         \$0         \$2250,000           Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road Diet         Burlingame         \$986,000         \$0         \$2250,000           Daly City Various Streets and Roads Preservation         Belmont         \$270,000         \$0         \$2520,000			\$3,477,801	\$1,910,000	
Second Street Complete Streets         SF DPW         \$10,567,999         \$0         \$10,1567,999           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$38,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$0         \$2,673,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$752,000         \$0         \$7752,000           CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$84,000         \$0         \$22,673,000           PDA Planning Augmentation - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$3720,000         \$0         \$2250,000           PDA Planning Augmentation - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$34,000         \$0         \$285,000           Belmont Various Streets and Roads Preservation         Belmont         \$220,000         \$0         \$2250,000           Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Roads Diet         Burlingame         \$986,000         \$0         \$2250,000           Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Roads Preservation         Daly City         \$1,613,000         \$0	Mansell Corridor Complete Streets	SFCTA	\$1,762,239	\$0	\$1,762,239
Transbay Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.         TJPA         \$6,000,000         \$0         \$6,000,000           SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY          \$37,427,000         \$0         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$2,673,000           CMA Base Planning Activities - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$722,000         \$0         \$722,000         \$0         \$722,000           CMA Planning Activities F 2016-17 Supplement - San Mateo         SMCCAG         \$84,000         \$0         \$84,000         \$0         \$84,000         \$0         \$226,73,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$720,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$2425,000         \$0         \$2425,000         \$0         \$2425,000         \$0         \$2270,000         \$0         \$2270,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$226,000         \$0         \$2250,000         \$0         \$363,013	Additional Light Rail Vehicles to Expand Muni Rail	SFMTA	\$10,227,539	\$0	\$10,227,539
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY         TOTAL:         \$37,427,000         \$1,910,000         \$39,337,000           SAN MATEO COUNTY         Specific projects TBD by San Mateo CMA         Specific projects TBD by San Mateo SMCCAG         \$752,000         \$0         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$2,673,000         \$0         \$752,000         \$0         \$752,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$2720,000         \$0         \$285,000         \$0         \$285,000         \$0         \$285,000         \$0         \$285,000         \$0         \$270,000         \$0         \$270,000         \$0         \$270,000         \$0         \$250,000         \$0         \$250,000         \$0         \$250,000         \$0         \$250,000         \$0         \$31,300         \$0         \$31,31,000         \$0         \$31,200	Second Street Complete Streets	SF DPW	\$10,567,999	\$0	\$10,567,999
SAN MATEO COUNTY         Specific projects TBD by San Mateo CMA         CMA Base Planning Activities - San Mateo       SMCCAG         CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San Mateo       SMCCAG         CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San Mateo       SMCCAG         Specific projects TBD by San Mateo       SMCCAG         CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San Mateo       SMCCAG         Atherton Various Streets and Roads Preservation       Atherton         Belmont Various Streets and Roads Preservation       Belmont         Staton Road Pedestrian Improvements       Belmont         Specific Various Streets and Roads Preservation       Burlingarme         Sys6,000       \$0         Qarolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road Diet       Burlingarme         Sys6,000       \$0         Solu Vity Various Streets and Roads Preservation       Bull Uity         Sys6,000       \$0         Sys6,000 <td>Transbay Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.</td> <td>TJPA</td> <td>\$6,000,000</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$6,000,000</td>	Transbay Center Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.	TJPA	\$6,000,000	\$0	\$6,000,000
Specific projects TBD by San Mateo CMACMA Base Planning Activities - San MateoSMCCAG\$2,673,000\$0\$2,673,000CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$752,000\$0\$752,000CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San MateoSMCCAG\$7720,000\$0\$722,000PDA Planning Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$534,000\$0\$270,000Ratson Road Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ratson Road Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,220,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Estrian ImpsDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,220,000Bay Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,220,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Estrian ImpsMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$441,000Menlo Park	SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY	TOTAL:	\$37,427,000	\$1,910,000	\$39,337,000
Specific projects TBD by San Mateo CMACMA Base Planning Activities - San MateoSMCCAG\$2,673,000\$0\$2,673,000CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$752,000\$0\$752,000CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San MateoSMCCAG\$7720,000\$0\$722,000PDA Planning Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$534,000\$0\$270,000Ratson Road Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ratson Road Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,220,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Estrian ImpsDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,220,000Bay Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,220,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Estrian ImpsMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$441,000Menlo Park	SAN MATEO COUNTY				
CMA Base Planning Activities - San MateoSMCCAG\$2,673,000\$0\$2,673,000CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$752,000\$0\$720,000CMA Planning Autivities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000PDA Planning Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$2270,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$2270,000\$0\$2250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$265,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$1,290,000Jah Pand Bike and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$562,000\$0\$1,290,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMilibrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Vari					
CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$752,000\$0\$752,000CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San MateoSMCCAG\$720,000\$0\$720,000PDA Planning Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$3662,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$1,100,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$447,000Menlo P		SMCCAG	\$2,673,000	\$0	\$2,673,000
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - San MateoSMCCAG\$720,000\$0\$720,000PDA Planning Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$534,000\$0\$285,000Old County Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$449,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$449,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,114,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$431,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,200,000Partia Linda Ma	-				
PDA Planning Augmentation - San MateoSMCCAG\$84,000\$0\$84,000Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$534,000\$0\$270,000Old County Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$270,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,290,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$4427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$4427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,24,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Ro					
Atherton Various Streets and Roads PreservationAtherton\$285,000\$0\$285,000Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$534,000\$0\$534,000Old County Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,200,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$412,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$445,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$41,41,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$43,000\$0\$445,000Pacifica Linda Mar Bivd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Pacifica Linda Mar Bivd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley V				-	
Belmont Various Streets and Roads PreservationBelmont\$534,000\$0\$534,000Old County Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,290,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$445,000\$0\$4427,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$248,000\$0\$1,000,000					
Old County Road Bike and Pedestrian ImpsBelmont\$270,000\$0\$270,000Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$4427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$449,000\$0\$4427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillorae\$443,000\$0\$4427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$449,000\$0\$1,141,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,240,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$1,240,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$1,700,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$1,752					
Ralston Road Pedestrian ImprovementsBelmont\$250,000\$0\$2250,000Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,290,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$4499,000\$0\$447,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$4445,000\$0\$1,141,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,141,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,240,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,141,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$1,244,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$1,752,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City					
Carolan Avenue Complete Streets and Road DietBurlingame\$986,000\$0\$986,000US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$449,000\$0\$4427,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$4445,000\$0\$445,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$4445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$1,752,000Middefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$12					
US 101 / Broadway Interchange Bike/Ped ImpsCaltrans\$3,613,000\$0\$3,613,000Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$4499,000\$0\$4445,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$4445,000\$0\$1,141,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,224,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$422,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$1,23,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000					
Daly City Various Streets and Roads PreservationDaly City\$562,000\$0\$562,000John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$4499,000\$0\$4499,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$1,141,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$431,000Pantetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$1,200,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$1,23,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000		-			
John Daly Boulevard Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.Daly City\$1,290,000\$0\$1,290,000Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$449,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$48,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,23,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000	,				
Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and IIIEast Palo Alto\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$499,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue StreetscapePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$1,752,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,23,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000					\$562,000
Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads PreservationMenlo Park\$427,000\$0\$427,000Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$4499,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue Streets capePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$1,752,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,23,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000			\$1,290,000		\$1,290,000
Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$499,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue Streets capePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,100,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000	Bay Road Bike and Ped Imps. Phase II and III	East Palo Alto	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsMenlo Park\$499,000\$0\$499,000Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue Streets capePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,100,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000	Menlo Park Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Menlo Park	\$427,000	\$0	\$427,000
Millbrae Various Streets and Roads PreservationMillbrae\$445,000\$0\$445,000San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue StreetscapePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$488,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000	Menlo Park Various Streets Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps	Menlo Park	\$499,000		\$499,000
San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped ImpsPacifica\$1,141,000\$0\$1,141,000Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue StreetscapePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000		Millbrae			\$445,000
Pacifica Linda Mar Blvd PreservationPacifica\$431,000\$0\$431,000Palmetto Avenue StreetscapePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000	San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Bike/Ped Imps	Pacifica			\$1,141,000
Palmetto Avenue StreetscapePacifica\$1,000,000\$0\$1,000,000Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000					
Portola Valley Various Streets and Roads PreservationPortola Valley\$224,000\$0\$224,000Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000					
Redwood City Various Streets and Roads PreservationRedwood City\$548,000\$0\$548,000Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000					
Middlefield Road Bicycle and Pedestrian ImpsRedwood City\$1,752,000\$0\$1,752,000San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000		-		-	
San Bruno Avenue Pedestrian ImprovementsSan Bruno\$123,000\$0\$123,000San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000		,			
San Bruno Avenue Street Median ImpsSan Bruno\$735,000\$0\$735,000Crestview Drive Pavement RehabilitationSan Carlos\$412,000\$0\$412,000		,		-	
Crestview Drive Pavement Rehabilitation San Carlos \$412,000 \$0 \$412,000	•				
	•				キノ うつ ししし
	Crestview Drive Devement Debabilitation				

Metropolitan Transportation Commission T4 New Act Cycle 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - OBAG Program Project List

Page 2 of 4

### OBAG 1 County Program FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17 December 2018

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Attachment B-2 Adopted: 05/17/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 01/22/14-C 02/26/14-C 05/28/14-C 09/24/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 07/22/15-C 09/23/15-C 10/28/15-C 01/27/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 09/27/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 09/26/18-C 12/19/18-C

### **OBAG 1 County Programs Project List**

	Transformenting	Tabal	Tabal Others	<b>T</b> . 4 . 1
	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
Project Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	(RTIP, etc.)	Cycle 2
COUNTY OBAG 1 PROGRAMMING		\$309,314,000	\$18,036,000	¢227.250.000
	Can Carles			\$327,350,000
San Carlos Streetscape and Pedestrian Imps	San Carlos	\$1,000,000	\$0 + 0	\$1,000,000
El Camino Real Ped Upgrades (Grand Boulevard Initiative)	San Carlos	\$182,000	\$0	\$182,000
Mount Diablo Ave. Rehabilitation	San Mateo (City)	\$270,000	\$0	\$270,000
North Central Pedestrian Imps	San Mateo (City)	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
San Mateo Citywide Crosswalk Improvements	San Mateo (City)	\$368,000	\$0	\$368,000
Semicircular Road Bicycle and Pedestrian Access Imps	San Mateo County	\$320,000	\$0	\$320,000
South San Francisco Citywide Sidewalk Gap Closures	South San Francisco	\$357,000	\$0	\$357,000
South San Francisco Grand Blvd Pedestrian Imps	South San Francisco	\$1,000,000	\$0	\$1,000,000
South San Francisco Grand Blvd Complete Streets	South San Francisco	\$0	\$1,991,000	\$1,991,000
SAN MATEO COUNTY	TOTAL:	\$25,253,000	\$1,991,000	\$27,244,000
SANTA CLARA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Santa Clara CMA				
CMA Base Planning Activities - Santa Clara	VTA	\$4,246,000	\$0	\$4,246,000
CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - Santa Clara	VTA	\$1,754,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,754,000
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Santa Clara		\$1,145,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,145,000
Hamilton Avenue Preservation	Campbell	\$279,000	\$0 \$0	\$279,000
Campbell Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.	Campbell	\$279,000 \$3,718,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,718,000
Stevens Creek Boulevard Preservation	Cupertino	\$735,000	\$0 \$0	\$735,000
Ronan Channel / Lions Creek Multi-Use Trail	Gilroy	\$735,000 \$1,034,000	\$0 \$0	\$735,000 \$1,034,000
Eigleberry Street Preservation	Gilroy	\$1,034,000 \$808,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,034,000 \$808,000
Los Altos Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Los Altos	\$808,000 \$312,000	\$0 \$0	\$312,000
El Monte Road Preservation	Los Altos Hills		\$0 \$0	
Hillside Road Preservation	Los Altos Hills Los Gatos	\$186,000 ¢120,000	\$0 \$0	\$186,000 ¢130,000
Milpitas Various Streets and Roads Preservation		\$139,000 ¢1,652,000		\$139,000 ¢1,652,000
Monte Sereno Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Milpitas Monte Sereno	\$1,652,000 \$250,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,652,000
Monterey Road Preservation	Morgan Hill	\$250,000	\$0 \$0	\$250,000 \$1,379,000
Monterey Road Preservation Mountain View Various Streets Preservation and Bike Lanes	Mountain View	\$1,166,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,166,000
Palo Alto Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Palo Alto	\$956,000	\$0 \$0	\$956,000
West San Carlos Urban Village Streetcape Imps	San Jose	φ900,000	پو \$4,350,000	\$950,000
San Jose Citywide Bikeway Program	San Jose	\$1,150,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,150,000
San Jose Citywide Pavement Management Program	San Jose	\$11,531,000	\$0 \$0	\$11,531,000
San Jose Citywide SRTS Infrastructure Program	San Jose	\$1,150,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,150,000
San Jose Citywide Smart Intersections Program	San Jose	\$1,150,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,150,000
Almaden Ave & Vine St Safety Imps.	San Jose	\$1,500,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,500,000
East San Jose Bicycle/Pedestrian Transit Connection	San Jose	\$2,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$2,000,000
Jackson Avenue Bicycle and Pedestrian Imps.	San Jose	\$1,500,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,500,000
San Jose Pedestrian-Oriented Traffic Safety Signals	San Jose	\$3,000,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,000,000
St. Johns Bikeway and Pedestrian Improvements	San Jose	\$1,185,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,185,000
The Alameda "Beautiful Way" Grand Boulevard Phase 2	San Jose	\$3,150,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,150,000
Santa Clara Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Santa Clara (City)	\$1,891,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,891,000
San Tomas Expressway Box Culvert Rehabilitation	Santa Clara (City) Santa Clara County	\$7,799,072	\$0 \$0	\$7,799,072
Capitol Expressway Traffic ITS and Bike/Ped Imps.	Santa Clara County	\$7,491,928	\$0 \$0	\$7,491,928
San Tomas Aquino Spur Multi-Use Trail Phase 2	Santa Clara County	\$3,234,000	\$0 \$0	\$3,234,000
Saratoga Village Sidewalk Preservation	Saratoga	\$162,000	\$0 \$0	\$162,000
Saratoga Ave-Prospect Rd Complete Streets	Saratoga	\$102,000	\$0 \$0	\$4,205,000
Duane Avenue Preservation	Sunnyvale	\$1,352,935	\$0 \$0	\$1,352,935
Fair Oaks Avenue Bikeway and Streetscape	Sunnyvale	\$956,000	\$0 \$0	\$956,000
Maude Avenue Bikeway and Streetscape	Sunnyvale	\$918,065	\$0 \$0	\$918,065
Sunnyvale: East Sunnyvale Area Peery Park Sense of Place Improvements	Sunnyvale	\$794,000	\$0 \$0	\$794,000
Sunnyvale Safe Routes to School Ped Infrastructure Imps	Sunnyvale	\$1,569,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,569,000
Sunnyvale-Saratoga Road Bike/Ped Safety Enhancements	Sunnyvale	\$524,000	\$0 \$0	\$524,000
Milpitas BART Station Montague Expwy Ped Overcrossing	VTA	\$4,184,000	\$0 \$0	\$4,184,000
VTA/San Jose: Upper Penitencia Creek Multi-Use Trail	VTA	\$1,514,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,514,000
Santa Clara Caltrain Station Bike/Ped Undercrossing	VTA	\$1,251,000	\$0 \$0	\$1,251,000
SANTA CLARA COUNTY	TOTAL:	\$84,921,000	\$4,350,000	\$89,271,000
SOLANO COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Solano CMA				
CMA Base Planning Activities - Solano	STA	\$2,673,000	\$0	\$2,673,000
CMA Planning Activities Augmentation - Solano	STA	\$333,000	\$0 \$0	\$333,000
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Solano	STA	\$720,000	\$0 \$0	\$720,000
Local PDA Planning Augmentation	STA	\$720,000 \$511,000	\$0 \$0	\$720,000 \$511,000
East 2nd Street Preservation	Benicia	\$495,000	\$0 \$0	\$495,000
	Denicia	ͽϯͻͻ;ΰΰ	Ψ	φ-000,66+φ

Metropolitan Transportation Commission

T4 New Act Cycle 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - OBAG Program Project List

Page 3 of 4

### **OBAG 1 County Program** FY 2012-13 through FY 2016-17 December 2018

MTC Resolution No. 4035, Attachment B-2 Adopted: 05/17/12-C Revised: 10/24/12-C 12/19/12-C 01/23/13-C 05/22/13-C 09/25/13-C 11/20/13-C 01/22/14-C 02/26/14-C 05/28/14-C 09/24/14-C 12/17/14-C 03/25/15-C 07/22/15-C 09/23/15-C 10/28/15-C 01/27/16-C 07/27/16-C 12/21/16-C 04/26/17-C 05/24/17-C 06/28/17-C 09/27/17-C 01/24/18-C 02/28/18-C 03/28/18-C 05/23/18-C 09/26/18-C 12/19/18-C

# OBAG 1 County Programs Project List

	Implementing	Total	Total Other	Total
Project Category and Title	Agency	STP/CMAQ	(RTIP, etc.)	Cycle 2
COUNTY OBAG 1 PROGRAMMING		\$309,314,000	\$18,036,000	\$327,350,000
Benicia Safe Routes to Schools Infrastructure Imps	Benicia	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
West A Street Preservation	Dixon	\$490,000	\$0	\$490,000
Dixon SRTS Infrastructure Imps	Dixon	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Beck Avenue Preservation	Fairfield	\$1,424,000	\$0	\$1,424,000
SR 12 Pedestrian Crossing Improvements	Rio Vista	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Redwood-Fairgrounds Dr Interchange - Bike/Transit Imps	Solano County	\$94,000	\$0	\$94,000
Solano County - Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Solano County	\$1,389,000	\$0	\$1,389,000
Vaca-Dixon Bike Route Phase 5	Solano County	\$1,800,000	\$0	\$1,800,000
West B Street Bicycle/Pedestrian RxR Undercrossing	STA	\$1,394,000	\$1,141,000	\$2,535,000
Eastern Solano / SNCI Rideshare Program	STA	\$533,000	\$0	\$533,000
Solano Transit Ambassador Program	STA	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Driftwood Drive Path	Suisun City	\$439,045	\$0	\$439,045
Walters Road/Pintail Drive Preservation	Suisun City	\$356,000	\$0	\$356,000
Suisun/Fairfield Intercity Rail Station Access Imps	Suisun City	\$415,000	\$0	\$415,000
Vacaville SRTS Infrastructure Imps	Vacaville	\$303,207	\$0	\$303,207
Vacaville - Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Vacaville	\$1,231,000	\$0	\$1,231,000
Allison Bicycle/Pedestrian Imps.	Vacaville	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000
Ulatis Creek Bicycle/Pedestrian Pathway and Streetscape	Vacaville	\$60,020	\$0	\$60,020
Vallejo SRTS Infrastructure Imps	Vallejo	\$247,728	\$0	\$247,728
Vallejo Downtown Streetscape - Phases 3 and 4	Vallejo	\$2,440,000	\$0	\$2,440,000
SOLANO COUNTY	TOTAL:	\$18,348,000	\$1,141,000	\$19,489,000
SONOMA COUNTY				
Specific projects TBD by Sonoma - SCTA				
CMA Base Planning Activities - Sonoma	SCTA	\$2,673,000	\$0	\$2,673,000
CMA Planning Activities FY 2016-17 Supplement - Sonoma	SCTA	\$720,000	\$0	\$720,000
Sonoma County Safe Routes to School - FY18-22 Supplemental		\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000
Cloverdale Safe Routes to Schools Phase 2	Cloverdale	\$100,000	\$0	\$100,000
Cotati Old Redwood Highway South Preservation (CS)	Cotati	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Healdsburg Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Healdsburg	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Petaluma Complete Streets	Petaluma	\$1,848,000	\$0	\$1,848,000
Rohnert Park Various Streets Preservation	Rohnert Park	\$1,103,000	\$0	\$1,103,000
Rohnert Park Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvements	Rohnert Park	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
Downtown Santa Rosa Streetscape	Santa Rosa	\$360,000	\$353,000	\$713,000
Santa Rosa Complete Streets Road Diet on Transit Corridors	Santa Rosa	\$2,196,000	\$0	\$2,196,000
Sebastopol Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Sebastopol	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
SMART Larkspur Extension (Regional Project)	SMART	\$6,100,000	\$0	\$6,100,000
SMART Clipper Card Service	MTC	\$500,000	\$0	\$500,000
SMART Bicycle/Pedestrian Pathway	SMART	\$0	\$1,043,000	\$1,043,000
Sonoma Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Sonoma (City)	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000
Sonoma County Various Streets and Roads Preservation	Sonoma County	\$3,377,000	\$0	\$3,377,000
Windsor Road/Jaquar Lane Bicycle/Pedestrian Imps.	Windsor	\$630,000	\$0	\$630,000
Conde Lane/Johnson Street Pedestrian Imps.	Windsor	\$432,000	\$0	\$432,000
Windsor Rd/Bell Rd/Market St Pedestrian Imps.	Windsor	\$410,000	\$0	\$410,000
Santa Rosa US 101 Bike/Pedestrian Bridge Overcrossing	Santa Rosa	\$364,000	+4 200 000	\$364,000
	TOTAL:	\$22,363,000	\$1,396,000	\$23,759,000
Cycle 2 Total	TOTAL:	\$309,314,000	\$18,036,000	\$327,350,000
	ttach_B-2.xlsx]Attach B-2 Dec 2018		, _ <b>, ,</b>	

Metropolitan Transportation Commission T4 New Act Cycle 2 Project Selection Criteria and Programming Policy - OBAG Program Project List